

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2024

I. RICHARD BALLEW STEAD SUENO ROAD ISLA VISTA, OA SSITT



THE

HISTORY OF CHRISTIANITY,

FROM THE BIRTH OF CHRIST TO THE ABOLITION OF PAGANISM IN THE ROMAN EMPIRE.

BY HENRY HART MILMAN, D.D.,

DEAN OF ST. PAUL'S.

IN THREE VOLUMES .- VOL. II.

A NEW AND REVISED EDITION.

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.
1863.

The right of Translation is reserved.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Milman, Henry Hart, 1791-1868. The history of Christianity.

Reprint of the 1863 ed. published by J. Murray, London.

Includes index.

1. Church history—Primitive and early church, ca. 30-600. I. Title. BR162.M6 1978 209'.01 78-173733 ISBN 0-404-04350-X

Reprinted from the edition of 1863, London First AMS edition published in 1978

International Standard Book Number: Complete Set: 0-404-04350-X Volume II: 0-404-04352-6

Manufactured in the United States of America

AMS PRESS INC. NEW YORK, N.Y.

CONTENTS OF VOL. II.

BOOK II.—continued.

CHAPTER IV.

CHARLE IN I.V.
Christianity to the close of the First Century — Constitution of Christian Churches
CHAPTER V.
Christianity and Orientalism 30
CHAPTER VI.
Christianity during the prosperous period of the Roman Empire
CHAPTER VII. Christianity and Marcus Aurelius the Philosopher 112
CHAPTER VIII.
Fourth Period — Christianity under the Successors of Marcus Aurelius
CHAPTER IX.
The Persecution under Diocletian 204

BOOK III.

CHAPTER I.

Constantine
CHAPTER II.
Constantine becomes sole Emperor 312
CHAPTER III.
Foundation of Constantinople
CHAPTER 1V.
Trinitarian Controversy
CHAPTER V.
Christianity under the Sons of Constantine 405
CHAPTER VI.
Julian 449

HISTORY OF CHRISTIANITY.

BOOK II.—continued.

CHAPTER IV.

Christianity to the Close of the First Century. — Constitution of Christian Churches.

THE changes in the moral are usually wrought as imperceptibly as those in the physical world. Had Great revoany wise man, either convinced of the divine and gradual. origin of Christianity, or even contemplating with philosophical sagacity the essential nature of the new religion and the existing state of the human mind, ventured to predict that from the ashes of these obscure men would arise a moral sovereignty more extensive and lasting than that of the Cæsars; that buildings more splendid than any which adorned the new marble city, now rising from the ruins of the conflagration, would be dedicated to their names, and maintain their reverence for an incalculably longer period; such vaticinations would have met the fate inseparable from the wisdom which outstrips its age, would have been scorned by contemporary pride, and only admired, after their accomplishment, by late posterity. The slight and contemptuous notice excited by Christianity during the first century of its promulgation is in strict accordance with this ordinary development of the great and lasting revo-

VOL. II.

lutions in human affairs. The moral world has sometimes, indeed, its volcanic explosions, which suddenly and violently convulse and reform the order of things; but its more enduring changes are in general produced by the slow and silent workings of opinions, remotely prepared and gradually expanding to their mature and irresistible influence. In default, therefore, of real information as to the secret but simultaneous progress of Christianity in so many quarters, and among all ranks, we are left to speculate on the influence of the passing events of the time, and of the changes in the public mind, whether favourable or prejudicial to the cause of Christianity, catching only faint and uncertain gleams of its peculiar history through the confused and rapidly changing course of public affairs.

The Imperial history from the first promulgation of Christianity down to the accession of Constantine, divides itself into four distinct, but four periods. unequal periods. More than thirty years are occupied by the line of the first Cæsars, rather less by the conflicts which followed the death of Nero, and the government of the Flavian dynasty. The first years of Trajan, who ascended the Imperial throne A.D. 98, nearly synchronize with the opening of the second century of Christianity; and that splendid period of internal peace and advancing civilisation, of wealth, and of prosperity, which has been described as the happiest in the annals of mankind, extends over the first eighty years of that century.^a Down to the accession of Constantine, nearly at the commencement of the fourth

sulted Hegewisch, whose work has pour le Genre Humain. Paris, 1834. been translated by M. Solvet, under

Among the writers who have the title of Essai sur l'Epoque de discussed this question may be con- l'Histoire Romaine la plus heureuse

century, the Empire became, like the great monarchies of the East, the prize of successful ambition and enterprise: almost every change of ruler is a change of dynasty; and already the borders of the Empire have ceased to be respected by the menacing, the conquering Barbarians.

It is remarkable how singularly the political character of each period was calculated to advance to the death of Nero.

During the first of these periods, the Government, though it still held in respect the old republican institutions, was, if not in form, in its administration purely despotic. The state centered in the person of the Emperor. This kind of hereditary autocracy is essentially selfish; it is content with averting or punishing plots against the person, or detecting and crushing conspiracies against the power, of the existing monarch. those more remote or secret changes which are working in the depths of society, eventually perhaps threatening the existence of the monarchy, or the stability of all the social relations, it is blind or indifferent.^b It has neither sagacity to discern, intelligence to comprehend, nor even the disinterested zeal for the perpetuation of its own despotism, to counteract such distant and contingent dangers. Of all innovations it is, in general, sensitively jealous; but they must be palpable and manifest, and directly clashing with the passions or exciting the fears of the sovereign. Even these are met by temporary measures. When an outcry was raised against the Egyptian religion as dangerous to public morality, an edict commanded the expulsion of its votaries from the city. When the superstition of the Emperor shuddered

b "Sævi proximis ingruunt." In mass of the people have sometimes this one pregnant sentence of Tacitus been comparatively unoppressed under is explained the political secret, that the

at the predictions of the Mathematicians, the whole fraternity fell under the same interdict. When the public peace was disturbed by the dissensions among the Jewish population of Rome, the summary sentence of Claudius visited both Jews and Christians with the same indifferent severity. So the Neronian persecution was an accident arising out of the fire at Rome, no part of a systematic political plan for the suppression of foreign religions. It might have fallen on any other sect or body of men who might have been designated as victims to appease the popular resentment. The provincial administrations would be actuated by the same principles as the central government, and be alike indifferent to the quiet progress of opinions, however dangerous to the existing order of things. Unless some breach of the public peace demanded their interference, they would rarely put forth their power; and, content with the maintenance of order, the regular collection of the revenue, the more rapacious with the punctual payment of their own exactions, the more enlightened with the improvement and embellishment of the cities under their charge, they would look on the rise and propagation of a new religion with no more concern than that of a new philosophic sect, particularly in the eastern part of the empire, where the religions were in general more foreign to the character of the Greek or Roman Polytheism. The popular feeling during this first period would only under peculiar circumstances outstrip the activity of the Government. Accustomed to the separate worship of the Jews, to the many Christianity appeared at first only as a modification of that belief. jealousies or personal animosities might in different places excite a more active hostility. In Rome it is evident that the people were only worked up to find inhuman delight in the sufferings of the Christians, by the misrepresentations of the Government, by superstitious solicitude to find some victims to appease the angry Gods, and that strange consolation of human misery, the delight of wreaking vengeance on whomsoever it can

possibly implicate as the cause of the calamity.

During the whole, then, of this first period, to the death of Nero, both the primitive obscurity of Christianity, and the transient importance it assumed, as a dangerous enemy of the people of Rome, and subsequently as the guiltless victim of popular vengeance, would tend to its eventual progress. Its own innate activity, with all the force which it carried with it, both in its internal and external impulse, would propagate it extensively in the inferior and middle classes of society; while, though the great mass of the higher orders would still remain unacquainted with its real nature, and with its relation to its parent Judaism, it was quite enough before the public attention to awaken the curiosity of the more inquiring, and to excite the interest of those who were seriously concerned in the moral advancement of mankind. In many quarters, it is far from impossible that the strong revulsion of the public mind against Nero, after his death, may have extended some commiseration towards his innocent victims: c that the Christians were acquitted by the popular feeling of any real connexion with the fire at Rome, appears evident from Tacitus, who retreats into vague expressions of general scorn and animosity.d At all events, the persecution must have had the effect of raising the im-

[&]quot;Unde quanquam adversus sontes et merentur." Tac. An. xv. 44. novissima exempla meritos, miseratio oriebatur, tanquam non utilitate pub-

e This was the case even in Rome. | lica, sed in sævitiam unius absud Odio humani generis convicti.

portance of Christianity, so as to force it upon the notice of many who might otherwise have been ignorant of its existence. The new and peculiar fortitude with which the sufferers endured their unprecedented trials, would strongly recommend it to those who were dissatisfied with the moral power of their old religion; while on the other hand it was yet too feeble and obscure to provoke

a systematic plan for its suppression.

Second period, 68 to 98, the date of the accession of Trajan, to the accession of Trathe larger portion was occupied by the reign of Domitian, a tyrant in whom the successors of Augustus might appear to revive, both in the monstrous vices of his personal character, and of his government. Of the Flavian dynasty, the father alone, Vespasian, from the comprehensive vigour of his mind, perhaps from his knowledge of the Jewish character and religion, obtained during his residence in the East, was likely to estimate the bearings and future prospects of Christianity. But the total subjugation of Judæa, and the destruction of the Temple of Jerusalem, having reduced the religious parents of the Christians to so low a state, their nation, and consequently their religion. being, according to the ordinary course of events, likely to mingle up with and become absorbed in the general population of the Roman empire, Christianity, it might reasonably be supposed, would scarcely survive its original stock, and might be safely left to burn out by the same gradual process of extinction. Besides this. the strong mind of Vespasian was fully occupied by the restoration of order in the capital and in the provinces, and in fixing on a firm basis the yet unsettled authority of the Flavian dynasty. A more formidable, because more immediate, danger threatened the existing order

The awful genius of Roman liberty had of things. entered into an alliance with the higher philosophy of the time. Republican stoicism, brooding in Stoic philothe noblest minds of Rome, looked back, with sophers. vain though passionate regret, to the free institutions of their ancestors, and demanded the old liberty of action. It was this dangerous movement—not the new and humble religion, which calmly acquiesced in all political changes, and contented itself with liberty of thought and opinion—that put to the test the prudence and moderation of the Emperor Vespasian. It was the spirit of Cato, not of Christ, which he found it necessary to control. The enemy before whom he trembled was the patriot Thrasea, not the Apostle St. John, who was silently winning over Ephesus to the new faith. The edict of expulsion from Rome fell not on the worshippers of foreign religions, but on the philosophers, a comprehensive term, but which was probably limited to those whose opinions were considered dangerous to the Imperial authority.

It was only with the new fiscal regulations of the rapacious and parsimonious Vespasian that the Christians were accidentally implicated. The Emperor continued to levy the capitation tax, which had been willingly and proudly paid by the Jews throughout the empire for the maintenance of their own Temple at Jerusalem, for the restoration of the idolatrous fane of the Capitoline Jupiter, which had been destroyed in the civil contests. The Jew submitted with sullen reluctance to this insulting exaction; but even the hope of escaping it would not incline him to

e Tacit. Hist. iv. 4-9. Dion Cassius, lxvi. 13. Suetonius, Vespas. 15. Pasian. Art. 15.

disguise or dissemble his faith. But the Judaizing Christian, and even the Christian of Jewish descent, who had entirely thrown off his religion, yet was marked by the indelible sign of his race, was placed in a singularly perplexing position.f The rapacious publican, who farmed the tax, was not likely to draw any true distinction among those whose features, connexions, names, and notorious descent, still designated them as liable to the tax: his coarser mind would consider the profession of Christianity as a subterfuge to escape a vexatious impost. But to the Jewish Christian of St. Paul's opinions, the unresisted payment of the burthen, however insignificant, and to which he was not bound, either by the letter or the spirit of the edict, was an acknowledgment of his unconverted Judaism, of his being still under the Law, as well as an indirect contribution to the maintenance of heathenism. It is difficult to suppose that those who were brought before the public tribunal, as claiming an exemption from the tax, and exposed to the most indecent examination of their Jewish descent, were any other than this class of Judaizing Christians.

In other respects, the connexion of the Christians with the Jews could not but affect their place in that indiscriminating public estimation which still, in general, notwithstanding the Neronian persecution, confounded Change in the them together. The Jewish war appears to condition and estimation of have made a great alteration both in the content Jews after the war dition of the race of Israel, and in the popular sentiment towards them. From aversion as a sullen

f Dion Cassius, edit. Reimar, with his notes, lib. lxvi. p. 1082. Suetonius in Dom. v. 12. Martial, vii. 14.

and unsocial, they were now looked upon with hatred

and contempt, as a fierce, a desperate, and an enslaved race. Some of the higher orders, Agrippa and Josephus the historian, maintained a respectable, and even an eminent rank at Rome; but the provinces were overrun by swarms of Jewish slaves, or miserable fugitives, reduced by necessity to the meanest occupations, and lowering their minds to their sordid and beggarly condition.g As then to some of the Romans the Christian assertion of religious freedom would seem closely allied with the Jewish attempt to obtain civil independence. they might appear, especially to those in authority, to have inherited the intractable and insubordinate spirit of their religious forefathers; so, on the other hand, in some places, the Christian might be dragged down, in the popular apprehension, to the level of the fallen and outcast Jew. Thus, while Christianity in fact was becoming more and more alienated from Judaism, and even assuming the most hostile position, the Roman rulers would be the last to discern the widening breach. or to discriminate between that religious confederacy which was destined to absorb within it all the subjects of the Roman empire, and that race which was to remain in its social isolation, neither blended into the general mass of mankind, nor admitting any other within its insuperable pale. If the singular story related by Hegesippus concerning the family of our brethren of Lord deserves credit, even the descendants of brought be-His house were endangered by their yet un-tribunal. broken connexion with the Jewish race. Domitian is said to have issued an edict for the extermination of the whole house of David, in order to annihilate for ever the

⁸ Compare Hist. of the Jews, ii. 454.

h Eusebius, iii. 20.

hope of the Messiah, which still brooded with dangerous excitement in the Jewish mind. The grandsons of St. Jude, "the brother of the Lord," were denounced by certain heretics as belonging to the proscribed family, and brought before the tribunal of the Emperor, or, more probably, that of the Procurator of Judæa. They acknowledged their descent from the royal race, and their relationship to the Messiah; but in Christian language they asserted that the kingdom which they expected was purely spiritual and angelic, and only to commence at the end of the world, after the return to judgement. Their poverty, rather than their renunciation of all temporal views, was their security. They were peasants, whose hands were hardened with toil, and whose whole property was a farm of about twenty-four English acres, and of the value of 9000 drachms, or about three hundred pounds sterling. This they cultivated by their own labour, and regularly paid the appointed tribute. They were released as too humble and too harmless to be dangerous to the Roman authority, and Domitian, according to the singularly inconsistent account, proceeded to annul his edict of persecution against the Christians.

Like all the stories which rest on the sole authority of Hegesippus, this has a very fabulous air. At no period were the hopes of the Messiah entertained by the Jews so little likely to awaken the jealousy of the Emperor as in the reign of Domitian. The Jewish mind was still stunned, as it were, by the recent blow: the whole land was in a state of iron subjection. Nor was it till the latter part of the reign of Trajan, and that of Hadrian, that they rallied for their last desperate and conclusive

i Gibbon thus modifies the story, to which he appears to give some credit.

struggle for independence. Nor, however indistinct the line of demarcation between the Jews and the Christians, is it easy to trace the connexion between the stern precaution for the preservation of the peace of the Eastern world and the stability of the Empire against any enthusiastic aspirant after an universal sovereignty. with what is sometimes called the second great persecution of Christianity; for the exterminating edict was aimed at a single family, and at the extinction of a purely Jewish tenet, though it may be admitted that, even yet, the immediate return of the Messiah to reign on earth was dominant among most of the Jewish Christians of Palestine. Even if true, this edict was rather the hasty and violent expedient of an arbitrary sovereign, trembling for his personal security, and watchful to avert danger from his throne, than a profound and vigorous policy, which aimed at the suppression of a new religion, declaredly hostile, and threatening the existence of the established Polytheism.

Christianity, however, appears to have forced itself upon the knowledge and the fears of Domitian in a more unexpected quarter—the bosom of his own family. Of his two cousins-german, the sons of Flavius Sabinus, the one fell an early victim to his jealous apprehensions. The other, Flavius Clemens, is Clemens. described by the epigrammatic biographer of the Cæsars as a man of the most contemptible indolence of character. His peaceful kinsman, instead of exciting the fears, enjoyed, for some time, the favour, of Domitian. He received in marriage Domitilla, the niece of the Emperor; his children were adopted as heirs to the

Suetonius, in Domit. c. 15. Dion Cassius, Ixvii. 14. Eusebius, iii. 18.

throne; Clemens himself obtained the consulship. On a sudden these harmless kinsmen became dangerous conspirators; they were arraigned on the unprecedented charge of Atheism and Jewish manners; the husband, Clemens, was put to death; the wife, Domitilla, banished to the desert island, either of Pontia, or Pandataria. The crime of Atheism was afterwards the common popular charge against the Christians; the charge to which, in all ages, those are exposed who are superior to the vulgar notion of the Deity. But it was a charge never advanced against Judaism: coupled. therefore, with that of Jewish manners, it is unintelligible, unless it refers to Christianity. Nor is it improbable that the contemptible want of energy, ascribed by Suetonius to Flavius Clemens, might be that unambitious superiority to the world which characterised the early Christians. Clemens had seen his brother cut off by the sudden and capricious fears of the tyrant; and his repugnance to enter on the same dangerous public career, in pursuit of honours which he despised, if it had assumed the lofty language of philosophy, might have commanded the admiration of his contemporaries, but, connected with a new religion, of which the sublimer notions and principles were altogether incomprehensible, only exposed him to their more contemptuous scorn. Neither in his case was it the peril apprehended from the progress of the religion, but the dangerous position of the individuals professing the religion, so near to the throne, which was fatal to Clemens and Domitilla. It was the pretext, not the cause, of their punishment; and the first act of the reign of Nerva was the reversal of these sentences by the authority of the senate. The exiles were recalled.

and an act, prohibiting all accusations of Jewish manners,^m seems to have been intended as a peace offering for the execution of Clemens, and for the especial protection of the Christians.

But Christian history cannot pass over another incident assigned to the reign of Domitian, since Legends of it relates to the death of St. John the Apostle. the missions of the Christian gratitude and reverence soon began Apostles into different to be discontented with the silence of the au- countries. thentic writings as to the fate of the twelve chosen companions of Christ. It began first with some modest respect for truth, but soon with bold defiance of probability, to brighten their obscure course, till each might be traced by the blaze of miracle into remote regions of the world, where it is clear that, if they had penetrated, no record of their existence was likely to survive. These religious invaders, according to the later Christian romance, made a regular partition of the world, and assigned to each the conquest of his particular province. Thrace, Scythia, Spain, Britain, Ethiopia, the extreme parts of Africa, India, the name of which mysterious region was sometimes assigned to the southern coast of Arabia, had each its Apostle, whose spiritual triumphs and cruel martyrdom were vividly pourtrayed and gradually amplified by the fertile invention of the Greek and Syrian historians of the early Death of St. Church. Even the history of St. John, whose John, later days were chiefly passed in the populous and commercial city of Ephesus, has not escaped. Yet legend has delighted in harmonising its tone with the character of the beloved disciple drawn in the Gospel,

m Dion Cassius, lxviii. 1. tradition is here in its simpler and Euseb. Ecc. Hist. iii. 1. The clearly more genuine form.

and illustrated in his own writings. Even if purely imaginary, these stories show that another spirit was working in the mind of man. While, then, we would reject, as the offspring of a more angry and controversial age, the story of his flying in fear and indignation from a bath polluted by the presence of the heretic Cerinthus, we might admit the pleasing tradition that when he grew so feeble from age as to be unable to utter any long discourse, his last, if we may borrow the expression, his cycnean voice, dwelt on a brief exhortation to mutual charity.º His whole sermon consisted in these words: "Little children, love one another:" and when his audience remonstrated at the wearisome iteration of the same words, he declared that in these words was contained the whole substance of Christianity. The deportation of the Apostle to the wild island of Patmos, where general tradition places his writing the Book of Revelations, is by no means improbable, if we suppose it to have taken place under the authority of the proconsul of Asia, on account of some local disturbance in Ephesus, and, notwithstanding the authority of Tertullian, reject the trial before Domitian at Rome, and the plunging him into a cauldron of boiling oil, from which he came forth unhurt. P Such are the few vestiges of the progress of Christianity which we dimly trace in the obscurity of the latter part of the first century. During this period, however, took place the of Christian regular formation of the young Christian republics, in all the more considerable cities of the Empire. The primitive constitution of these churches

[°] Euseb. Ecc. Hist. iii. 22.

hannes, postea quam in oleum igneum | into a fact. De Reb. Christ. ante Condemersus, nihil passus est." Mosheim stant. p. 111.

suspects that in this passage of Ter-P "Ubi (in Româ) Apostolus Jo- tullian a metaphor has been converted

is a subject which it is impossible to decline; though few points in Christian history rest on more dubious and imperfect, in general or inferential evidence, yet few have been contested with greater pertinacity.

The whole of Christendom, when it emerges out of the obscurity of the first century, appears uniformly governed by certain superiors of each community, called bishops. But the origin and extent of this superiority, and the manner in which the bishop assumed a distinct authority from the inferior presbyters, is one of those difficult questions of Christian history which, since the Reformation, has been more and more darkened by those fatal enemies to candid and dispassionate inquiry. Prejudice and Interest. The earliest Christian communities appear to have been ruled and represented, in the absence of the Apostle who was their first founder. by their elders, who are likewise called bishops, or overseers of the churches. These presbyter bishops and the deacons are the only two orders which we discover at first in the Church of Ephesus, at Philippi, and perhaps in Crete.^q On the other hand, at a very early period, one religious functionary, superior to the rest, appears to have been almost universally recognised; at least, it is difficult to understand how, in so short a time, among communities, though not entirely disconnected, yet scattered over the whole Roman world, a scheme of government popular, or rather aristocratical. should become, even in form, monarchical. the times nor the circumstances of the infant Church. nor the primitive spirit of the religion, appear to favour a general, a systematic, and an unauthorised usurpation of power on the part of the supreme religious func-

⁹ Acts xx. 17, compared with 28. Philip. i. 1. Titus i. 5-7

tionary. Yet the change has already taken place within the Apostolic times. The Church of Ephesus, which in the Acts is represented by its elders, in the Revelations' is represented by its angel or bishop. We may, perhaps, arrive at a more clear and intelligible view of this subject, by endeavouring to trace the origin and development of the Christian communities.

The Christian Church was almost universally formed by a secession from a Jewish synagogue. Some synagogues may have become altogether Christian; but, in

The most plausible way of accounting for this total revolution is by supposing that the affairs of each community or church were governed by a college of presbyters, one of whom necessarily presided at their meetings, and gradually assumed and was recognised as possessing a superior function and authority. In expressing my dissatisfaction with a theory adopted by Mosheim, by Gibbon, by Neander, and by most of the learned foreign writers. I have scrutinised my own motives with the utmost suspicion, and can only declare that I believe myself actuated only by the calm and candid desire of truth. But the universal and almost simultaneous elevation of the bishop, under such circumstances, in every part of the world (though it must be admitted that he was for a long time assisted by the presbyters in the discharge of his office), appears to me an insuperable objection to this hypothesis. The later the date which is assumed for the general establishment of the episcopal authority, the less likely was it to be general. It was only during the first period of undivided unity that

such an usurpation (for such it must have been according to this theory) could have been universally acquiesced in without resistance. All presbyters, according to this view, with one consent, gave up or allowed themselves to be deprived of their co-ordinate and coequal dignity. The further we advance in Christian history, the more we discover the common motives of human nature at work. In this case alone are we to suppose them without influence? Yet we discover no struggle, no resistance, no controversy. The uninterrupted line of bishops is traced by the ecclesiastical historian up to the Apostles; but no murmur of remonstrance against this usurpation has transpired: no schism, no breach of Christian unity, followed upon this momentous innovation. Nor does any such change appear to have taken place in the office of elder in the Jewish communities: the Rabbinical teachers took the form of a regular hierarchy; their patriarch grew up into a kind of pope, but episcopal authority never took root in the synagogue. * Chap ii. 1.

general, a certain part of an existing community of Jews and Gentile proselytes incorporated them-Christian selves into a new society, and met for the purpose of divine worship in some private chamber and on the model of, the -sometimes, perhaps, in a public place, as synagogue. rather later, during the times of persecution, in a cemetery. The first of these may have answered to a synagogue, the latter to an unwalled proseucha. The model of the ancient community would naturally, as far as circumstances might admit, become that of the new. But in their primary constitution there was an essential point of difference. The Jews were a civil as well as a religious, the Christians exclusively a religious, community. Everywhere that the Jews were settled. they were the colony of a nation, they were held together by a kindred, as well as by a religious, bond of union. The governors, therefore, of the community. the Zakinim or Elders, the Parnasim or Pastors (if this be an early appellation), were by no means necessarily religious functionaries.t Another kind of influence besides that of piety—age, worldly experience, wealth would obtain the chief and ruling power in the society. The government of these Elders neither rested on, nor required, spiritual authority. Their grave example would enforce the general observance, their censure repress any flagrant departure from the Law: they might be consulted on any difficult or unusual point of practice; but it was not till the new Rabbinical priesthood was established, and the Mischna and the Talmud uni-

In some places, the Jews seem to in Alexandria. Josephus mentions have been ruled by an Ethnarch, their Archon or chief, in Antioch. recognised by the Roman civil authorities. Strabo, quoted by Josephus.

Antiq. xiv. 12, speaks of the Ethnarch

—the elders or authorities.

versally received as the national code, that the foreign Jews fell under what may be considered sacerdotal dominion. At this time, the synagogue itself was only supplementary to the great national religious ceremonial of the Temple. The Levitical race claimed difference no peculiar sanctity, at least it discharged no between the priestly office, beyond the bounds of the Holy church and the syna-Land, or the precincts of the Temple; nor was gogue. an authorised instructor of the people necessary to the service of the synagogue. It was an assembly for the purpose of worship, not of teaching. The instructor of the people, the copy of the Law, lay in the ark at the east end of the building; it was brought forth with solemn reverence, and an appointed portion read during the service. But oral instruction, though it might sometimes be, and no doubt frequently was, delivered, was no necessary part of the ceremonial. Any one, it should seem, who considered himself qualified, and obtained permission from the archisynagogi, the governors of the community, who exercised a sort of presidence in the synagogue, might address the assembly. It was in this character that the Christian Apostle usually began to announce his religion. But neither the chazan, or angel u of the synagogue (which was a purely ministerial, comparatively a servile, office), nor the heads of the assembly, possessed any peculiar privilege, or were endowed with any official function as teachers * of the people. Many of the more remote synagogues can

[&]quot; The angel here seems to bear its lower meaning-a messenger or minister.

point, that the chief of the synagogue exercised an office of this kind, but in

my opinion without success. It appears to have been a regular part of the Essenian service, a distinction * Vitringa labours to prove the which Vitringa has neglected to observe. De Syn. Vet. lib. iii. c. 6, 7.

rarely have been honoured by the presence of the "Wise Men," as they were afterwards called—the lawyers of this period. The Jewish religion was, at this time, entirely ceremonial; it did not necessarily demand exposition; its form was moulded into the habits of the people; and till disturbed by the invasion of Christianity, or among very flourishing communities, where it assumed a more intellectual tone, and extended itself by the proselytism of the Gentiles, it was content to rest in that form.y In the great days of Jewish intellectual activity, the adjacent Law-school, usually inseparable from the synagogue, might rather be considered the place of religious instruction. This was a kind of chapter-house or court of ecclesiastical, with the Jews identical with their national, law. Here knotty points were publicly debated; and "the Wise," or the more distinguished of the lawyers or interpreters of the Law, as the Rabbinical hierarchy of a later period, established their character for sagacious discernment of the meaning and intimate acquaintance with the whole body of the Law.

Thus, then, the model upon which the Church might be expected to form itself, may be called purely aristocratical. The process by which it passed into the monarchical form, however limited the supreme power of the individual, may be traced to the existence of a monarchical principle anterior to their religious oligarchy, and which distinguished the Christian Church in its first origin from the Jewish synagogue. The

and psalms, were the ceremonial of the synagogue. Probably the greater part of their proselytism took place

Horace, the Jewish synagogue was even in Rome a place of resort to the curious, the speculative, and the idle.

⁷ The reading of the Law, prayers, | in private, though, as we know from

Christians from the first were a purely religious community; this was their primary bond of union; they had no national law which held them together as a separate people. Their civil union was a subordinate effect, arising out of their incorporation as a spiritual body. The submission of their temporal concerns to the adjudication of their own community was a consequence of their respect for the superior justice and wisdom which sprung from their religious principles, and an aversion from the litigious spirit engendered by the complicated system of Roman jurisprudence. In their origin they were almost universally a commu-Church formed round nity, formed, as it were, round an individual. an individual. The Apostle, or primitive teacher, was installed at once in the office of chief religious functionary; and the chief religious functionary is the natural head of a purely religious community. Oral instruction, as it was the first, so it must have continued to be the living, conservative, and expansive principle of the community.a It was, anterior to the existence of any book, the inspired record and supreme authority of the faith. As long as this teacher remained in the city, or as often as he returned, he would be recognised as the

The Apostle enjoined this secession from the ordinary courts of justice. 1 Cor. vi. 1-8.

a For some time, indeed, as in the Jewish synagogue, what was called the gift of prophecy seems to have been more general; any individual who professed to speak under the direct impulse of the Holy Spirit was heard with attentive reverence. But it may be questioned whether this, and the display of the other $\chi api \sigma \mu a \pi a$ recounted by the Apostle, 1 Cor. xii.

^{4-10,} were more than subsidiary to the regular and systematic teaching of the apostolic founder of the community. The question is not whether each member was not at liberty to contribute, by any faculty which had been bestowed on him by God, to the general edification; but whether, above and anterior to all this, there was not some recognised parent of each church, who was treated with paternal deference, and exercised, when present, paternal authority.

legitimate head of the society. But not only the Apostle, in general the primitive teacher likewise, was a missionary, travelling incessantly into distant regions for the general dissemination of Christianity, rather than residing in one spot to organise a local community.b In his absence, the government, and even the instruction of the community devolved upon the senate of Elders, who were likewise overseers, ἐπίσκοποι (no doubt the name was used interchangeably for some time); c yet there was still a recognised supremacy in the founder of the church.d The wider, however, the dissemination of Christianity, the more rare, and at longer intervals, the presence of the Apostle. An appeal to his authority, by letter, became more precarious and interrupted; while, at the same time, in many communities, the necessity for his interposition became more frequent and manifest; e and in the common order

b Yet we have an account of a residence even of St. Paul of eighteen months at Corinth, of two years at Ephesus, and he was two years during his first imprisonment at Rome. Acts xviii. 11; xix. 10; xxviii. 30.

e I have now read with care the best and fairest book on this subject, Rothe, Anfange der Christlicher Kirche. Though my view of the original monarchical principle is stronger than Rothe's, I see no reason to retract or modify my statement.—(1863.)

Rothe's argument, pp. 227-238, against what are called Lay Elders seems to me conclusive.

d St. Paul considered himself invested with the superintendence of all the churches which he had planted, 2 Cor. xi, 28.

e St. Jerome, quoted by Hooker (Eccles. Polity, b. vii. vol. iii. p. 130), assigns the origin of episcopacy to the dissensions in the Church, which required a stronger coercive authority. "Till through instinct of the devil, there grew in the church factions, and among the people it began to be professed, I am of Paul, I of Apollos, and I of Cephas, churches were governed by the common advice of presbyters: but when every one began to reckon those whom he had baptized his own, and not Christ's, it was decreed in the whole world that one chosen out of the presbyters should be placed above the rest, to whom all care of the church should belong, and so all seeds of schism be removed."

The government of the church

of nature, even independent of the danger of persecution, the primitive founder, the legitimate head of the community, would vacate his place by death. That the Apostle should appoint some distinguished individual as the delegate, the representative, the successor, to his authority, as primary instructor of the community; invest him in an episcopacy or overseership, superior to that of the co-ordinate body of Elders, is, in itself, by no means improbable; it harmonises with the period in which we discover, in the Sacred Writings, this change in the form of the permanent government of the different bodies; accounts most easily for the general submission to the authority of one religious chief magistrate, so unsatisfactorily explained by the accidental pre-eminence of the president of a college of coequal presbyters; and is confirmed by general tradition, which has ever, in strict unison with every other part of Christian history, preserved the names of many successors of the Apostles, the first bishops in most of the larger cities in which Christianity was first established.

But the authority of the bishop was that of influence, Authority of rather than of power. After the first nomination by the Apostle (if such nomination, as we suppose, generally took place), his successor was elective by that kind of acclamation which raised at once the individual most eminent for his piety and virtue to the post, which was that of danger, as well as of distinction. For a long period, the suffrages of the community ratified the appointment. Episcopal government was

ordinate function. "And God hath ing, helps, governments, diversities of set some in the church, first apostles, tongues." 1 Cor. xii. 28. secondly prophets, thirdly teachers:

seems to have been considered a sub- | after that, miracles, the gifts of heal-

thus, as long as Christianity remained unleavened by worldly passions and interests, essentially popular. The principle of subordination was inseparable from the humility of the first converts. Rights are never clearly defined till they are contested; nor is authority limited so long as it rests upon general reverence. When, on the one side, aggression, on the other, jealousy and mistrust, begin, then it must be fenced by usage and defined by law. Thus while I am inclined to consider the succession of bishops from the Apostolic times to be undeniable, the nature and extent of the authority which they derived from the Apostles are altogether uncertain. The ordination or consecration, whatever it might be, to that office, of itself conveved neither inspiration nor the power of working miracles, which, with the direct commission from the Lord himself, distinguished and set apart the primary Apostles from the rest of mankind. It was only in a very limited and imperfect sense that they could, even in the sees founded by the Apostles. be called the successors of the Apostles.

The presbyters were, in their origin, the ruling powers of the young communities; but in a society founded solely on a religious basis, religious qualifications would be almost exclusively considered. In the absence, therefore, of the primary teacher, they would assume that office likewise. In this they would differ from The presbythe Jewish elders. As the most eminent in The presbythe Jewish elders. As the most eminent in ters. piety and Christian attainments, they would be advanced by, or at least with, the general consent, to their dignified station. The same piety and attainments would designate them as best qualified to keep up and to extend the general system of instruction. They would be the regular and perpetual expositors of the Christian

law -the reciters of the life, the doctrines, the death, the resurrection of Christ; till the Gospels were written, and generally received, they would be the living Evangelists, the oral Scriptures, the spoken Gospel. They would not merely regulate and lead the devotions. administer the rites of baptism and the Lord's Supper, but repeat again and again, for the further confirmation of the believers and the conversion of Jews and Heathens, the facts and the tenets of the new religion. The government, in fact, in communities bound together by Christian brotherhood (such as we may suppose to have been the first Christian churches, which were happily undistracted by the disputes arising out of the Judaical controversy), would be an easy office, and entirely subordinate to that of instruction and edification. The communities would be almost self-governed by the principle of Christian love which first drew them together. The deacons were from the first an inferior order, and exercised a purely ministerial office-distributing the common fund to the poorer members, though the administration of the pecuniary concerns of the Church soon became of such importance as to require the superintendence of the higher rulers. The other functions of the deacons were altogether of a subordinate character.

Such would be the ordinary development of a Chris-

regular and systematic teachers would be necessary to a religion which probably could only subsist, certainly could not propagate itself with activity or to any great extent, except by this constant exposition of its principles in the public assembly, as well as in the more private communications of individuals,

f Here, likewise, the possessors of the $\chi a \rho l \sigma \mu a \tau a$ would be the casual and subsidiary instructors, or rather the gifted promoters of Christian piety, each in his separate sphere, according to his distinctive grace. But besides these, even if they were found in all churches, which is by no means clear,

tian community, in the first case, monarchical, as founded by an individual Apostle or recognised teacher of Christianity; subsequently, in the absence of that teacher, aristocratical, under a senate formed according to Jewish usage, though not precisely on Jewish principles; until, the place of the Apostle being supplied by a bishop, in a certain sense his representative or successor, it would revert to a monarchical form, limited rather by the religion itself than by any appointed controlling power. As long as the same holy spirit of love and charity actuated the whole body, the result would be an harmony. not from the counteracting powers of opposing forces, but from the consentient will of the general body; and the will of the government would be the expression of the universal popular sentiment. Where, however, from the first, the Christian community was formed of conflicting parties, or where conflicting principles began to operate immediately upon the foundation of the society, no single person would be generally recognised as the authoritative teacher, and the assumption and recognition of the episcopate would be more slow; or, indeed. would not take place at all till the final triumph of one of the conflicting parties. These communities retained, of necessity, the republican form. Such was the Church of state of the Corinthian Church, which was from exception. its origin, or almost immediately after, divided into

Such is the theory of episcopal αγάπη Ἰησοῦς Χρίστος ἄδεται καὶ οί κατ' ἄνδρα δὲ χορός γίνεσθε, Ίνα σύμφωνοι όντες εν δμονοία, χρώμα θεοῦ λαβόντες ἐν ἐνότητι, ἄδετε ἐν φωνή μια δια Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ τς πατρί, κ.τ.λ. Ad Ephes. p. 12, edit. Cotel. I speak of these epistles in a subsequent note.

government in a pleasing passage in the Epistles of Ignatius: "Οθεν πρέπει ύμιν συντρέχειν τή του επισκόπου γνώμη. δπερ καλ ποιείτε. Τὸ γαρ αξιονόμαστον ύμων πρεσβυτέριον, του θεου άξιον οδτως συνήρμοσται τώ ἐπισκόπφ ὡς χορδαὶ κιθάρα διὰ τοῦτο €ν τη δμονοία δμών, καὶ συμφώνφ

three separate parties, with a leading teacher or teachers at the head of each. The Petrine, or the ultra-Judaic, the Apolline, or more moderate Jewish party, contested the supremacy with the followers of St. Paul. Different individuals possessed, exercised, and even abused different gifts. The authority of Paul himself appears clearly, by his elaborate vindication of his Apostolic office, by no means to have been generally recognised. No Apostolic head, therefore, would assume an uncontested supremacy, nor would the parties coalesce in the choice of a superior. Corinth, probably, was the last community which settled down under the general episcopal constitution.

The manner and the period of the separation of a distinct class, a hierarchy, from the general body of the community, and the progress of the great division between the clergy and the laity, are equally obscure with the primitive constitution of the Church. Like the Judaism of the provinces, Christianity had no sacerdotal order. But as the more eminent members of the community were admitted to take the lead, on account of their acknowledged religious superiority, from their zeal, their talents, their gifts, their sanctity, the general

h I was led to conjecture that the distracted state of the Church of Corinth might induce the Apostles to establish elsewhere a more firm and vigorous authority, before I remembered the passage of St. Jerome quoted above, which coincides with this view. Corinth has been generally taken as the model of the early Christian constitution; I suspect that it was rather an anomaly.

i Already the Azikou are a distinct the Apostle.

class in the Epistle of Clemens to the Corinthians (c. xl. p. 170, edit. Coteler). This epistle is confidently appealed to by both parties in the controversy about church-government, and altogether satisfies neither. It is clear, however, from the tone of the whole epistle, that the Church at Corinth was anything rather than a model of church-government: it had been rent with schisms ever since the days of the Apostle.

reverence would, of itself, speedily set them apart as of a higher order; they would form the purest aristocracy, and soon be divided by a distinct line of demarcation from the rest of the community. Whatever the ordination might be which designated them for their peculiar function, whatever power or authority might be communicated by the "imposition of hands," it would add little to the reverence with which they were invested. It was at first the Christian who sanctified the function, afterwards the function sanctified the man. But the civil and religious concerns of the Church were so moulded up together, or rather, the temporal were so absorbed by the spiritual, that not merely the teacher, but the governor-not merely the bishop, properly so called, but the presbyter, in his character of ruler as well as of teacher—shared in the same peculiar veneration. The bishop would be necessarily mingled up in the few secular affairs of the community, the governors bear their part in the religious ceremonial. In this respect. again, they differed from their prototypes, or elders of the synagogue. Their office was, of necessity, more religious. The admission of members into the Jewish synagogue, except in the case of proselytes of righteousness, was a matter of hereditary right: circumcision was a domestic, not a public ceremony. But baptism, or the initiation into the Christian community, was a solemn ceremonial, requiring previous examination and proba-The governing power would possess and exercise the authority to admit into the community. They would perform, or at all events superintend, the initiatory rite of baptism. The other distinctive rite of Christianity, the celebration of the Lord's Supper, would require a more active interference and co-operation on the part

of those who presided over the community. To this there was nothing analogous in the office of the Jewish elder. Order would require that this ceremony should be administered by certain functionaries. If the bishop presided, after his appointment, both at the Lord's Supper itself and in the agape or feast which followed it, the elders would assist, not merely in maintaining order, but would officiate throughout the ceremony. In proportion to the reverence for the consecrated elements would be the respect towards those under whose especial prayers, and in whose hands, probably from the earliest period, they were sanctified for the use of the assembly. The presbyters would likewise possess the chief voice, a practical initiative, in the nomination of the bishop. From all these different functions the presbyters, and at length the deacons, became, as well as the bishop, a sacred order. But the exclusive or sacerdotal principle once admitted in a religious community, its own corporate spirit, and the public reverence, would cause it to recede further and further, and draw the line of demarcation with greater rigour and depth. They would more and more insulate themselves from the commonalty of the Christian republic; they would become a senate, a patrician, or a privileged order; and this secession into their peculiar sphere would be greatly facilitated by the regular gradations of the faithful and the catechumen, the perfect and the imperfect, the initiate and half-initiate, Christians. The greater the variety, the more strict the subordination of ranks.

Thus the bishop gradually assumed the title of pontiff; the presbyters became a sacerdotal order. From the Old Testament, and even from paganism, the Christians, at first as ennobling metaphors, adopted their sacred appellations. Insensibly the meaning of these significant titles worked into the Christian system. They assumed, as it were, a privilege of nearer approach to the Deity; and a priestly caste grew rapidly up in a religion which, in its primary institution, acknowledged only one mediator between earth and heaven. I shall subsequently trace the growth of the sacerdotal principle, and the universal establishment of the hierarchy.

CHAPTER V.

Christianity and Orientalism.

CHRISTIANITY had not only to contend with the Judaism of its native region, and the Paganism of the Western world, but likewise with the Asiatic religions, which, in the Eastern provinces of the Roman empire, maintained their ground, or mingled themselves with the Grecian Polytheism, and had even penetrated into Palestine. In the silence of its authentic records. the direct progress of Christianity in the East can neither be accurately traced nor clearly estimated; its conflict with Orientalism is chiefly visible in the influence of the latter upon the general system of Christianity, and in the tenets of the different sects which, from Simon Magus to Manes, attempted to reconcile the doctrines of the Gospel with the theogonical system of Asia. the West Christianity advanced with gradual, but unobstructed and unreceding, progress, till, first the Roman Empire, and successively the barbarous nations who occupied or subdued the rest of Europe, were brought within its pale. No new religion arose to dispute its supremacy; and the feeble attempt of Julian to raise up a Platonic Paganism in opposition to the religion of Christ must have failed, even if it had not been cut short in its first growth by the death of its imperial patron. In Asia, the progress of Christianity was suddenly arrested by the revival of Zoroastrianism, after the restoration of the Persian kingdom upon the ruins

of the Parthian monarchy; and, at a later period, the vestiges of its former success were almost entirely obliterated by the desolating and all-absorbing conquests of Mohammedanism. The Armenian was the only national church which resisted alike the persecuting edicts of the Sassanian fire-worshippers, and, submitting to the voke of the Mohammedan conqueror, rejected the worship of the Prophet. The other scattered communities of Christians, disseminated through various parts of Asia, on the coast of Malabar, perhaps in China, have no satisfactory evidence of Apostolic or even of very early date: they are so deeply impregnated with the Nestorian system of Christianity, which, during the interval between the decline of the reformed Zoroastrianism and the first outburst of Islamism, spread to a great extent throughout every part of the Eastern continent, a that there is every reason to suppose them Nestorian in their origin.^b The contest, then, of Christianity with the Eastern religions must be traced in their reaction upon the new religion of the West. By their treacherous alliance, they probably operated more extensively to the detriment of the Evangelic religion than Paganism by its open opposition. Asiatic influences have worked more completely into the body and essence of Christianity than any other foreign elements; and it is by no means improbable that tenets, which had their origin in India, have for many centuries predominated in, or materially affected

Palestine was admirably situated to become the centre

the Christianity of the whole Western world.

^{*} There is an extremely good view of the origin and history of the Christian communities in India, in Bohlen, Das alte Indien.

b Compare the new edition of Gibbon and the editor's note on the Nestorian Christians with the famous inscription of Siganfu, viii. 347.

and point of emanation for an universal religion. On the confines of Asia and Europe, yet sufficiently Situation of Palestine favourable secluded from both to be out of the way of for a new the constant flux and reflux of a foreign population, it commanded Egypt, and, through Egypt, associated Africa with the general moral kingdom. But it was not merely calculated for the birthplace of an universal faith by its local position. Judaism, as Judaism. it were, in its character (putting out of sight, for an instant, its divine origin) stood between the religions of the East and the West. It was the connecting link between the European and the Asiatic mind. In speculative sublimity, the doctrine of the Divine Unity soared to an equal height with the vast and imaginative cosmogonies of the East, while in its practical tendencies it approximated to the active and rational genius of the West.

The religions of Asia appear, if not of regularly affiliated descent, yet to possess a common and generic character, modified, indeed, by the genius of the different people, and, perhaps; by the prevailing tone of mind in the authors and founders of new doctrines. From the banks of the Ganges, probably from the shores of the Yellow Sea and the coasts of further India, to the Phœnician borders of the Mediterranean and the undefined limits of Phrygia in Asia Minor, there was that connexion and similitude, that community of certain elementary principles, that tendency to certain combinations of physical and moral ideas, which may be expressed by the term Orientalism.c The speculative

Compare Windischman, Philo- I may venture to say, a disciple, of sophie in fortgang der Welt Geschichte. F. Schlegel, and belongs to the high

Windischman was a friend, I believe Roman Catholic school in Germany.

theology of the higher, the sacerdotal, order, which in some countries left the superstitions of General the vulgar undisturbed, or allowed their own orientalism. more sublime conceptions to be lowered to their rude and limited material notions, aspired to the primal Source of Being. The Emanation system of India. according to which the whole worlds flowed from the Godhead and were finally to be reabsorbed into it; the Pantheism into which this degenerated, and which made the collective Universe itself the Deity; the Dualism of Persia, according to which the antagonist powers were created by, or proceeded from, the One Supreme and Uncreated; the Chaldean doctrine of divine Energies or Intelligences, the prototypes of the Cabalistic Sephiroth, and of the later Gnostic Æons, the same, no doubt, under different names, with the Æon and Protogenes, the Genos and Genea, with their regularly-coupled descendants in the Phœnician cosmogony of Sanchoniathon; and finally, the primitive and simpler worship of Egypt; all these are either branches of one common stock, or expressions of the same state of the human mind, working with kindred activity on the same visible phenomena of nature, and with the same object.

The Asiatic mind impersonated, though it did not, with the Greek, humanise everything. Light and Darkness, Good and Evil, the Creative and Destructive energy of nature, the active and passive Powers of generation, moral Perfection and Wisdom, Reason and Speech, even Agriculture and the Pastoral life, each was a distinct and intelligent being; they wedded each

VOL. II.

His book, which is full of abstruse | theory of a primitive tradition diffused thought and learning, developes the | through the East.

other according to their apparent correspondences; they begat progeny according to the natural affiliation or consequence of ideas.

One great elementary principle pervaded the whole religious systems of the East, the connexion of moral with physical ideas, the inherent purity, the divinity, of mind or spirit, the inalienable evil Malignity of of its antagonist, matter. Whether Matter coexisted with the First Great Cause: whether it was created by his power, but from its innate malignity became insubordinate to his will; whether it was extraneous to his existence, necessarily subsisting, though without form, till its inert and shapeless mass was worked upon by the Deity himself, or by his primal Power or Emanation, the Demiurge or Creator of the existing worlds: on these points the different national creeds were endlessly diversified. But in its various forms, the principle itself was the universal doctrine of the Eastern world: it was developed in their loftiest philosophy (in fact, their higher philosophy and their speculative religion were the same thing); it gave a kind of colouring even to their vulgar superstition, and operated; in many cases almost to an incredible extent, on their social and political system.

This great primal tenet is alike the elementary printing the universal primary principle. Ciple of the higher Brahminism and the more Bast. The theory of the division of castes supposes that a larger portion of the pure mind of the Deity is infused into the sacerdotal and superior orders; they are nearer the Deity, and with more immediate hope of being reabsorbed into the divine essence; while the lower classes are more inextricably immersed in the grosser matter of the world, their feeble portion of the essential

spirit of the Divinity contracted and lost in the predominant mass of corruption and malignity.d Buddhist, substituting a moral for a hereditary approximation to the pure and elementary mind, rests. nevertheless, on the same primal theory, and carries the notion of the abstraction of the spiritual part from the foul and corporeal being to an equal, if not a greater, height of contemplative mysticism.^e Hence the sanctity of fire among the Persians; that element which is most subtle and defæcated from all material corruption; it is therefore the representative of pure elementary mind, of Deity itself.g It exists independent of the material forms in which it abides, the sun and the heavenly bodies. To infect this holy element with any excretion or emanation from the material form of man; to contaminate it with the putrescent effluvia of the dead and soulless corpse, was the height of guilt and impiety.

This one simple principle is the parent of that Asceticism which maintained its authority among Source of all the older religions of the remoter East, Asceticisms forced its way at a very early period into Christianity, where, for some centuries, it exercised a predominant influence, and subdued even the active and warlike

d The self-existing power declared the purest part of him to be the mouth. Since the Brahmen sprung from the most excellent part; since he was the first-born, and since he possesses the Veda, he is by right the chief of the whole creation. Jones's Menu, i. 92, 93.

See the tracts of Mahony, Joinville, Hodgson, and Wilson, in the Asiatic Researches; Schmidt, Ges-

chichte der Ost Mongolen; Bergman, Nomadische Streifereyen, &c.

f Hyde, De Relig. Persarum, p. 13, et alibi. Kleuker, Anhang zum Zendavesta, vol. i. p. 116, 117. De Guigniaut, Religions de l'Antiquité, l. ii. c. 3, p. 333.

⁸ Kleuker, Anhang zum Zendavesta, vol. i. pt. 2, p. 147. De Guigniaut, ubi supra,

genius of Mohammedanism to its dreamy and ecstatic influence. On the cold table-lands of Thibet, in the forests of India, among the busy population of China, on the burning shores of Siam, in Egypt and in Palestine, in Christianised Europe, in Mohammedanised Asia, the worshipper of the Lama, the Faquir, the Bonze, the Talapoin, the Essene, the Therapeutist, the Monk, and the Dervish, have withdrawn from the society of man, in order to abstract the pure mind from the dominion of foul and corrupting matter. Under each system, the perfection of human nature was estrangement from the influence of the senses,—those senses which were enslaved to the material elements of the world; an approximation to the essence of the Deity, by a total secession from the affairs, the interests, the passions, the thoughts, the common being and nature of man. The practical operation of this elementary principle of Eastern religion has deeply influenced the whole history of man. But it had made no progress in Europe till after the introduction of Christianity. The manner in which it allied itself with, or rather incorporated itself into, a system, to the original nature and design of which it appears altogether foreign, will form a most important and perhaps not uninteresting chapter in the History of Christianity.

Celibacy was the offspring of Asceticism, but it does not appear absolutely essential to it; whether insulted nature reasserts its rights, and reconciles to the practice that which is in apparent opposition to the theory, or whether it revenges, as it were, this rebellion of nature on one point, by its more violent and successful invasions upon its unconquerable propensities on others. The Muni in India is accompanied

by his wife, who shares his solitude, and seems to offer no impediment to his sanctity, h though in some cases it may be that all connubial intercourse is sternly renounced. In Palestine, the Essene, in his higher state of perfection, stood in direct opposition to the spirit of the books of Moses, on which he still looked with the profoundest reverence, by altogether refraining from marriage. It was perhaps in this form that Eastern Asceticism first crept into Christianity. It assumed the elevating and attractive character of higher personal purity; it drew the line of demarcation more rigidly against the loose morality of the Heathen; it afforded the advantage of detaching the first itinerant preachers of Christianity more entirely from worldly interests: enabled them to devote their whole undistracted attention to the propagation of the Faith, and left them, as it were, more loose from the world, ready to break the few and slender ties which connected them with it at the first summons to a glorious martyrdom.i But it was not, as we shall presently observe, till Gnosticism began to exercise its influence on Christianity k that,

Abandoning all food eaten in towns, and all his household utensils, let him repair to the lonely wood, committing the care of his wife to his sons, or accompanied by her, if she choose to attend him. Sir W. Jones's Menu, vi. 3. I venture to refer to the pathetic tale of the hermit with his wife and son, from the Mahâ Bhârata, in my translations from the Sanskrit, Compare Vishnu Purana, p. 295.

In the very curious account of the Buddhist monks (the Σαμάναιοι—the Schamans) in Porphyrius de Abstinentià, lib, iv. 17, the Buddhist ascetic

Abandoning all food eaten in wns, and all his household utensils, thim repair to the lonely wood, mmitting the care of his wife to his ns, or accompanied by her, if she loose to attend him. Sir W. Jones's ——The Toyoart, Act viii., in fine.

i Clement of Alexandria, however, asserts that St. Paul was really married, but left his wife behind him, lest she should interfere with his ministry. This is his interpretation of 1 Cor. ix. 5.

k Tertullian adv. Marc. i. 29. Non tingitur apud illum caro, nisi virge, nisi vidua, nisi eælebs, nisi divortio baptismum mereatur . . . nec præseri-

emulous of its dangerous rival, or infected with its foreign opinions, the Church, in its general sentiment, espoused and magnified the pre-eminent virtue of celibacy.^m

The European mind of the older world, as repre-Unknown in sented by the Greeks and Romans, repelled for a long time, in the busy turmoil of political development and the absorbing career of war and conquest, this principle of inactivity and secession from the ordinary affairs of life. No sacerdotal caste established this principle of superiority over the active warrior, or even over the laborious husbandman. With the citizen of the stirring and factious republics of Greece, the highest virtue was of a purely political and practical character. The whole man was public: his individuality, the sense of which was continually suggested and fostered under the other system, was lost in the member of the commonwealth. That which contributed nothing to the service of the state was held in no respect. The mind, in its abstracted flights, obtained little honour; it was only as it worked upon the welfare. the amusement, or the glory of the republic, that its dignity was estimated. The philosopher might discuss the comparative superiority of the practical or the contemplative life, but his loftiest contemplations were occupied with realities, or what may be considered

bimus sed suademus sanctitatem . . . tunc denique conjugium exertè defendentes cum inimicè accusatur spurcitiæ nomine in destructionem creatoris qui proinde conjugium pro rei honestate benedixit, incrementum generis humani . . .

Clement of Alexandria. In one passage he condemns celibacy, as leading to misanthropy. Συνορῶ δὲ ὅπως τῆ προφάσει τοῦ γάμου οἱ μὲν ἀπεσχημένοι τούτου, μὴ κατὰ τὴν ἀγίαν γνῶσιν, εἰς μισανθρωπίαν ὑπεβρύησαν, καὶ τὸ τῆς ἀγάπης οἰχεται παρὰ αὐτοῖς. Strom. iii. 9.

m Compare the whole argument of across. Strom, iii. 9. the third book of the Stromata of

idealising those realities to a higher degree of perfection: to make good citizens was the utmost ambition of his wisdom; an Utopia was his heaven. The Cynic, who in the East, or in Europe after it became impregnated with Eastern doctrines, would have retired into the desert to his solitary hermitage, in order to withdraw himself entirely from the common interests, sentiments, and connexions of mankind; in Greece, took up his station in the crowded forum, or, pitching his tub in the midst of the concourse at the public games, inveighed against the vices and follies of mankind. Plato, if he had followed the natural bent of his genius, might have introduced, and indeed did introduce, as much as the Grecian mind was capable of imbibing of this theory of the opposition of mind and matter, with its ordinary consequences. The communities of his older master Pythagoras, who had probably visited the East, and drank deep of the Oriental mysticism, approached in some respects nearer to the contemplative character of monastic institutions. But the active mind of the Greek predominated; and the followers of Pythagoras, instead of founding comobitic institutions, or secluding themselves in meditative solitude, settled some of the flourishing republics of Magna Græcia. The great master, in whose steps Plato professed to tread more closely, was so essentially practical and unimaginative, as to bind his followers down to a less Oriental system of philosophy. While, therefore, in his Timæus, Plato attempted to harmonise parts of the cosmogonical theories of Asia with the more humanised mythology of Greece, the work which was more accordant to the genius of his country, was his Republic, in which all his idealism was, as it were, confined to the earth. Even his religion, though of much

sublimer cast than the popular superstition, was yet considered chiefly in its practical operation on the welfare of the state. It was his design to elevate humanity to a higher state of moral dignity; to cultivate the material body as well as the immaterial soul, to the height of perfection; not to sever, as far as possible, the connexion between these ill-assorted companions, or to withdraw the purer mind from its social and political sphere, into solitary and inactive communion with the Deity.

In Rome, the general tendency of the national mind was still more essentially public and political. In the Republic, except in a few less distinguished men, the Lælii and the Attici, even their philosophy was an intellectual recreation between the more pressing avocations of their higher duties: it was either to brace and mature the mind for future service to the state, or as a solace in hours of disappointed ambition or the haughty satiety of glory. Civil science was the end and aim of all their philosophic meditation. Like their ancient king, if they retired for communion with the Egeria of philosophy, it was in order to bring forth, on their return, more ample stores of political and legislative wisdom. Under the imperial government, they took refuge in the lofty reveries of the porch, as they did in inordinate luxury, from the degradation and enforced inactivity of servitude. They fled to the philosophic retirement, from the barrenness, in all high or stirring emotions, which had smitten the Senate and the Comitia; still looking back with a vain but lingering hope that the State might summon them again from retirement without dignity, from a contemplative life, which by no means implied an approximation to the divine, but rather a debasement of the human nature. Some, indeed, degraded their high tone of philosophy by still mingling in the servile politics of the day: Seneca lived and died the votary and the victim of court intrigue. The Thraseas stood aloof, not in ecstatic meditation on the primal Author of Being, but on the departed liberties of Rome; their soul aspired no higher than to unite itself with the ancient genius of the

Republic.

Orientalism had made considerable progress towards the West before the appearance of Christianity. Orientalism While the popular Pharisaism of the Jews had Asia. embodied some of the more practical tenets of Zoroastrianism, the doctrines of the remoter East had found a welcome reception with the Essene. Yet even with him, regular and unintermitting labour, not inert and meditative abstraction, was the principle of the ascetic community. It might almost seem that there subsisted some secret and indelible congeniality, some latent consanguinity, whether from kindred, common descent, or from conquest, between the caste-divided population on the shores of the Ganges, and the same artificial state of society in the valley of the Nile, so as to assimilate in so remarkable a manner their religion." It is certain, that the genuine Indian mysticism first established a permanent western settlement in the deserts of Egypt. Its first combination seems to have been with the Egyptian Judaism of Alexandria, and to have arisen from the dreaming Platonism, which in the schools of that city had been engrafted on the Mosaic Institutes.

m Bohlen's work, Das alte Indien, | collected concerning India, will be

of which the excellence in all other universally acknowledged, is written respects, as a condensed abstract of to maintain the theory of the early all that our own countrymen and the connexion of India and Egypt. scholars of Germany and France bave

The Egyptian Monks were the lineal descendants of the Jewish Therapeutæ, described by Philo.º Though the Therapeutæ, like the Essenes, were in some respects a productive community, yet they approached much nearer to the contemplative and indolent fraternities of the farther East. The arid and rocky desert around them was too stubborn to make much return to their less regular and less systematic cultivation; visionary indolence would grow upon them by degrees. The communities either broke up into the lairs of solitary hermits, or were constantly throwing off their more enthusiastic votaries deeper into the desert: the severer mortifications of the flesh required a more complete isolation from the occupations, as well as the amusements or enjoyments of life. To change the wilderness into a garden by patient industry, was to enthral the spirit in some degree to the service of the body; and in process of time, the principle was carried to its height. The more dreary the wilderness, the more unquestioned the sanctity of its inhabitant; the more complete and painful the privation, the more holy the worshipper; the more the man put off his own nature, and sank below the animal to vegetative existence, the more consummate his spiritual perfection. The full growth of this system was of a much later period; it did not come to maturity till after Christianity had passed through its conflict with Gnosticism; but its elements were, no doubt, floating about in the different western regions of Asia, and either directly through Gnosticism, or from the emulation of the two sects, which outbid each other, as it were, in austerity, it worked, at length, into the very intimate being of the Gospel religion.

[•] Philonis Opera. Mangey, vol. ii. p. 471.

The singular felicity, the skill and dexterity, if I may so speak, with which Christianity at first Combination wound its way through these conflicting ele- of Orientalments, combining what was pure and lofty in Christianity. each, in some instances unavoidably speaking their language, and simplifying, harmonising, and modifying each to its own peculiar system, increases our admiration of its unrivalled wisdom, its deep insight into the universal nature of man, and its pre-acquaintance, as it were, with the countless diversities of human character prevailing at the time of its propagation. But, unless the same profound wisdom had watched over its inviolable preservation, which presided over its origin; unless it had been constantly administered with the same superiority to the common passions and interests and speculative curiosity of man, a reaction of the several systems over which it prevailed was inevitable. On a wide and comprehensive survey of the whole history of Christianity, and considering it as left altogether to its own native force and impulse, it is difficult to estimate how far the admission, even the predominance, of these foreign elements, by which it was enabled to maintain its hold on different ages and races, may not have contributed both to its original success and its final permanence. The Eastern asceticism outbid Christianity in that austerity, that imposing selfsacrifice, that intensity of devotion, which acts with the greatest rapidity, and secures the most lasting authority over rude and unenlightened minds. By coalescing to a certain point with its antagonist, it embraced within its expanding pale those who would otherwise, according to the spirit of their age, have been carried beyond its sphere by some enthusiasm more popular and better suited to the genius of the time, or the temperament of

the individual. If it lost in purity, it gained in power, perhaps in permanence. No doubt, in its first contest with Orientalism were sown those seeds which grew up at a later period into Monasticism; it rejected the tenets, but admitted the more insidious principle of Gnosticism; yet there can be little doubt that in the dark ages, the monastic spirit was among the great conservative and influential elements of Christianity.

The form in which Christianity first encountered this wide-spread Orientalism, was either Gnosticism, or, if that philosophy had not then become consolidated into a system, those opinions which subsequently grew up into that prevalent doctrine of Western Asia. The first

P In this view of Gnosticism, besides constant reference to the original authorities, I must acknowledge my obligations to Brucker, Hist. Phil. vol. ii. p. 1, c. 3; to Mosheim, De Reb. Christ, ante Const. Mag.; to Beausobre, Hist. du Manicheisme; but above all, to the excellent Histoire du Gnosticisme, by M. Matter of Strasburg, 2 vols. 8vo. Paris, 1828. Since the first publication of this work new light has been thrown on Gnosticism and the Gnostic Teaching by the discovery of the (imperfect) Philosophumena, first erroneously attributed to Origen by the editor E. Miller, first and conclusively proved by the learning and sagacity of Bunsen to be the work of Hippolytus, Bishop of Porto near Rome, in the early part of the third century. On this point almost all are agreed—even Bunsen's most learned antagonists on other questions raised by this book, Dr. Wordsworth and Döllinger. On this controversy I have expressed my judgement fully

in a note to Latin Christianity, vol. i. p. 35. I think Bunsen triumphant in most points. In the Epistles to Archdeacon Hare, and in the Analecta published by Bunsen, in his great work Christianity and Mankind, will be found selected and illustrated the chief texts of the Philosophumena which bear on the rise and development of Gnosticism. Perhaps, as usual, Bunsen's bold and imaginative divination sees much which eyes not less keen, but endowed with less magnifying powers, will fail to discern.

Besides this work, the Christliche Gnosis of Baur, and the mature opinions of Neander in the second edition of his History, will satisfy readers who care to plunge into that dim labyrinth of Gnosticism, and to investigate its mysteries at greater length than the extent and proportions of my work, and my judgement as to the importance of such researches, permit me to expand into.—(1863.)

Orientalist was Simon Magus. In the conflict with St. Peter, related in the Acts, nothing transpires Simon Magus. as to the personal history of this remarkable man, excepting the extensive success with which he had practised his magical arts in Samaria, and the Oriental title which he assumed - "the Power of God." His first overtures to the Apostle appear as though he were desirous of conciliating the friendship and favour of the new teacher, and would not have been unwilling to have acted a subordinate part in the formation of his increasing sect. But from his first rejection, Simon Magus was an opponent, if there be any truth in the wild legends, which are still extant, the rival, of Christianity.^q On the arrival of the Christian teachers in Samaria, where, up to that period, his influence had predominated, Simon paid homage to the reality of his miracles, by acknowledging their superiority to his own. Still, it should seem that he only considered them as more adroit wonder-workers, or, as is more probable, possessed of some peculiar secrets beyond his own knowledge of the laws of nature, or, possibly (for imposture and superstition are ever closely allied), he may have supposed that they had intercourse with more powerful Spirits or Intelligences than his own. Jesus was to him either some extraordinary proficient in magic, who had imparted his prevailing gifts to his followers, the Apostles; or some superior genius, who lent himself to their bid-

It is among the most hopeless difficulties in early Christian history to decide, to one's own satisfaction, what groundwork of truth there may be in those works which bear the name of St. Clement, and relate the contests of St. Peter and Simon Magus. | history in the Acts.

That in their present form they are a kind of religious romance, few will doubt; but they are certainly of great antiquity, and it is difficult to suppose them either pure invention or mere embellishments of the simple

ding; or what Simon asserted himself to be, some power emanating more directly from the primal Deity. The "gift of the Holy Ghost" seemed to communicate a great portion, at least, of this magic influence, and to place the initiated in possession of some mighty secrets, or to endow him with the control of some potent spirits. Simon's offer of pecuniary remuneration betrays at once either that his own object was sordid, as he suspected theirs to be; or, at the highest, he sought to increase, by a combination with them, his own reputation and influence. Nor, on the indignant refusal of St. Peter, does his entreaty for their prayers, lest he should incur the wrath of their offended Deity, by any means imply a more accurate and Christian conception of their religion; it is exactly the tone of a man, half impostor and half enthusiast, who trembles before the offended anger of some mightier superhuman being, whom his ineffectual magic has no power to control or to appease. We collect no more than this from the narrative in the Acts.r

Yet, unless Simon was in fact a personage of considerable importance during the early history of Christianity, it is difficult to account for his becoming, as he is called by Beausobre, the hero of the Romance of Heresy. If Simon was the same with that magician, a Cypriot by birth, who was employed by Felix as agent in his intrigue to detach Drusilla from her husband, this part of his character accords with the charge of licentiousness advanced both against his life and his doctrines by his Christian opponents. This is by no means improbable; and indeed, even if he was not a person thus

Joseph. Ant. xx. 5, 2. Compare Apost.

r Acts viii. 9, 24. | Krebs and Kuinoel, in loco Act

politically prominent and influential, the early writers of Christianity would scarcely have concurred in representing him as a formidable and dangerous antagonist of the Faith, as a kind of personal rival of St. Peter, without some other groundwork for the fiction besides the collision recorded in the Acts. The doctrines which are ascribed to him and to his followers, who continued to exist for two or three centuries, harmonise with the glimpse of his character and tenets in the writings of St. Luke.

Simon probably was one of that class of adventurers which abounded at this period, or like Apollo- His real chanius of Tyana and others at a later time, with tenets. whom the opponents of Christianity attempted to confound Jesus and his Apostles. His doctrine was Oriental in its language and in its pretensions." He was the first Æon or Emanation, or rather perhaps the first manifestation of the primal Deity. He assumed not merely the title of the Great Power or Virtue of God. but all the other appellations—the Word, the Perfection, the Paraclete, the Almighty, the whole combined attributes of the Deity.* He had a companion, His Helena. Helena, according to the statement of his enemies, a beautiful prostitute, whom he found at Tyre,

living Simonians in his day (Contra Cels. lib. i.); which implies that they had subsisted nearly up to that time.

u Irenæus, lib. i. c. 20; the fullest of the early authorities on Simon. Compare Grabe's notes. The personal conflict with St. Peter in Rome, and the famous inscription "Semoni Sanco," must. I think, be abandoned to legend. That Simon was a heresiarch, and a

Origen denies the existence of | heresiarch of great power and wide influence, not a mythical personage created out of the passage in the Acts of the Apostles, is further and still more conclusively shown in the Sixth Book of the Philosophumena.

^{*} Ego sum Sermo Dei, ego sum Speciosus, ego Paracletus, ego Omnipotens, ego omnia Dei, Hieronym. in Matth. Op. iv. 114.

y Irenæus, as above.

who became in like manner the first conception (the Ennœa) of the Deity; but who, by her conjunction with matter, had been enslaved to its malignant influence, and having fallen under the power of evil angels, had been in a constant state of transmigration, and among other mortal bodies, had occupied that of the famous Helen of Troy. Beausobre, who elevates Simon into a Platonic philosopher, explains the Helena as a sublime allegory. She was the Psyche of his philosophic romance. The soul, by evil influences, had become imprisoned in matter. By her the Deity had created the angels: the angels, enamoured of her, had inextricably entangled her in that polluting bondage, in order to prevent her return to heaven. To fly from their embraces, she had passed from body to body. Connecting this fiction with the Grecian mythology, she was Minerva, or impersonated Wisdom; a perhaps, also, Helena, or embodied Beauty.b

It is by no means inconsistent with the character of Orientalism, or with the spirit of the times, to reconcile much of these different theories. According to the Eastern system of teaching by symbolic action, Simon may have carried about a living and real illustration of his allegory: his Helena may have been to his disciples the mystic image of an Emanation from the divine Mind; her native purity, indeed, originally

Beausobre, Hist. du Manichéisme,

^{*} His disciples worshipped two statues: of Simon as Zeus, of Helen as Athene. Εἰκόνα τε τοῦ Σίμωνος ἔχουσιν εἰς Διὸς μορφήν, καὶ τῆς Ἑλένης ἐν μορφή Αθηνᾶς, καὶ ταύτας προσκυνοῦσι, τὸν μὲν καλοῦντες κύριον, τὴν δὲ κυρίαν. Philosophu-

mena, vi. p. 176.

b ήτις ὰεὶ καταγινομένη ἐν γυναιξὶν ἐτάρασσε τὰς ἐν κόσμφ δυνάμεις διὰ τὸ ἀνυπὲρβλήτον αὐτῆς καλλος, p. 174. The Trojan war seems to have been held as a type of this strife among the world-ruling angels, caused by Helen.

defiled by the contagious malignity of matter, but under the guidance of the Hierophant, or rather by her sanctifying association with the "Power of God," either soaring again to her primal sanctity, or even while the grosser body was still abandoned to its inalienable corruption, emancipating the uninfected and unparticipant soul from all the depravation, almost from the consciousness, of corporeal indulgence. Be this as it Probability may; whether the opinions of Simon were of Simon. derived from Platonism, or, as it is much more likely, immediately from Eastern sources, his history is singularly characteristic of the state of the public mind at this period of the world. A man assuming the lofty appellation of the Power of God, and, with his female associate, personating the male and female Energies or Intelligences of the Deity, appears to our colder European reason a fiction too monstrous even for the proverbial credulity of human kind. But this Magianism of Simon must be considered in reference to the whole theory of theurgy or magic, and the prevalent theosophy or notions of the divine nature. In the East, superstition had in general repudiated the grossly material forms in which the Western anthropomorphism had embodied its gods; it remained more spiritual, but it made up for this by the fantastic manner in which it multiplied the gradations of spiritual beings more or less remotely connected with the first great Supreme. The more subtile the spirits, in general they were the more beneficent: the more intimately associated with matter, the more malignant. The avowed object of Simon was to destroy the authority of the evil spirits, and to emancipate mankind from their control. This peopling of the universe with a regularly descending succession of beings was common to the whole East, E VOL. II.

perhaps, in great part, to the West. The later Jewish doctrine of angels and devils approached nearly to it; it lurked in Platonism, and assumed a higher form in the Eastern cosmogonies. In these it not merely assigned guardian or hostile beings to individuals or to nations, but its peculiar creator to the material universe, from which it aspired altogether to keep aloof the origin and author of the spiritual world; though the latter superior and benignant Being was ordinarily introduced as interfering in some manner to correct, to sanctify, and to spiritualise the world of man; and it was in accordance with this part of the theory that Simon proclaimed himself the representative of Deity. That such was the Simonian doctrine, I think there can be no doubt; a very small part, however, only its elementary notions, can with any probability be traced to Simon himself. He was but the remote parent of a numerous, widespread, and inventive line of successors.c

Simon of Gettim in Samaria called himself a god, in imitation of a certain Apsethus, who in Libya trained some parrots to say "Apsethus is a god," and then let them loose. They flew abroad, all over Libya and as far as Greece. He obtained divine worship. But a clever Greek found out the trick, caught some of the parrots, and taught them to say, "Apsethus shut us up, and taught us to say, 'Apsethus is a god." He let them fly to Libya. Upon which the Libyans burned Apsethus as an impostor. This is an old story told of Hanno the Carthaginian, Ælian, Var. Hist., xiv. 30. Its introduction, and the stress laid upon it by Hippolytus, do not give a very high notion either of the learning or the fairness

c According to the Philosophumena, of the "Refuter of Heresies." But what is really curious and valuable in the work is the citations from the ἀπόφασις μεγάλη (the Great Announcement, the Scriptures, it may be called, of the Simonian sect). Of the existence of this book there can be no doubt. That it was written by the Simon Magus of the Acts, it were utter absurdity to suppose. It may have been the work of Dositheus or Menander, or of both of them, the true founders and inventors of Simonianism. Yet there can be no doubt that it was accepted by Hippolytus as the authentic work of Simon. The chaos of opinions which it discloses is almost inconceivable. Simon must have been well read in Plato and Aristotle, if not in Pythagoras (HipBut Simon, himself, was at no time a Christian; neither was the heir and successor of his doctrines. Me-

polytus everywhere discerns the influence, almost the exclusive influence, of Greek philosophy). He quotes the poet Empedocles. His Helena (he also allegorised the wooden horse) is derived from Homer and Stesichorus. He is equally familiar with the Old Testament (among other points he holds Fire to be the Primal Godhead; this he borrowed, according to Hippolytus, from the saying of Moses, "Our God is a consuming fire") and with the New; his Helena is the "lost sheep" of the Gospels. And we read the following strange parody, to our ears profane, on the great truths of Christianity: "As he had redeemed his Helena, so by his own wisdom (ἐπιγνώσεως, his Gnosis), he had brought salvation to the world. For the angels, through their ambition, having administered the world badly, He had come for the restoration of all things, metamorphosed and made equal to the Principalities and Powers, and to the Angels, so as to appear as a man, not being man, and to suffer seemingly in Judæa, though he did not suffer [with Bunsen, I erase the kal, and appeared to the Jews as the Son, in Samaria as the Father, among the Gentiles as the Holy Ghost. But he permitted himself to be called by any name by which men chose to call him. The Prophets, he avers, altered their prophecies inspired by the angels who created the world [the evil Demiurge], whom therefore the believers in Simon and Helena do not regard, but assert their own perfect freedom. For they say that they are saved by his grace [the grace of Simon]." (Bunsen,

by one of his arbitrary decisions, to my judgement in contradiction to the whole text, supposes all this to be the Simonian description of our Saviour, Jesus, not that of Simon,)

Indeed, the most remarkable part of this doctrine is its strong opposition to that of the Clementine Homilies. Here throughout Simon is the Saviour; he is the Christ, he that hath stood, that stands, that will stand (Hippolytus would show that he is not the Saviour) dri chrotos obe $\hbar \nu \leq (\mu \nu \nu)$, $\delta \approx 2 \pi \nu \omega$, $\sigma \approx 2 \pi \nu$. 162.

In the Acts we read that Simon's followers said "this man is the great Power of God" (δυνάμις τοῦ Θεοῦ ἡ μεγάλη), and according to all this system the great Power was the efflux of the Ineffable, Unapproachable, Unknown Godhead, the Redeemer of the materialised souls of men. In the Clementines he is the Antagonist of St. Peter. Even in his end there is a singular peculiarity in the fable. Here, too, in Rome he is opposed to St. Peter. But instead of attempting to fly, as in the vulgar tradition (Apost. Const. vi. 9), and falling and breaking his neck, Simon offered to be buried alive, and declared that he would rise again on the third day. His disciples buried him in a deep trench, "but to this day," says Hippolytus, "they await his resurrection."

Neander dismisses Simon and the Simonians almost with contempt. The Philosophumena, I think, show that I am right in attaching more importance to these doctrines, as an early source and manifestation of Gnostic opinions.

nander; d and it was not till it had made some progress in the Syrian and Asiatic cities, that Christianity came into closer contact with those Gnostic, or pre-Gnostic, systems, which, instead of opposing it with direct hostility, received it with more insidious veneration, and warped it into an unnatural accordance with their own principles. As the Jew watched the appearance of Jesus, and listened to his announcement as the Messiah, in anxious suspense, expecting that even yet He would assume those attributes of temporal grandeur and visible majesty which, according to his conceptions, were inseparable from the true Messiah; as, even after the death of Jesus, the Jewish Christians still eagerly anticipated his immediate return to Judgement, his millennial reign, and his universal dominion: so many of the Oriental speculatists, as soon as Christianity began to be developed, hailed it as the completion of their own wild theories, and Christianity. forced it into accordance with their universal tenet of distinct intelligences emanating from the primal Being. Thus Christ, who to the vulgar Jew was to be a temporal king, to the Cabalist or the Chaldean, or to men of kindred opinions, became a Sephiroth, an Æon, an emanation from the One Supreme. While the author of the religion remained on earth, and while the religion itself was still in its infancy, Jesus was in danger of being degraded into a King of the Jews, his Gospel of becoming the code of a new religious republic.e Directly it got beyond the borders of Pales-

name, being sent by the Supreme Power of God. His baptism conferred a resurrection not only to eternal life, but to eternal youth. An opinion, as

d Menander baptized in his own | M. Matter justly observ s, not easily reconcileable to those who considered the body the unworthy prison of the soul. Irenæus, i. 21. Matter, i. 219.

[•] The Ebionites of Neander. Nean-

tine, and the name of Christ had acquired sanctity and veneration in the Eastern cities, he became a kind of metaphysical impersonation, while the religion lost its purely moral cast, and assumed the character of a speculative theogony.

Ephesus is the scene of the first collision between Christianity and Orientalism of which we can trace any authentic record. Ephesus, I have before described as the great emporium of magic arts, and the place where the unwieldy allegory of the East lingered in the bosom of the more elegant Grecian Humanism. Here the Greek, the Oriental, the Jew. the philosopher, the magician, the follower of John the Baptist, the teacher of Christianity, were no doubt encouraged to settle by the peaceful opulence of the inhabitants, and the constant influx of strangers, under the proudly indifferent protection of the municipal authorities and of the Roman Government. In Ephesus, according to universal tradition, survived the last of the Apostles; and here the last of the Gospelssome have supposed, I think rightly, the latest of the writings of the New Testament—appeared in the midst of this struggle with the foreign elements of con-

der's chapter on the Ebionites and Nazarenes is excellent. I acquiesce in his explanation of Ebion (from the Hebrew word 172%, the poor); but instead of taking the word, as Origen did, in his allegoric vein, as a contemptuous appellation from their poverty of doctrine, I would suppose that these refugees, who fled during the war of Titus and the war of Hadrian, and stole back to Jerusalem, were poor as compared with the Gentile Christians,

and the earlier Christians of Palestine addressed by St. James in his Epistle, "Go. to now, ye rich men."

f The Temple of Diana was the triumph of pure Grecian architecture: but her statue was not that of the divine Huntress like that twin sister of the Belvidere Apollo in the gallery at Paris; she was the Diana multimamma, the emblematic impersonation of All-productive, All-nutritive Nature.

flicting systems. This Gospel was written, I conceive, not against any peculiar sect or individual, but to arrest the spirit of Orientalism, which was working into the essence of Christianity, destroying its beautiful simplicity, and threatening altogether to change both its design and its effects upon mankind. In some points, it necessarily spoke the language, which was common alike, though not precisely with the same meaning, to the Platonism of the West and the Theogonism of the East. But how different and peculiar its sense! It kept the moral and religious, if not altogether distinct from the physical notions, yet clearly and invariably predominant. While it appropriated the well-known and almost universal term, the Logos, or Word of God, to the divine author of Christianity,^g and even adopted some of the imagery from the hypothesis of conflicting light and darkness; yet it altogether rejected all the wild cosmogonical speculations on the formation of the world; it was silent on that elementary distinction of the Eastern creed, the separation of matter from the ethereal mind. The union of the soul with the Deity, though in the writings of John it takes something of a mystic tone, is not the Pantheistic absorption into the parent Deity; it is an union by the aspiration of the pious heart, the conjunction by pure and holy love with the Deity, who, to the ecstatic moral affection of the adorer, is himself pure love. It insists not on abstraction from matter, but from sin, from hatred. from all fierce and corrupting passions; its new life is active as well as meditative; a social principle, which incorporates together all pure and holy men, and conjoins them with their federal head, Christ, the image

⁸ Compare Burton (Bampton Lectures), who fully admits this.

and representative of the God of Love; it is no principle of isolation in solitary and rapturous meditation; it is a moral, not an imaginative purity.

Among the opponents to the holy and sublime Christianity of St. John, during his residence at Ephesus, the names of the Nicolaitans and of Cerinthus Nicolaitans. alone have survived.h Of the tenets of the former, and the author of the doctrine, nothing precise is known; but the indignant language with which they are alluded to in the Sacred Writings implies that they were not merely hostile to the abstract doctrines, but also to the moral effects of the Gospel. Nor does it appear quite clear that the Nicolaitans were a distinct and organised sect.

Cerinthus was the first of whose tenets we have any distinct statement, who, admitting the truth of Cerinthus. Christianity, attempted to incorporate with it foreign and Oriental tenets.i Cerinthus was of Jewish descent, and educated in the Judæo-Platonic school of Alexandria.k His system was a singular and, appa-

colaitans from Nicolas, one of the seven deacons. Acts vi. 5. Eusebius (Eccl. Hist. l. iii. c. 29) relates a story that Nicolas, accused of being jealous of his beautiful wife, offered her in matrimony to whoever chose to take her. His followers, on this example, founded the tenet of promiscuous concubinage. Wetstein, with whom Michaelis and Rosenmüller are inclined to agree, supposed that Nicolas was a translation of the Hebrew word Bileam, both signifying, in their respective languages, the subduer or the destroyer of the people. Michaelis,

h General tradition derived the Ni- | Eichhorn, and Storr, suppose, therefore, that it was the name rather of a sect than an individual, and the same with those mentioned in 2 Pet. ii. 10, 13, 18; iii. 3; Jud. 8, 16. See Rosenmüller on Rev. ii. 6. The Philosophumena takes the popular view of the Nicolaitans from Nicolas the deacon; it is precisely the same view and in the same words with Irenæus.

i See Mosheim, De Rebus ante C. M., p. 199. Matter, i. 221.

k Theodoret, ii. c. 3. This is expressed by the Philosophumena. It confirms also Neander's ingenious connexion of the tenets with those of Philo.

rently, incongruous fusion of Jewish, Christian, and Oriental notions. He did not, like Simon or Menander, invest himself in a sacred and mysterious character, though he pretended to angelic revelations.^m Like all the Orientals, his imagination was haunted with the notion of the malignity of matter; and his object seems to have been to keep both the primal Being and the Christ uninfected with its contagion. The Creator of the material world, therefore, was a secondary beingan angel or angels: as Cerinthus seems to have adhered to the Jewish, and did not adopt the Oriental language." But his national and hereditary reverence for the Law withheld him from that bold and hostile step which was taken by most of the other Gnostic sects, to which, no doubt, the general animosity to the Jews in Syria and Egypt concurred—the identification of the God of the Jewish covenant with the inferior and malignant author of the material creation. He retained, according to one account, his reverence for the rites, the ceremonies, the Law, and the Prophets,o of Judaism, to which he was probably reconciled by the allegoric interpretations of Philo. The Christ, in his theory, was of a higher order than those secondary and subordinate beings who had presided over the older world. But, with the jealousy of all the Gnostic sects, lest the pure Emanation from the Father should be unnecessarily contaminated by too intimate a conjunction with a material and mortal form. he relieved him from the degradation of a human birth.

m Eusebius, E. H. iii. 28, from Caius the presbyter, τερατολογίας ημίν ως δι' άγγέλων αύτο δεδειγμένας ψευδομενος.

^B Epiphanii Hær. viii. 28. According to Irenæus, "a virtute quadam | Law inspired the Prophets.

valdè separatâ, et distante ab eâ principalitate quæ est super universa et ignorante eum qui est super omnia Deum." Iren. i. 25.

o Inferior angels to those of the

by supposing that the Christ above descended on the man Jesus at his baptism; and from the ignominy of a mortal death, by making him reascend before that crisis, having accomplished his mission of making known "the Unknown Father," the pure and primal Being, of whom the worshippers of the Creator of the material universe, and of the Jehovah of the Jews, were alike ignorant. But the most inconsequential part of the doctrine of Cerinthus was his retention of the Jewish doctrine of the millennium. It must, indeed, have been purified from some of its grosser and more sensual images; for the Christos, the immaterial Emanation from the Father, was to preside during its long period of harmony and peace.

The later Gnostics were bolder but more consistent innovators on the simple scheme of Chris- Later tianity. It was not till the second century Gnostics. that the combination of Orientalism with Christianity was matured into the more perfect Gnosticism. was, perhaps, at its height from about the year 120 to 140. In all the great cities of the East, in which Christianity had established its most flourishing communities, sprang up this rival, which aspired to a still higher degree of knowledge than was revealed in the Gospel, and boasted that it soared almost as much above the vulgar Christianity as above the vulgar Paganism. Antioch, where the first church of the Christians had been opened, beheld the followers of Saturninus withdrawing, in a proud assurance of their superiority, from the common brotherhood of believers, and insulating

P Cerinthus was considered by some acaly writers the author of the Apocalypse, because that work appeared to contain his grosser doctrine of the millennial reign of Christ. Dionysius apud Euseb. iii. 282; vii. 25.

themselves as the gifted possessors of still higher spiritual secrets. Edessa, whose king very early Christian fable had exalted into a personal correspondent with the Saviour, rang with the mystic hymns of Bardesanes; to the countless religious and philosophical factions of Alexandria were added those of Basilides and Valentinus; until a still more unscrupulous and ardent enthusiast, Marcion of Pontus, threw aside in disdain the whole existing religion of the Gospel, remodelled the sacred books, and established himself as the genuine hierophant of the real Christian mysteries.

Gnosticism, though very different from Christianity, was of a sublime and imposing character as The primal an imaginative creed, and not more unreasonable than the other attempts of human reason to solve the inexplicable secret, the origin of evil. Though variously modified, the systems of the different teachers were essentially the same. The primal Deity remained aloof in his unapproachable majesty; the Unspeakable, the Ineffable, the Nameless, the Self-existing.^q The Pleroma, the fulness of the Godhead, expanded itself in still outspreading circles, and approached, till it comprehended, the universe. From the Pleroma emanated all spiritual being, and to the Pleroma all such being was to return and mingle again in indissoluble unity. By their entanglement in malign and hostile matter—the source of moral as well as physical evil—all outwardly existing beings had degenerated

q The author of the Apostolic Con- Πατέρα τοῦ Χριστοῦ, μηδὲ τοῦ of all the early heresies, τον μέν παντοκράτορα Θεδν βλασφημείν, λον. Lib. vi. c. 10. άγνωστον δοξάζειν, και μη είναι

stitutions asserts, as the first principle κόσμου δημιουργόν, ἀλλ' ἄλεκτον, άρδητον, ακατονόμαστον, αὐτογένεθ-

from their high origin; their redemption from this foreign bondage, their restoration to purity and peace in the bosom of Divinity, the universal harmony of all immaterial existence, thus resolved again into the Pleroma, was the merciful design of the Æon The Æon Christ, who had for this purpose invaded and Christ. subdued the foreign and hostile provinces of the pre-

siding Energy, or Deity, of matter.

In all the Oriental sects this primary principle, the malignity of matter, haunted the imagination; Malignity of and to this principle every tenet must be ac- matter. commodated. The sublimest doctrines of the Old Testament—the creative omnipotence, the sovereignty, the providence of God, as well as the grosser and anthropomorphic images, in which the acts and passions, and even the form of man, are assigned to the Deity-fell under the same remorseless proscription. It was pollution, it was degradation to the pure and elementary spirit, to mingle with, to approximate, to exercise even the remotest influence over, the material world. The creation of the visible universe was made over, according to all, to a secondary, with most, to a hostile Demiurge. The hereditary reverence which had modified the opinions of Cerinthus, with regard to the Jehovah of his fathers. had no hold on the Syrian and Egyptian speculatists. They fearlessly pursued their system to its consequences, and the whole of the Old Testament was abandoned to the inspiration of an inferior and evil dæmon; the Jews were left in exclusive possession of their national Deity, whom the Gnostic Christians disdained to ac- Rejection of knowledge as bearing any resemblance to the tament. abstract, remote, and impassive Spirit. To them, the mission of Christ revealed a Deity altogether unknown in the dark ages of a world which was the creation and

the domain of an inferior being. They would not, like the philosophising Jews, take refuge in allegory to explain the too material images of the works of the Deity in the act of creation, and his subsequent rest; the intercourse with man in the garden of Eden: the trees of knowledge and of life; the Serpent, and the Fall. They rejected the whole as altogether extraneous to Christianity, belonging to another world, with which the God revealed by Christ had no concern or relation. If they condescended to discuss the later Jewish history, it was merely to confirm their preconceived notions. The apparent investiture of the Jehovah with the state and attributes of a temporal sovereign, the imperfection of the Law; the barbarity of the people, the bloody wars in which they were engaged; in short, whatever in Judaism was irreconcileable with a purely intellectual and morally perfect system, argued its origin from an imperfect and secondary author.

But some tenets of primitive Christianity came no less into direct collision with the leading principles of Orientalism. The human nature of Jesus was too deeply impressed upon all the Gospel history, and perplexed the whole school, as well the precursors of Gnosticism as the more perfect Gnostics. His birth and death bore equal evidence to the unspiritualised materialism of his mortal body. The Gnostics seized with avidity the distinction between the divine and human nature; but the Christ, the Æon, which emanated from the pure and primal Deity, as yet unknown in the world of the inferior creator, must be relieved as far as possible from the degrading and contaminating association with the mortal Jesus. The simpler hypothesis of the union of the two natures, mingled too closely, according to their views, the ill-assorted companions. The human birth of Jesus, though guarded by the virginity of his mother, was still offensive to their subtler and more fastidious purity. therefore, the Emanation from the Pleroma, descended upon the man Jesus at his baptism. The death of Jesus was a still more serious cause of embarrassment. They seem never to have entertained the notion of an expiatory sacrifice; and the connexion of the ethereal mind with the pains and sufferings of a carnal body, was altogether repulsive to their strongest prejudices. Before the death, therefore, of Jesus, the Christ had broken off his temporary association with the perishable body of Jesus, and surrendered it to the impotent resentment of Pilate and of the Jews; or, according to the theory of the Docetæ, adopted by almost all the Gnostic sects, the whole union with the material human form was an illusion upon the senses of men; it was but an apparent human being, an impassive phantom, which seemed to undergo all the insults and the agony of the cross.

Such were the general tenets of the Gnostic sects, emanating from one simple principle. But the details of their cosmogony, their philosophy, and their religion, were infinitely modified by local circumstances, by the more or less fanciful genius of their founders, and by the stronger infusion of the different elements of Platonism, Cabalism, or that which, in its stricter sense, may be called Orientalism. The number of circles, or emanations, or procreations, which intervened between the spiritual and the material world; the nature and the rank of the Creator of that material world; his more or less close identification with the Jehovah of Judaism; the degree of malignity which they attributed to the latter; the office and the nature of the Christos,—these

were open points, upon which they admitted, or, at least, assumed, the utmost latitude.

The earliest of the more distinguished Gnostics is Saturninus, who is represented as a pupil of Menander, the successor of Simon Magus." But this Samaritan sect was always in direct hostility with Christianity, while Saturninus departed less from the Christian system than most of the wilder and more imaginative teachers of Gnosticism. The strength of the Christian party in Antioch may in some degree have overawed and restrained the aberrations of his fancy. Saturninus did not altogether exclude the primal spiritual Being from all concern or interest in the material world. For the Creator of the visible universe, he assumed the seven great angels (which the later Jews had probably borrowed, though with different powers, from the seven Amschaspands of Zoroastrianism) or rather the Chief of these seven, who was the God of the Jews. Neither were these angels essentially evil, nor was the domain on which they exercised their creative power altogether surrendered to the malignity of matter; it was a kind of debateable ground between the powers of evil and of good. The historian of Gnosticism has remarked the singular beauty of the fiction regarding the creation of man. "The angels tried their utmost efforts to form man; but there arose under their creative influence only 'a worm creeping upon the earth.' God. condescending to interpose, sent down his Spirit, which breathed into the reptile the living soul of man." It is

Euseb. iv. 7; Epiphan. Hær. 23; Mosheim, p. 336; Matter, i. 276. He Theodoret, Hær. Fab. lib. iii.; Ter- lived under Hadrian. tullian, De Animâ, 23; De Præscrip.

r On Saturninus, see Irenæus, i. 22; | cont. Hær. c. 46. Of the moderns,

not quite easy to connect with this view of the origin of man the tenets of Saturninus, that human kind was divided into two distinct races, the good and the bad. Whether the latter became so from receiving a feebler and less influential portion of the divine Spirit. or whether they were a subsequent creation of Satan, who assumes the station of the Ahriman of the Persian system, does not clearly appear." But the descent of Christ was to separate finally these two conflicting races. He was to rescue the good from the predominant power of the wicked; to destroy the kingdom of the spirits of evil, who, emanating in countless numbers from Satan their chief, waged a fatal war against the good; and to elevate them far above the power of the chief of the angels, the God of the Jews, for whose imperfect laws were to be substituted the purifying principles of Asceticism, by which the children of light were reunited to the source and origin of light. The Christ himself was the Supreme Power of God, immaterial, incorporeal. formless, but assuming the semblance of man; and his followers were, as far as possible, to detach themselves from their corporeal bondage, and assimilate themselves to his spiritual being. Marriage was the invention of Satan and his evil spirits, or at best, of the great Angel, the God of the Jews, in order to continue the impure generation. The elect were to abstain from propagating a race of darkness and imperfection. Whether Saturninus, with the Essenes, maintained this total abstinence as the especial privilege of the higher class of his followers, and permitted to the less perfect the continuation

Mosheim. M. Matter, on the contrary, says,—"Satan n'a pas pourtant créé t. i. p. 285. ces hommes, il les a trouvé tout faits,

The latter opinion is that of | il s'en est emparé; c'est là sa sphère

of their kind, or whether he abandoned altogether this perilous and degrading office to the wicked, his system appears incomplete, as it seems to yield up as desperate the greater part of the human race; to perpetuate the dominion of evil; and to want the general and final absorption of all existence into the purity and happiness of the primal Being.

Alexandria, the centre, as it were, of the speculative and intellectual activity of the Roman world, to which ancient Egypt, Asia, Palestine, and Greece, furnished the mingled population of her streets, and the conflicting opinions of her schools, gave birth to the two succeeding and most widely disseminated sects of Gnosticism, those of Basilides and Valentinus.

Basilides was a Syrian by birth, and by some is supposed to have been a scholar of Menander, at the same time with Saturninus. He claimed. however, Glaucias, a disciple of St. Peter, as his original teacher; and his doctrines assumed the boastful title of the Secret Traditions of the great Apostle.^t He also had some ancient prophecies, those of Cham and Barkaph." peculiar to his sect. According to another authority. he was a Persian; but this may have originated from the Zoroastrian cast of his primary tenets.* From the Zendavesta, Basilides drew the eternal hostility of mind and matter, of light and darkness; but the Zoroastrian doctrine seems to have accommodated itself to the

the Basilidians professed to derive their doctrines from the Apostle Matthias.

[&]quot; Irenæus differs, in his view of the Basilidian theory, from the remains of the Basilidian books appealed to by Clement of Alexandria, Strom. vi. Euseb., H. E. iv. 7.

According to the Philosophumena, | p. 375, 795; Theodoret, Hæret. Fabul. 1, 2; Euseb. E. H. iv. 7. Basilides published twenty-four volumes of Exegetica, or interpretations of his doctrines.

^{*} Clemeus Alex., Stromata, vi. 642.

kindred systems of Egypt. In fact, the Gnosticism of Basilides appears to have been a fusion of the ancient sacerdotal religion of Egypt with the angelic and dæmoniac theory of Zoroaster. Basilides did not, it seems, maintain his one abstract unapproachable Deity far above the rest of the universe, but connected him, by a long and insensible gradation of intellectual developments or manifestations, with the visible and material world. From the Father proceeded seven beings, who together with him made up an ogdoad; constituted the first scale of intellectual beings, and inhabited the highest heaven, the purest intellectual sphere. According to their names—Mind, Reason, Intelligence (Φρόνησις). Wisdom, Power, Justice, and Peace—they are merely, in our language, the attributes of the Deity, impersonated in this system.

The number of these primary Æons is the same as the Persian system of the Deity and the seven Amschaspands, and the Sephiroth of the Cabala, and, pro-

The Philosophumena enters at some length into the doctrines of Basilides, and has, seemingly, many citations from his writings. Hippolytus, as is his wont, traces the origin of them to the Greek philosopher. According to the Philosophumena, the primal Deity was so absolutely secluded from all beings as himself to cease to be a being. Basilides went on in his negation till he denied the existence of God. It is a strange passage, which Bunsen seems to me to have eluded : Ἐπεὶ οὐδὲν ἢν, οὐχ δλη, οὐκ οὐσία, οὐκ ἀνούσιον, οὐχ άπλοῦν, οὐ σύνθετον, οὐ νοητὸν, οὐκ ἀναίσθητον, οὐκ ἄνθρωπος, οὐκ

άγγελος, οὐ θεδς, οὐδὲ ὅλως τι τῶν ὀνομαζόμενων ἡ δι ἀἰσθήσεως λαμβανομένων ἡ νοητῶν πραγμάτων, ἀλλ' οὕτω λεπτομεροτόρως πάντων ἀπλῶς περιγεγραμμένων, οὐκ ὧν θεὸς

(δν 'Αριστοτέλης καλεί νόησιν νοήσεως, οὖτοι δὲ οὐκ ὄντα)

ανοήτως, αναισθήτως, αβούλως, απροαιρέτως, απαθώς, ανεπιθυμήτως κόσμον ήθέλησε ποιήσαι (p. 58, in Bunsen's Analecta). The first seems to have been a purely intellectual or metaphysical evolution. But this Being, or no Being, contained within itself the seed of the whole universe, the Cosmos.

bably, as far as that abstruse subject is known, of the ancient Egyptian theology.^a

The seven primary effluxes of the Deity went on producing and multiplying, each forming its own realm or sphere, till they reached the number of 365.^b The total number formed the mystical Abraxas,^c the legend

* See Matter, vol. ii. p. 5-37.

b It is difficult to suppose that this number, either as originally borrowed from the Egyptian theology, or as invented by Basilides, had not some astronomical reference. All this, observes Bunsen, is merely the mythological form of psychologic speculation, based upon the simple words of the Prologue and coupled with the imaginary astronomy of the ancient world. Bunsen goes on to describe exceedingly well the next process according to the Philosophumena: "It is stated in our extracts that the words, 'Let there be light,' produced the germ or seed of the world, which, adds Basilides, is the light that came into the world (John i.). The beauty of Divine goodness attracts the element of life in matter: this Divine element Basilides calls the Sonship. There are three classes of Sonship. The most refined element flies by its own nature up to the Ineffable Father; the second Sonship uses the Holy Spirit as a wing, but rises by its assistance to the paternal glory, from whence the Holy Spirit, being repulsed by the Ineffable (and attracted by matter), sinks into an intermediate state below the Ineffable (purely intellectual), but still above this earth (the mere psychical or animal). The essence of the life of this earth is concentrated in the Demiurgos, or Spirit of the material world, whose

Son (conscious realization?) is much more elevated than himself. This material world in its brute resistance, in its blind hostility to the Divine formative and limiting power, is the evil principle." Christianity and Mankind, vol. i. p. 18. In the original of which this is the summary, there is much grace and fancy of imagery; but how far are we from the simplicity of the Gospel, even from that part of St. John which borders most closely on the mystic?

c Irenæus, i. 23. See in M. Matter, ii. 49, 54, the countless interpretations of this mysterious word. We might add others to those collected by his industry. M. Matter adopts, though with some doubt, the opinion of M. Bellerman and M. Munter. "Le premier de ces écrivains explique le mot d'Abraxas par le kopte, qui est incontestablement à l'ancienne langue d'Egypte ce que la grec moderne est au langage de l'ancienne Gréce, La syllable sadsch, que les Grecs ont dû convertir en σαξ, ou σας, ou σαζ. n'ayant pu exprimer la dernière lettre de cette syllable, que par les lettres X, Z, ou Z, signifierait parole, et abrak bêni, saint, adorable, en sorte que le mot d'Abraxas tout entier offrirait le sens de parole sacrée. M. Munter ne s'éloigne de cette interprétation, que pour les syllables abrak qu'il prend pour le mot kopte 'berra,'

which is found on so many of the ancient gems, the. greater part of which are of Gnostic origin; though, as much of this theory was from the doctrines of ancient Egypt, not only the mode of expressing their tenets by symbolic inscriptions, but even the inscription itself, may be originally Egyptian.d The lowest of these worlds bordered on the realm of matter. On this confine the first confusion and invasion of the hostile elements took place. At length the chief Angel of this sphere, on the verge of intellectual being, was seized with a desire of reducing the confused mass to order. With his assistant angels, he became the Creator. Though the form was of a higher origin, it was according to the idea of Wisdom, who, with the Deity, was part of the first and highest ogdoad. Basilides professed the most profound reverence for Divine Providence; and in Alexandria, the God of the Jews, softened off, as it were, and harmonised to the philosophic sentiment by the school of Philo, was looked upon in a less hostile light than by the Syrian and Asiatic school. The East lent its system of guardian angels, and the assistant angels of the Demiurge were the spiritual rulers of the nations, while the Creator himself was that of the Jews, Man was formed of a triple nature: his corporeal form of brute and malignant matter; his animal soul. the Psychic principle, which he received from the Demiurge; the higher and purer spirit, with which he was endowed from a loftier region. This pure and ethereal spirit was to be emancipated from its impure

nouveau, ce qui donne à l'ensemble tion of these Egyptian and Egypto-

Matter's work, a very curious collec- particularly p. 23.

le sens de parole nouveau." Matter, Grecian medals; and a work of Dr. Walsh on these coins. Compare, liked See, in the supplement to M. wise, Reuven's Lettres à M. Letronne,

companionship: and Egypt, or rather the whole East, lent the doctrine of the transmigration of souls, in order to carry this stranger upon earth through the gradations of successive purification, till it was readmitted to its parent heaven.

Basilides, in the Christian doctrine which he interwove with this imaginative theory, followed the usual Gnostic course.^e The Christ, the first Æon of the Deity, descended on the man Jesus at his baptism; but, by a peculiar tenet of their own, the Basilidians rescued even the man Jesus from the degrading sufferings of the cross. Simon the Cyrenian was changed into the form of Jesus; on him the enemies of the Crucified wasted their wrath, while Jesus stood aloof in the form of Simon, and mocked their impotent malice. Their moral perceptions must have been singularly blinded by their passion for their favourite tenet, not to discern how much they lowered their Saviour by making him thus render up an innocent victim as his own substitute.

Valentinus appears to have been considered the most formidable and dangerous of this school of Gnostics. f He was twice excommunicated, and twice received again into the bosom of the Church. He did not confine his dangerous opinions to the school of Alexandria; he introduced the wild Oriental speculations into the more peaceful West; taught at Rome; and, a third time being expelled from the Christian society, retired to Cyprus, an island where the Jews

e Irenæus, i. 29, compared with | works of Clement of Alexandria. Terthe other authors cited above.

calia Orientalis, at the end of the 79-96.

tullian adversus Valentin. f Irenæus, Hær. v. Clemens. Alex., doret, Fab. Hær. i. 7. Epiphanius, Strom. Origen, De Princip. contra Hær. 31. Philosophumena, p. 177, Celsum. The author of the Didas- et seqq. Bunsen's Analecta, vol. i. p.

were formerly numerous till the fatal insurrection in the time of Hadrian; and where probably the Oriental philosophy might not find an unwelcome reception, on

the border, as it were, of Europe and Asia.g

Valentinus annihilated the complexity of pre-existing heavens, which, perhaps, connected the system of Basilides with that of ancient Egypt, and did not interpose the same infinite number of gradations between the primal Deity and the material world. He descended much more rapidly into the sphere of Christian images and Christian language, or rather, he carried up many of the Christian notions and terms. and enshrined them in the Pleroma, the region of spiritual and inaccessible light. The fundamental tenet of Orientalism, the Incomprehensibility of the Great Supreme, was the essential principle of his system, and was represented in terms pregnant with mysterious sublimity. The first Father, the Monad, was called Bythos, the Abyss, the Depth, the Unfathomable, who dwelt alone in inscrutable and ineffable height, with his own first Conception, his Ennoia, who bore the emphatic and awful name of Silence.h The first development took place after endless ages, in which the Unfathomable dwelt in his majestic solitude, but he found not delight in his solitude. Love was his motive. Love must have an object—something to love.i

g Tertull. advers. Valentin., c. 4. Epiphan. Massuet. (Diss. in Iren. p. x. 14) doubts this part of the history of Valentinus.

h According to Hippolytus (vi. 29-30) the strict Valentinians did not allow that Sigè was to be reckoned as Sizygos, but they maintained that

this appears to have been the doctrine of Valentinus. Rossel's Picture of the Valentinian System. Bunsen, i. 143.

¹ Φιλέρημος γάρ οὐκ ἦν. 'Αγάπη γάρ, φησίν, ην δλος, ή δε άγάπη οὐκ ἔστιν ἀγάπη, ἐὰν μὴ ἢ τὸ ἀγαπώμενον. Philosophumena, p. 184. Hippolytus traces all Valentinianism to Bythos alone produced the Æons; and Pythagoras and the Timæus of Plato.

This development or self-manifestation was Mind (Nous), whose appropriate consort was Aletheia or Truth. These formed the first great quaternion, the highest scale of being. From Mind and Truth proceeded the Word and Life (Logos and Zöe); their manifestations were Man and the Church, Anthropos and Ecclesia, and so the first ogdoad was complete. From the Word and Life proceeded ten more Æons; but these seem, from their names, rather qualities of the Supreme; at least the five masculine names, for the feminine appear to imply some departure from the pure elementary and unimpassioned nature of the primal Parent. The males are—Buthios, profound, with his consort Mixis, conjunction; Ageratos, that grows not old, with Henosis or union; Autophyes, selfsubsistent, with Hedone, pleasure; Akinetos, motionless, with Syncrasis, commixture; the Only Begotten and Blessedness. The offspring of Man and the Church were twelve, and in the females we seem to trace the shadowy prototypes of the Christian graces:-the Paraclete and Faith; the Paternal and Hope; the Maternal and Charity; the Ever-intelligent and Prudence; Ecclesiasticos (a term apparently expressive of church union) and Eternal Happiness; Will and Wisdom (Theletos and Sophia).

These thirty Æons dwelt alone within the sacred and inviolable circle of the Pleroma: they were all, in one sense, manifestations of the Deity, all purely intellectual, an universe apart. But the peace of this metaphysical hierarchy was disturbed; and here we are presented with a noble allegory, which, as it were, brings these abstract conceptions within the reach of human sympathy. The last of the dodecarchy which sprang from Man and the Church was Sophia or

Wisdom. Without intercourse with her consort Will, Wisdom was seized with an irresistible passion for that knowledge and intimate union with the primal Father, the Unfathomable, which was the sole privilege of the first-born, Mind. She would comprehend the Incomprehensible: love was the pretext, but temerity the motive. Pressing onward under this strong impulse. she would have reached the remote sanctuary, and would finally have been absorbed into the primal Essence, had she not encountered Horus (the impersonated boundary between knowledge and the Deity). At the persuasion of this "limitary cherub" (to borrow Milton's words), she acknowledged the incomprehensibility of the Father, returned in humble acquiescence to her lowlier sphere, and allayed the passion begot of Wonder. But the harmony of the intellectual world was destroyed; a redemption, a restoration, was necessary; and (for now Valentinus must incorporate the Christian system into his own) from the first Æon, the divine Mind, proceeded Christ and the Holy Ghost. Christ communicated to the listening Æons the mystery of the imperishable nature of the Father, and their own procession from him; the delighted Æons commemorated the restoration of the holy peace, by each contributing his most splendid gift to form Jesus, encircled with his choir of angels.k

Valentinus did not descend immediately from his

nistering angels to be his companions. Rossel in Bunsen, p. 149. According to Hippolytus (Bunsen adds in a note), this ideal Christ Jesus is also called Logos, but distinct from the Logos of the inmost Divine sphere, called the heavenly Logos.

be Each Æon took the best that he possessed, and with these they formed a happy image to the praise of the Heavenly Father, who is also called Saviour (Soter), and Christos and Logos, and the Whole, because he bears within him the flower of everything; and they surrounded him with mi-

domain of metaphysical abstraction; he interposed an intermediate sphere between that and the material The desire or passion of Sophia, impersonated, became an inferior Wisdom; she was an outcast from the Pleroma, and lay floating in the dim and formless chaos without. The Christos in mercy gave her form and substance; she preserved, as it were, some fragrance of immortality. Her passion was still strong for higher things, for the light which she could not apprehend; and she incessantly attempted to enter the forbidden circle of the Pleroma, but was again arrested by Horus, who uttered the mystic name of Jao. Sadly she returned to the floating elements of inferior being; she was surrendered to Passion, and with his assistance produced the material world. The tears which she shed, at the thought of her outcast condition, formed the humid element; her smiles, when she thought of the region of glory, the light; her fears and her sorrows, the grosser elements. Christ descended no more to her assistance, but sent Jesus, the Paraclete, the Saviour, with his angels; and with his aid, all substance was divided into material, animal, and spiritual. The spiritual, however, altogether emanated from the light of her divine assistant; the first formation of the animal (the Psychic) was the Demiurge, the Creator, the Saviour, the Father, the king of all that was consubstantial with himself, and finally, the material, of which he was only the Demiurge or Creator. Thus were formed the seven intermediate spheres, of which the Demiurge and his assistant angels (the seven again of the Persian system), with herself, made up a second Ogdoad—the image and feeble reflection of the former: Wisdom representing the primal Parent; the Demiurge the divine Mind, though he was ignorant of his mother.

more ignorant than Satan himself; the other sidereal angels, the rest of the Æons. By the Demiurge the lower world was formed.

Mankind consisted of three classes: the spiritual, who are enlightened with the divine ray from Jesus; the animal or psychic, the offspring and kindred of the Demiurge; the material, the slaves and associates of Satan, the prince of the material world. They were represented, as it were, by Seth, Abel, and Cain. This organisation or distribution of mankind harmonised with tolerable facility with the Christian scheme. But by multiplying his spiritual beings, Valentinus embarrassed himself in the work of redemption or restoration of this lower and still degenerating world. With him, it was the Christos, or rather a faint image and reflection (for all his intelligences multiplied themselves by this reflection of their being), who passed through the material form of the Virgin, like water through a tube. It was Jesus who descended upon the Saviour at his baptism, in the shape of a dove; and Valentinus admitted the common fantastic theory with regard to the death of Jesus. At the final consummation, the latent fire would burst out (here Valentinus admitted the theory common to Zoroastrianism and Christianity) and consume the very scoria of matter: the material men, with their prince, would utterly perish in the conflagration. Those of the animal, the Psychic, purified by the divine ray imparted by the Redeemer, would, with their parent, the Demiurge, occupy the intermediate realm; there were the just men made perfect; while the great mother, Sophia, would at length be admitted into the Pleroma or intellectual sphere.

Gnosticism was pure poetry, and Bardesanes was the

poet of Gnosticism.^m For above two centuries, the hymns of this remarkable man, and those of his son Harmonius, enchanted the ears of the Syrian Christians, till they were expelled by the more orthodox raptures of Ephraem the Syrian. Among the most remarkable circumstances relating to Bardesanes, who lived at the court of Abgar, king of Edessa, was his inquiry into the doctrines of the ancient Gymnosophists of India, which thus connected, as it were, the remotest East with the great family of religious speculatists; yet the theory of Bardesanes was more nearly allied to the Persian or the Chaldean; and the language of his poetry was in that fervent and amatory strain which borrows the warmest metaphors of human passion to kindle the soul to divine love.ⁿ

Bardesanes deserved the glory, though he did not suffer the pains, of martyrdom. Pressed by the philosopher Apollonius, in the name of his master, the Emperor Verus, to deny Christianity, he replied, "I fear not death, which I shall not escape by yielding to the wishes of the Emperor." Bardesanes had opposed with vigorous hostility the system of Marcion; he afterwards appears to have seceded, or, outwardly conforming, to have aspired in private to become the head

m Valentinus, according to Tertullian, wrote psalms (De Carne Christi, c. 20); his disciple Marcus explained his system in verse, and introduced the Æons as speaking. Compare Hahn, p. 26. Bardesanes wrote 150 psalms, the number of those of David.

The reader who is curious to follow out a more complete development of Valentinianism may well consult the disquisition of Rossel (a promising pupil

of Neander, who died early) in Bunsen, i. p. 142. It is of course far more full, perhaps occasionally fancifully full, than my outline, which, however, I think shows almost the essential perils of the doctrine.

ⁿ Theodoret, Hæret. Fab. 209.

O According to Eusebius, E. H. v. 38, Bardesanes approached much nearer to orthodoxy, though he still "bore some tokens of the sable streams."

of another Gnostic sect, which, in contradistinction to those of Saturninus and Valentinus, may be called the Mesopotamian or Babylonian. With him, the primal Deity dwelt alone with his consort, his primary thought or conception. Their first offsprings, Æons, or Emanations, were Christ and the Holy Ghost, who, in his system, was feminine, and nearly allied to the Sophia, or Wisdom, of other theories; the four elements.—the dry earth, and the water, the fire, and the air,—who make up the celestial Ogdoad. The Son and his partner, the Spirit or Wisdom, with the assistance of the elements, made the worlds, which they surrendered to the government of the seven planetary spirits and the sun and moon, the visible types of the primal union. Probably these, as in the other systems, made the second Ogdoad; and these, with other astral influences, borrowed from the Tsabaism of the region, the twelve signs of the zodiac, and the thirty-six Decani, as he called the rulers of the 360 days, governed the world of man. And here Bardesanes became implicated with the eternal dispute about destiny and freewill, on which he wrote a separate treatise, and which entered into and coloured all his speculations.^p But the Wisdom which was the consort of the Son was of an inferior nature to that which dwelt with the Father. She was the Sophia Achamoth, and, faithless to her spiritual partner, she had taken delight in assisting the Demiurge in the creation of the visible world; but in all her wanderings and estrangement, she felt a constant and

P He seems to have had an esoteric | rorum primus Hymnologus. Much

and an exoteric doctrine. Hahn, p. 22, of this bears close analogy to Valenon the authority of St. Ephrem. Com- tinianism. pare Hahn, Bardesanes Gnosticus Sy-

impassioned desire for perfect reunion with her first consort. He assisted her in her course of purification; revealed to her his more perfect light, on which she gazed with reanimating love; and the second wedding of these long estranged powers, in the presence of the parent Deity, and all the Æons and angels, formed the subject of one of his most ardent and rapturous hymns. With her, arose into the Pleroma those souls which partook of her celestial nature, and are rescued, by the descent of the Christ, according to the usual Gnostic theory, from their imprisonment in the world of matter.

Yet all these theorists preserved some decent show of respect for the Christian faith, and aimed at an amicable reconciliation between their own wild theories and the simpler Gospel. It is not improbable that most of their leaders were actuated by the ambition of uniting the higher and more intellectual votaries of the older Paganism with the Christian community; the one by an accommodation with the Egyptian, the others, with the Syrian or Chaldean, as, in later times, the Alexandrian school, with the Grecian or Platonic Paganism; and expected to conciliate all who would not scruple to engraft the few tenets of Christianity which they preserved inviolate upon their former belief. They aspired to retain all that was dazzling, vast, and imaginative in the cosmogonical systems of the East, and rejected all that was humiliating or offensive to the common sentiment in Christianity. The Jewish character of the Messiah gave way to a purely immaterial notion of a celestial Redeemer; the painful realities of his life and death were softened off into fautastic appearances; they yet adopted as much of the Christian language as they could mould to their views, and even disguised or mitigated their contempt for, or animosity

to, Judaism. But Marcion of Pontus q disclaimed all these conciliatory and temporising measures, either Marcion of with Pagan, Jew, or evangelic Christian. With Pontus. Marcion, all was hard, cold, implacable antagonism. At once a severe rationalist and a strong enthusiast, Marcion pressed the leading doctrine of the malignity of matter to its extreme speculative and practical consequences. His Creator, his providential Governor, the God of the Jews,—weak, imperfect, enthralled in matter,—was the opposite to the true God. The only virtue of men was the most rigid and painful abstinence. Marcion's doctrine interdicted all animal food but fish: it surpassed the most austere of the other Christian communities in its proscription of the amusements and pleasures of life; it rejected marriage, from hostility to the Demiurge, whose kingdom it would not increase by peopling it with new beings enslaved to matter, to glut death with food. The fundamental principle of Marcion's doctrine was unfolded in his Antitheses, the Contrasts, in which he arrayed against each other the Supreme God and the Demiurge the God of the Jews, the Old and New Testament, the Law and the Gospel.t The one was perfect, pure, beneficent,

4 Marcion was son of the Bishop of Sinope.

r On Marcion, see chiefly the five books of Tertullian adv. Marcion; the Historians of Heresies, Irenæus, i. 27; Epiphanius, 42; Theodoret, i. 24; Origen contra Cels.; Clem. Alex. iii. 425; St. Ephrem, Orat. 14, p. 468.

⁸ φ δη λογφ μη βουλόμενοι τὸν κοσμὸν τὸν ὑπὸ τοῦ Δημιούργου γενομενὸν συμπληροῦν, ἀπέχεσθαι γάμου βούλονται. — Clem. Alex.

Strom. iii. 3. μηδέ ἀντεισάγειν τφ κοσμφ δυστυχήσοντας ἐτέρους, μηδὲ ἐπιχορηγεῖν τῷ θανατῷ τρόφην. Ch. vi.

t Opus ex contrarietatum oppositionibus, Antitheses, cognominatum, et ad separationem legis et evangelii coactum; qua duos Deos dividens, proinde diversos, alterium alterius instrumenti vel quod magis est usui dicere, testamenti ut exinde evangelio quoque secundum Antitheses crepassionless; the other, though not unjust by nature, infected by matter, - subject to all the passions of man,-cruel, changeable; the New Testament, especially, as remodelled by Marcion, was holy, wise, amiable: the Old Testament, the Law, barbarous, inhuman, contradictory, and detestable. On the plundering of the Egyptians, on the massacre of the Canaanites, on every metaphor which ascribed the actions and sentiments of men to the Deity, Marcion enlarged with contemptuous superiority and contrasted it with the tone of the Gospel. It was to rescue mankind from the tyranny of this inferior and hostile deity, that the Supreme manifested himself in Jesus Christ. manifestation took place by his sudden appearance in the synagogue in Capernaum; for Marcion swept away with remorseless hand all the earlier incidents in the Gospels. But the Messiah which was revealed in Christ was directly the opposite to that announced by the Prophets of the Jews, and of their God. He made no conquests; he was not the Immanuel; he was not the son of David; he came not to restore the temporal kingdom of Israel. His doctrines were equally opposed: he demanded not an eye for an eye, or a tooth for a tooth; but where one smote the right cheek, to turn the other. He demanded no sacrifices but that of the pure heart; he enjoined not the sensual and indecent practice of multiplying the species; he proscribed marriage. The God of the Jews, trembling for his authority, armed himself against the celestial invader of his territory; he succeeded, in the seeming

Marc. iv. 1.

Marcion is accused by Rhodon, apud

dendo patrocinaretur. Tertull. adv. | Euseb. H. E. v. 13, of introducing two principles,-the Zoroastrian theory.

execution of Christ upon the cross, who, by his death, rescued the souls of the true believers from the bondage of the Law; descended to the lower regions, where he rescued, not the pious and holy patriarchs, Abel, Enoch, Noah, Jacob, Moses, David, or Solomon,—these were the adherents of the Demiurge or material creator,—but his implacable enemies, such as Cain and Esau. After the ascension of the Redeemer to heaven, the God of the Jews was to restore his subjects to their native land; and his temporal reign was to commence over his faithful but inferior subjects."

The Gospel of Marcion was that of St. Luke, adapted, by many omissions, and some alterations, to his theory. Every allusion to, every metaphor from, marriage was carefully erased, and every passage amended or rejected which could in any way implicate the pure deity with the material world.*

^u I adhere to this somewhat harsher and less charitable summary of Marcionism. The milder view of Neander, in which he had mitigated or softened off its harder tones, has been carried by Bunsen almost to admiration. I cannot think that a mere exaggeration of the Anti-Judaizing Pauline doctrines could have goaded even Tertullian to such a fury of orthodox hatred. I am well aware that contemporary statements, when the writers are full of the passions of their times, are the worst authorities. But Tertullian wrote with the Antitheses, probably with Marcion's Gospel, before him. The fragment of Hippolytus throws no light on the question. Of all the positive paradoxes of my dear friend, I confess that none seems to me so entirely baseless as his ascription of the

Epistle to Diognetus, that model of pure, simple, reasonable Christianity, which stands alone in that barren and fantastic age, to the youth of Marcion. I cannot conceive the writer of that Epistle ever having become the author of the Antitheses. But one who has really made such discoveries, as Bunsen has in early Christian literature, may be indulged in some fancies.

^{*} This Gospel has been put together, according to the various authorities, especially Tertullian, by M. Hahn. It is reprinted in the Codex Apocryphus Novi Testamenti, by Thilo, of which one volume only has appeared. Among the remarkable alterations of the Gospels which most strongly characterise his system, was that of the text so beautifully descriptive of the providence of God,—which

These were the chief of the Gnostic sects; but they varieties of spread out into almost infinitely diversified subdivisions, distinguished by some peculiar tenet or usage. The Carpocratians were avowed Eclectics: they worshipped, as benefactors of the human race, the images of Zoroaster, Pythagoras, Plato, Aristotle, and Jesus Christ, as well as that of their own founder. By this school were received, possibly were invented, many of the astrologic or theurgic books attributed to Zoroaster and other ancient sages. The Jewish Scriptures were the works of inferior angels; of the Christian, they received only the Gospel of St. Matthew. The supreme, unknown, uncreated Deity, was the Monad; the visible world was the creation, the domain of inferior beings. But the Carpocratian system was much simpler, and, in some respects, rejecting generally the system of Æons or Emanations, approached much nearer to Christianity than those of most of the other Gnostics. The contest of Jesus Christ, who was the son of Joseph. according to their system, was a purely moral one. Their scheme revived the Oriental notion of the preexistence of the soul. The soul of Jesus had a clearer and more distinct reminiscence of the original knowledge (the Gnosis) and wisdom of their celestial state; and by communicating these notions to mankind, elevated them to the same superiority over the mundane deities. This perfection consisted in faith and charity, perhaps likewise in the ecstatic contemplation of the

"maketh his sun to shine on the evil | might not defile himself with the adtull: adv. Marc. iv. 17. The exquithrown out. The feast at the end

and the good, and sendeth rain on the ministration of their blessings. Terjust and the unjust." Matt. v. 45. The sun and the rain, those material site Parable of the Prodigal Son was elements, were the slaves only of the God of matter: the Supreme Deity accounts for its proscription.

Monad. Everything except faith and charity,-all good works, all observances of human laws, which were established by mundane authority,-were exterior, and more than indifferent. Hence, they were accused of recommending a community of property, and of women. -inferences which would be drawn from their avowed contempt for all human laws. They were accused. probably without justice, of following out these speculative opinions into practice. Of all heretics, none have borne a worse name than the followers of Carpocrates and his son and successor, Epiphanes.y

The Ophites z are, perhaps, the most perplexing of all these sects. It is difficult to ascertain whether the Serpent from which they took or received their name was a good or an evil spirit—the Agatho-dæmon of the Egyptian mythology, or the Serpent of the Jewish and other Oriental schemes. With them, a quaternion seems to have issued from the primal Being, the Abyss, who dwelt alone with his Ennoia, or Thought. These were Christ and Sophia Achamoth, the Spirit and Chaos. The former of each of these powers was perfect, the latter imperfect. Sophia Achamoth, departing from the primal source of purity, formed Ialdabaoth, the

y I think that we may collect from Clement of Alexandria, that the com- some, the Antitactæ, thought it right munity of women, in the Carpocratian system, was that of Plato. Clement insinuates that it was carried into practice. Strom. iii, c. 2. According to Clement, the different sects, or sects of sects, justified their immoralities on different pleas. Some, the Prodician Gnostics, considered public prostitution a mystic communion; others, that all children of the primary or good Deity might exercise their

regal privilege of acting as they pleased; to break the Seventh Commandment, because it was uttered by the evil Demiurge. But these were obscure sects, and possibly their adversaries drew these conclusions for them from their doctrines. Strom. l. iii.

Mosheim, p. 399, who wrote a particular dissertation on the Ophitæ, of which he distinguished two sects, a Jewish and a Christian.

Prince of Darkness, the Demiurge, an inferior, but not directly malignant, being-the Satan, or Samaël, or Michael. The tutelar angel of the Jews was Ophis, the Serpent—a reflection of Ialdabaoth. With others, the Serpent was the symbol of Christ himself; and hence the profound abhorrence with which this obscure sect was beheld by the more orthodox Christians. In other respects, their opinions appear to have approximated more nearly to the common Gnostic form. At the intercession of Sophia, Christ descended on the man Jesus, to rescue the souls of men from the fury of the Demiurge, who had imprisoned them in matter: they ascended through the realm of the seven planetary angels.b

. M. Matter conjectured that they had derived the notion of the beneficent serpent, the emblem or symbol of Christ, from the brazen serpent in the wilderness. Perhaps it was the Egyptian Agatho-dæmon, M. Matter's notion was right to a certain extent as to one sect of the Ophites, the Peratæ. See Philosophumena, p. 133.

b On the Ophites alone, the Refutation of all Heresies promises to enlarge our knowledge; to me that promise has ended, on examination, in utter disappointment; it is darkness darkened, confusion worse confounded. Hippolytus devotes a whole book, which we have nearly perfect, to the tenets of four sects of Ophites. None of them agrees with what has been gathered from other sources, as appears from the text, which I leave unaltered. These sects are, the Naassenes, the Peratæ, the Sethians, the Justinians. Through all these run some tellectual, physical, moral conceptions; their perpetual impersonation; the evolution of the creative mind; the imprisonment of mind in matter, its emancipation from its bondage; the forcible blending up of the Christian tenets concerning Christ and the Holy Ghost with these repugnant and discordant schemes. (The Serpent appears in all the four systems, but with a different character and office.) All delight in their triple form of thought, the intellectual (the $\nu o \in \rho \delta \nu$), the life (the ψυχικόν), the brute matter (the χοικόν).

The Naassenes are so called from the Hebrew word Nahash, a serpent: and from Nahash they strangely derived the Greek vass, a temple. Temples being universally raised throughout the world, showed the universality of Serpent-worship. With them the Serpent is the principle of moisture (ή ύγρη) as with Thales the common notions, the blending of in- | Milesian, the origin and source of all

Such, in its leading branches, was the Gnosticism of the East, which rivalled the more genuine Christianity, if not in the number of its converts, in the activity with which it was disseminated. It arose simultaneously or

things. Their great characteristic is the constant labour to identify Christianity with the Secret of all the Pagan Mysteries, Phrygian, Samothracian, Eleusinian. There is a wild confusion of the orginatic superstition which prevailed so widely through the Roman world, the worship of Cybele, with that of Christ.

The Peratæ were distinguished (they were Orientals) by a predominant infusion of astrological notions. With them the Serpent was a sort of Intermediate Being, the Son, the Word, between the Father, the primal Monad, and Matter. Καθέζεται οδυ μέσος της ύλης και του πατρός ὁ υίὸς, ὁ λόγος, δ δφις αεί κινούμενος πρός άκινητον τον πατέρα και κινουμένην την δλην.

With the Sethians the Serpent was the violent wind, which came out of darkness, the firstborn of the waters, and the generating principle of all things, specially of man, p. 142.

With the Justinians (this sect, of course, has no relation with Justin Martyr) the Serpent approaches more nearly to his function in the beginning of the book of Genesis. seduction of Eve is in a coarser and grosser form (p. 155). The Serpent is also the Tempter of our Lord in the wilderness. p. 157.

I must say that throughout this book there is too much of Hippolytus, of the writer of the third century, proud of his knowledge of the Greek religion

and the Greek philosophy. All these Ophites he would assume to be the earliest Gnostics (they first took the name), and so almost reaching up to the Apostolic times. But it is utterly incredible that there should have existed at that time any set of men who were equally familiar with the Old and New Testaments and the Greek poets; who appealed to the Pentateuch and the Gospels, and to Homer, Pindar, Anacreon; who had anticipated the identification of Christianity with the Secret of the Pagan Mysteries. of which they might almost seem to be the Hierophants: who had their mystic hymns in which the new and the old, the Oriental, and Greek and Christian notions, were blended and confused. Hippolytus appeals to, cites their writings, but of the age of those writings, I must presume to doubt his critical discernment.

Finally, I cannot think these smaller sects of any importance in Christian history, further than as testifying to that general fermentation of thought, that appetency for truth, that distressing and exciting want of satisfaction for the heart and soul and intellect of man, which Christianity found and stimulated to the utmost; from which it suffered to a certain extent, but from which it emerged, if not in all its primal purity, with unsubdued energy and force; by which it subjugated the world.

successively in all the great centres of Christianity, in Alexandria, in Antioch, in Edessa, in Ephesus. Many of its teachers-Valentinus, Marcion, and their followers-found their way to Rome. Their progress was especially among the higher and more opulent; and, in their lofty pretensions, they claimed a superiority over the humbler Christianity of the vulgar. But, for this very reason, Gnosticism, in itself, was diametrically opposite to the true Christian spirit: instead of being popular and universal, it was select and exclusive. It was another, in one respect a higher, form of Judaism, inasmuch as it did not rest its exclusiveness on the title of birth, but on especial knowledge (gnosis). vouchsafed only to the enlightened and inwardly designated few. It was the establishment of the Christians as a kind of religious privileged order, a theophilosophic aristocracy, whose esoteric doctrines soared far above the grasp and comprehension of the vulgar. It was a philosophy rather than a religion; at least the philosophic or speculative part would soon have predominated over the spiritual. They affected a profound and awful mystery; they admitted their disciples, in general, by slow and regular gradations. Gnostic Christianity, therefore, might have been a formidable antagonist to the prevailing philosophy of the times, but it would never have extirpated an ancient and deeplyrooted religion; it might have drained the schools of their hearers, but it never would have changed the temples into solitudes. It would have affected only the surface of society: it did not begin to work upward

c Tertullian taunts the Valentinians dicant qui occultant." Tert. adv.

—"nihil magis curant quam occultant." Valent.

Valent.

from its depths, nor did it penetrate to that strong under-current of popular feeling and opinion which alone operates a profound and lasting change in the moral sentiments of mankind.

With regard to Paganism, the Gnostics are accused of a compromising and conciliatory spirit, Conciliatory totally alien to that of primitive Christianity. Paganism. They affected the haughty indifference of the philosophers of their own day, or the Brahmins of India, to the vulgar idolatry; scrupled not at a contemptuous conformity with the established worship; attended the rites and the festivals of the Heathen; partook of meats offered in sacrifice, and, secure in their own intellectual or spiritual purity, conceived that no stain could cleave to their uninfected spirits from this which, to most Christians, appeared a treasonable surrender of the vital principles of the faith.

This criminal compliance of the Gnostics, no doubt, countenanced and darkened those charges of unbridled licentiousness of manners with which they are almost indiscriminately assailed by the early Fathers. Those dark and incredible accusations of midnight meetings, where all the restraints of shame and of nature were thrown off, which Pagan hostility brought against the general body of the Christians, were reiterated by the Christians against these sects, whose principles were those of the sternest and most rigid austerity. They are accused of openly preaching the indifference of human action. The material nature of man was so essentially evil and malignant, that there was no necessity, as there could be no advantage, in attempting to correct its inveterate propensities. While, therefore, that nature might pursue, uncontrolled, its own innate

and inalienable propensities, the serene and uncontaminated spirit of those, at least, who were enlightened by the divine ray, might remain aloof, either unconscious of, or, at least, unparticipant in, the aberrations of its grovelling consort. Such general charges, it is equally unjust to believe, and impossible to refute. The dreamy indolence of mysticism is not unlikely to degenerate into voluptuous excess. The excitement of mental, has often a strong effect on bodily, emotion. The party of the Gnostics may have contained many whose passions were too strong for their principles, or who may have made their principles the slaves of their passions; but Christian Charity and sober historical criticism concur in rejecting these general accusations. Gnostics were, mostly, imaginative, rather than practical, fanatics; they indulged a mental, rather than corporeal, licence. The Carpocratians have been exposed to the most obloquy. But, even in their case, the charitable doubts of dispassionate historical criticism are justified by those of an ancient writer, who declares his disbelief of any irreligious, lawless, or forbidden practices among these sectaries.d

It was the reaction, as it were, of Gnosticism, that produced the last important modification of Christianity. during the second century, the Montanism of Phrygia. But we have, at present, proceeded in our relation of the contest between Orientalism and Christianity so far

τὰ ἄθεά, καὶ ἔκθεσμα, καὶ ἀπειρημένα, έγω οὐκ αν πιστεύσαιμι. Irenæus, binage. But all this must, I think, i. 24. The Philosphumena accuses be accepted with much reservation, as the Simonians of following the example | well as their orgies. of their master, whose Helena was

d Kal el μεν πράσσεται παρ' αὐτοῖς | his mistress. They used a coarse phrase to excuse promiscuous concu-

beyond the period to which we conducted the contest with Paganism, that we reascend at once to the commencement of the second century. Montanism, however thus remotely connected with Gnosticism, stands alone and independent as a new aberration from the primitive Christianity, and will demand our attention in its influence upon one of the most distinguished and effective of the early Christian writers.

CHAPTER VI.

Christianity during the prosperous period of the Roman Empire.

WITH the second century of Christianity commenced the reign of another race of Emperors. Trajan, Roman Em-Hadrian, and the Antonines, were men of larger commenceminds, more capable of embracing the vast emment of the pire, and of taking a wide and comprehensive survey of the interests, the manners, and the opinions of the various orders and races of men which reposed under the shadow of the Roman sway. They were not, as the first Cæsars, monarchs of Rome, governing the other parts of the world as dependent provinces; but sovereigns of the Western World, which had gradually coalesced into one majestic and harmonious system. Under the military dominion of Trajan, the Empire appeared to reassume the strength and enterprise of the conquering Republic: he had invested the whole frontier with a defence more solid and durable than the strongest line of fortresses, or the most impregnable wall—the terror of the Roman arms, and the awe of Roman discipline. If the more prudent Hadrian withdrew the advanced boundaries of the empire, it seemed in the consciousness of strength, disdaining the occupation of wild and savage districts, which rather belonged to the yet unreclaimed realm of barbarism, than were fit to be incorporated in the dominion of civilisation. Even in the East, the Euphrates appeared to be a boundary traced by nature for the dominion of Rome. Hadrian

was the first emperor who directed his attention to the general internal affairs of the whole population of the empire. The spirit of jurisprudence prevailed during the reign of the Antonines; and the main object of the ruling powers seemed to be the uniting under one general system of law the various members of the great political confederacy. Thus, each contributed to the apparent union and durability of the social edifice. This period has been considered by many able writers, a kind of golden age of human happiness.a What, then, was the effect of Christianity on the general character of the times; and how far were the Christian communities excluded from the general felicity?

It was impossible that the rapid and universal progress of a new religion should escape the notice of minds so occupied with the internal as well as the external affairs of the whole empire. But it so happened (the Christian will admire in this singular concurrence of circumstances the overruling power of a beneficent Deity) that the moderation and humanity of the Emperors stepped in, as it were, to allay at this particular crisis the dangers of a general and inevitable collision

of the masses of mankind throughout the vast empire. Peace was undoubtedly in itself a blessing; but how much oppression, tyranny of the government over all, of class over class, may be hid under the smooth surface of peace! The vast, comprehensive, and age-enduring fabric of Roman jurisprudence, which began to rise at this time, bears nobler witness to the wisdom of the rulers, and to the distribution of equal justice, that best guard and guarantee of human happiness,

a This theory is most ably developed by Hegewisch. See the Translation of his Essay, by M. Solvet. Paris, 1834. The silence of history, that too faithful record in general of the folly and misery, of the wars and devastating conquests of mankind, may seem a full testimony to the happiness of the æra; but this silence is perhaps mainly due to other causes. In fact, there is, properly speaking, no history of the times; and even if there were what is ordinarily received as history, it might throw but dim light on the condition over the whole empire.

with the temporal government. Christianity itself was Characters of just in that state of advancement in which, though it had begun to threaten, and even to the advance- make most alarming encroachments on the Christianity. established Polytheism, it had not so completely divided the whole race of mankind, as to force the heads of the Polytheistic party, the official conservators of the existing order of things, to take violent and decisive measures for its suppression. The temples, though, perhaps, becoming less crowded, were in few places deserted; the alarm, though, perhaps, in many towns it was deeply brooding in the minds of the priesthood, and of those connected by zeal or by interest with the maintenance of Paganism, was not so profound or so general, as imperiously to require the interposition of the civil authorities. The milder or more indifferent character of the Emperor had free scope to mitigate or to arrest the arm of persecution. The danger was not so pressing but that it might be averted: that which had arisen thus suddenly and unexpectedly (so little were the wisest probably aware of the real nature of the revolution working in the minds of men) might die away with as much rapidity. Under an Emperor, indeed, who should have united the vigour of a Trajan and the political forethought of a Hadrian with the sanguinary relentlessness of a Nero, Christianity would have had to pass a tremendous ordeal. Now, however, the collision of the new religion with the civil power was only occasional, and, as it were, fortuitous; and in these occasional conflicts with the ruling powers, we constantly appear to trace the character of the reigning sovereign.

Of these emperors, Trajan possessed the most powerful and vigorous mind—a consummate general, a humane

but active ruler: Hadrian was the profoundest states-The conman, the Antonines the best men. duct of Trajan was that of a military sove- peror from reign, whose natural disposition was tempered A.D. 98 to 116. with humanity-prompt, decisive, never unnecessarily prodigal of blood, but careless of human life if it appeared to stand in the way of any important design, or to hazard that paramount object of the government, the public peace. Hadrian was inclined to a more temporising policy. The more the Roman peror from 117 to 138. Empire was contemplated as a whole, the more the coexistence of multifarious religions might appear compatible with the general peace. Christianity might, in the end, be no more dangerous than the other foreign religions, which had flowed, and were still flowing in, from the East. The temples of Isis had arisen throughout the empire, but those of Jupiter or Apollo had not lost their votaries: the Eastern mysteries, the Phrygian, at a later period the Mithriac, had mingled, very little to their prejudice, with the general mass of the prevailing superstitions. The last characteristic of Christianity which would be distinctly understood, was its invasive and uncompromising spirit. The elder Anto-Antoninus ninus may have pursued from mildness of Plus Emperor from 138 to character the course adopted by Hadrian from 161. policy. The change which took place during the reign of Marcus Aurelius may be attributed to the circumstances of the time; though the pride of philosophy, as well as the established religion, might begin to take the alarm.

Christianity had probably spread with partial and very unequal success in different quarters: its converts bore in various cities or districts a very different proportion to the rest of the population. Nowhere, perhaps,

had it advanced with greater rapidity than in the northern provinces of Asia Minor, where the inhabitants were of very mingled descent, neither purely Greek, nor essentially Asiatic, with a considerable proportion of Jewish colonists, chiefly of Babylonian or Syrian, not of Palestinian origin. It is here, in the pro-Christianity in Bithynia vince of Bithynia, that Polytheism first disand the adjacent procovered the deadly enemy which was under-A.D. 111, or mining her authority. It was here that the first cry of distress was uttered; and complaints of deserted temples and less frequent sacrifices were brought before the tribunal of the government. The memorable correspondence between Pliny and Trajan is the most valuable record of the early Christian history during this period.^b It represents to us Paganism already claiming the alliance of power to maintain its decaying influence: Christianity proceeding in its silent course. imperfectly understood by a wise and polite Pagan, yet still with nothing to offend his moral judgement, except its contumacious repugnance to the common usages of society. This contumacy, nevertheless, according to the recognised principle of passive obedience to the laws of the Empire, was deserving of the severest punishment.

The appeal of Pliny to the supreme authority Pliny. for advice as to the course to be pursued with these new, and, in most respects, harmless delinquents. unquestionably implies that no general practice had vet

b The chronology of Pagi (Critica in Baronium) appears to me the most trustworthy as to the date of Pliny's letter; so too, in opposition to Mr. Fynes Clinton, who dates Pliny's letter in civ., concur Mr. Greswell and Mr. Charles Merivale. He places was not before cxiv, or cxv.

it in the year cxi. or cxii. Pagi dates the martyrdom of Ignatius, or rather the period when he was sent to Rome, in exii., the time when Trajan was in the East, preparing for his Persian war; but Trajan's journey to the East

been laid down to guide the provincial governors in such emergencies.c The answer of Trajan is Answer of characterised by a spirit of moderation. It Trajan. betrays humane anxiety to allow all such offenders as were not forced under the cognisance of the public tribunals, to elude persecution. Nevertheless it distinctly intimates, that by some existing law, or by the ordinary power of the provincial governor, the Christians were amenable to the severest penalties, to torture, and even to capital punishment. Such punishment had already been inflicted by Pliny; as Governor he had been forced to interfere by accusations lodged before his tribunal. An anonymous libel, or impeachment, had denounced numbers of persons, some of whom altogether disclaimed, others declared that they had renounced Christianity. With that unthinking barbarity with which in those times such punishments were inflicted on persons in inferior station, two servants. females-it is possible they were deaconesses-were put to the torture, to ascertain the truth of the vulgar accusations against the Christians. On their evidence, Pliny could detect nothing further than a "culpable and extravagant superstition." d The only facts which he could discover were, that they had a custom of meeting together before daylight, and singing a hymn to Christ as God. They were bound together by no unlawful sacrament, but only under mutual obligation not to commit theft, robbery, adultery, or fraud. They met a second time in the day, and partook together of food, but that of a perfectly innocent kind. The test of guilt to which

e Pliny professes his ignorance, because he had never happened to be unprecedented. present at the trial of such causes. d Prava et immodica superstitio.

he submitted the more obstinate delinquents, was adoration before the statues of the Gods and of the Emperor, and the malediction of Christ. Those who refused he ordered to be led out to execution.e Such was the summary process of the Roman Governor; and the approbation of the Emperor clearly shows that he had not exceeded the recognised limits of his authority. Neither Traian nor the senate had before this issued any edict on the subject. The rescript to Pliny invested him with no new powers; it merely advised him, as he had done, to use his actual powers with discretion, f neither to encourage the denunciation of such criminals, nor to proceed without fair and unquestionable evidence. The system of anonymous delation, by which private malice might wreak itself, by false or by unnecessary charges, upon its enemies, Trajan reprobates in that generous spirit with which the wiser and more virtuous emperors constantly repressed that most disgraceful iniquity of the times.⁸ But it is manifest, from the executions ordered by Pliny and sanctioned by the approbation of the Emperor, that Christianity was already an offence amenable to capital punishment, h and this, either under some existing statute, under the common law of the Empire which invested the provincial governor with the arbitrary power of life and death, or lastly, what in this instance cannot have been the case, the summum imperium of the Emperor. While then in the individual the

^{• &}quot;Duci jussi" cannot bear a milder interpretation.

f Actum quem debuisti in excutiendis causis eorum, qui Christiani ad te delati fuerant, secutus es. Traj. ad Plin.

g Nam est pessimi exempli, nec nostri sæculi est.

h Those who were Roman citizens were sent for trial to Rome. "Alii quia cives Romani erant, adnotavi in urbem remittendos."

i This rescript or answer of Trajau, approving of the manner in which Pliny carried his law into execution, and suggesting other regulations for

profession of Christianity might thus, by the summary sentence of the governor and the tacit approbation of the Emperor, be treated as a capital offence, and the provincial governor might appoint the measure and the extent of the punishment, all public assemblies for the purpose of new and unauthorised worship might likewise be suppressed by the magistrate; for the police of the Empire always looked with the utmost jealousy on all associations not recognised by the law; and resistance to such a mandate would call down, or the secret holding of such meetings after their prohibition would incur. any penalty which the conservator of public order might think proper to inflict upon the delinquent. Such then was the general position of the Christians with the ruling authorities. They were guilty of a crime against the state, by introducing a new and unauthorised religion, or by holding assemblages contrary to the internal regulations of the Empire. But the extent to which the law would be enforced against them-how far Christianity would be distinguished from Judaism and other foreign religions, which were permitted the free establishment of their rites—with how much greater jealousy their secret assemblies would be watched than those of other mysteries and esoteric religions-all this would depend upon the milder or more rigid character of the governor, and the willingness or reluctance of their fellow-citizens to arraign them before the tribunal of the magistrates. This in turn would depend on the

into a new law, which from that time became one of the statutes of the Empire. "Hæc Trajani lex inter publicas Imperii sanctiones relata" (p. 234). Trajan's words expressly declare that habet, constitui potest." Traj. ad Plin.

his conduct, is converted by Mosheim | no certain rule of proceeding can be laid down, and leave almost the whole question to the discretion of the magistrate. "Neque enim in universum aliquid, quod quasi certam formam

circumstances of the place and the time; on the caprice of their enemies: on their own discretion; on their success and the apprehensions and jealousies of their opponents. In general, so long as they made no visible impression upon society, so long as their absence from the religious rites of the city or district, or even from the games and theatrical exhibitions which were essential parts of the existing Polytheism, caused no sensible diminution in the concourse of the worshippers, their unsocial and self-secluding disposition would be treated with contempt and pity rather than with animosity. The internal decay of the spirit of Polytheism had little effect on its outward splendour. The philosophic party. who despised the popular faith, were secure in their rank or in their decent conformity to the public ceremonial. The theory of all the systems of philosophy was to avoid unnecessary collision with the popular religious sentiment: their superiority to the vulgar was flattered, rather than offended, by the adherence of the latter to their native superstitions. In the public exhibitions. the followers of all other foreign religions met, as on a The Jewsnot common ground. In the theatre or the hippodrome, the worshipper of Isis or of Mithra mingled with the mass of those who still adhered to Bacchus or to Jupiter. Even the Jews, in many parts, at least at a later period, in some instances at the present, betrayed no aversion to the popular games or amusements. Though, in Palestine, the elder Herod had met with a sullen and intractable resistance in the religious body of the people against his attempt to introduce Gentile and idolatrous games into the Holy Land, yet it is probable that the foreign Jews were more accommodating. A Jewish player, named Aliturus. stood high in the favour of Nero; nor does it appear

that he had abandoned his religion. He was still connected with his own race; and some of the priesthood did not disdain to owe their acquittal, on certain charges on which they had been sent prisoners to Rome, to the actor's interest with the Emperor or with the ruling favourite Poppæa. After the Jewish war, multitudes of the prisoners were forced to exhibit themselves as gladiators; and at a later period, the confluence of the Alexandrian Jews to the theatres, where they equalled in numbers the Pagan spec- Christians tators, endangered the peace of the city. The abstain from them. Christians alone stood aloof from exhibitions which, in their higher and nobler forms, arose out of, and were closely connected with, the Heathen religion; were performed on days sacred to the deities; introduced the deities upon the stage; and, in short, were among the principal means of maintaining in the public mind its reverence for the old mythological fables. The sanguinary diversions of the arena, and the licentious voluptuousness of some of the other exhibitions, were no less offensive to their humanity and to their modesty than those more strictly religious to their piety. Still, so long as they were comparatively few in number, and did not sensibly diminish the concourse to these scenes of public enjoyment, they would be rather exposed to individual acts of vexatious interference, of ridicule, or contempt, than become the victims of a general hostile feeling: their absence would not be resented as an insult upon the public, nor as an act of punishable disrespect against the local or more widely worshipped deity to whose honour the games were dedicated. The time at which they would be in the greatest danger from what would be thought their suspicious or disloyal refusal to join in the public rejoicings, would be precisely \mathbf{H} VOL. II.

that which has been conjectured with much ingenuity and probability to have been the occasion of their being thus committed with the popular sentiment and with the government—the celebration of the birthday or the accession of the Emperor.k With the cereoccasions of monial of those days, even if, as may have been political the case, the actual adoration of the statue of the Emperor was not an ordinary part of the ritual, much which was strictly idolatrous would be mingled up; and the ordinary excuse of the Christians to such charges of disaffection, that they prayed with the utmost fervour for the welfare of the Emperor, would not be admitted, either by the sincere attachment of the people and of the government to a virtuous, or their abject and adulatory celebration of a cruel and tyrannical, Emperor.

This crisis in the fate of Christianity—this transition from safe and despised obscurity to dangerous and obnoxious importance—would of course depend on the comparative rapidity of its progress in different quarters. In Bithynia, the province of Pliny, it had attained that height in little more than seventy years after the death of Christ. Though a humane and enlightened government might still endeavour to close its eyes upon its multiplying numbers and expanding influence, the keener sight of jealous interest, of rivalry in the command of the popular mind, and of mortified pride, already anticipated the time when this formidable antagonist might balance, might at length overweigh, the failing powers

k The conjecture of Pagi, that the | (in the year 111 or 112), is extremely celebrated the quindecennalia of Trajan | 100.

attention of the government was probable. Pagi quotes two passages directed to the Christians by their of Pliny on the subject of these gestanding aloof from the festivals which | neral rejoicings. Critica in Baron, i.

of Polytheism. Under a less candid governor than Pliny, and an Emperor less humane and dispassionate than Trajan, the exterminating sword of persecution would have been let loose, and a relentless and systematic edict for the suppression of Christianity would have hunted down its followers in every quarter of the empire.

Not only the wisdom and humanity of Trajan, but the military character of his reign, would tend to divert his attention from that which belonged rather to the internal administration of the empire. It is Probable confar from impossible, though the conjecture person of the East. against the Christians were not entirely unconnected with the political state of the East. The Roman Empire, in the Mesopotamian province, was held on a precarious tenure; the Parthian kingdom had acquired new vigour and energy, and, during great part of his reign, the state of the East must have occupied the active mind of Trajan. The Jewish population of Babylonia and the adjacent provinces was of no inconsiderable importance in the impending contest. There is strong ground for supposing that the last insurrection of the Jews, under Hadrian, was connected with a rising of their brethren in Mesopotamia, no doubt secretly, if not openly, fomented by the intrigues, and depending on the support, of the king of Parthia. This was at a considerably later period; yet, during the earlier part of the reign of Trajan, the insurrection had already commenced in Egypt and in Cyrene, and in the island of Cyprus, and no sooner were the troops of Trajan engaged on the eastern frontier, towards the close of his reign, than the Jews rose up in all these provinces, and were

not subdued till after they had perpetrated and endured the most terrific massacres.^m Throughout the Eastern wars of Trajan this spirit was most likely known to be fermenting in the minds of the whole Jewish population, not only in the insurgent districts, but in Palestine and other parts of the empire. The whole race, which occupied in such vast numbers the conterminous regions, would be watched, therefore, with hostile jealousy by the Roman governors, already prejudiced against their unruly and ungovernable character, and awakened to more than ordinary vigilance by the disturbed aspect of the times. The Christians stood in a singular and ambiguous position between the Jewish and Pagan population; many of them probably descended from, and connected with, the Jews. Their general peaceful habits and orderly conduct would deserve the protection of a parental government; still their intractable and persevering resistance to the religious institutions of the Empire might throw some suspicion on the sincerity of their civil obedience. The unusual assertion of religious. might be too closely allied with that of political, independence. At all events, the dubious and menacing state of the East required more than ordinary watchfulness, and a more rigid plan of government in the adjacent provinces; and thus the change in society, which was working unnoticed in the more peaceful and less Christianised West, in the East might be forced upon the attention of an active and inquiring ruler. The apprehensions of the inhabitants themselves would be more keenly alive to the formation of a separate and secluded party within their cities; and religious ani-

m Euseb. iv. 2. Dio. Cass., or places this Jewish rebellion, A.D. rather, Xyphilin. Orosius, l.7. Pagi 116.

mosity would eagerly seize the opportunity of implicating its enemies in a charge of disaffection to the existing government. Nor is there wanting evidence that the acts of persecution ascribed to Trajan were, in fact, connected with the military movements of the Emperor. The only authentic Acts are those of Simeon. Bishop of Jerusalem; I cannot admit those of Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch.ⁿ In the prefatory observations to the former, it is admitted that this martyrdom was a local act of violence. The more celebrated trial of Ignatius is stated to have taken place before the Emperor himself at Antioch, when he was preparing for his Eastern campaign. The Emperor is represented as kindling to anger at the disparagement of those gods on whose protection he reckoned in the impending war. "What! Is our religion to be treated as senseless? Are the gods, on whose alliance we rely against our enemies, to be turned to scorn?" But the whole interview with Trajan is too legendary to command authority. Nevertheless, at that time there were circumstances which account with singular likelihood for that sudden outburst of persecution in Antioch. Trajan knew that the whole Jewish world was in a state of actual, or of threatened insurrection. It is probable that the clearest understanding, agitated by alarm and hatred, would lose, if it had yet attained, any distinct discernment of the difference between Jews and Christians. Hardly two years before, the Christians had been denounced by a provincial governor in the East as dangerous disturbers of the

sincera Martyrum Acta-

ξυμμάχοις πρός τους πολεμίους. of Jews, ii. 418.

^{*} See them in Ruinart, Selecta et | The Jewish legends are full of acts of personal cruelty, ascribed to Trajan, ° 'Ημεῖς οὖν σοι δοκοῦμεν κατὰ mingled up, as usual, with historical νοῦν μη ἔχειν θεούs, οἶs καὶ χρώμεθα errors and anachronisms. See Hist.

religion, therefore of the peace of the Empire. At this very time an earthquake, more than usually terrible and destructive, shook the cities of the East. Antioch suffered its most appalling ravages—Antioch, crowded with the legionaries prepared for the Emperor's invasion of the East, with ambassadors and tributary kings from all parts of the East. The city shook through all its streets; houses, palaces, theatres, temples fell crashing down. Many were killed: the Consul Pedo died of his hurts. The Emperor himself hardly escaped through a window, and took refuge in the Circus, where he passed some days in the open air. Whence this terrible blow but from the wrath of the Gods, who must be appeared by unusual sacrifices? This was towards the end of January; early in February the Christian Bishop, Ignatius, was arrested. We know how, during this century, at every period of public calamity, whatever that calamity might be, the cry of the panic-stricken Heathens was, "The Christians to the lions!" It may be that, in Trajan's humanity, in order to prevent a general massacre by the infuriated populace, or to give greater solemnity to the sacrifice, the execution was ordered to take place, not in Antioch, but in Rome.

From the Epistles of Ignatius p (I confine myself to the three short Syriac Epistles, for which we are indebted to Dr. Cureton) it is manifest that this was no general persecution. Throughout his journey the "Bishop of Antioch" is in free communication and correspondence with the Christian communities, and the most eminent

P 1 owe this suggestion to the sa- | the close approximation of the two who, though he quotes authorities for Dion Cassius, lxviii, 24 et segg.

gacity of Bunsen (Christianity and events, seems to have no thought of Mankind, p. 89). But the chronology their historical connexion. The deis from Fynes Clinton, Fasti Hellenici, scription of the earthquake is from

Bishops of Asia Minor, who appear to be in perfect security; Ignatius alone is in danger. Of this solitary danger he is proud. There is throughout a wild eagerness for martyrdom (how different from the calm serenity of St. Paul!). As he would thus during his journey court, he may reasonably be supposed, in Antioch to have provoked, martyrdom; at least he would not have allayed by prudent concession the indignation and anger of the Government. He even deprecates the interference of his Christian friends in his behalf. He fears lest their illtimed, and, as he thinks, cruelly officious love might by some influence (influence which implies their own complete exemption from danger) deprive him of that glorious crown. He is apprehensive lest their unwelcome appeal to the Imperial clemency might meet with success.

Trajan, indeed, is absolved, at least by the almost general voice of antiquity, from the crime of persecuting the Christians.^q The legend of his redemption from purgatory, at the prayer of Pope Gregory I. (Dante, Purgatorio, x. 47), and his appearance in heaven as one of the five heathers to whom salvation was vouchsafed

^q The recent boasted discovery of a | have visited the spot, and inspected the ruins, and am confident that it was never subterranean; no part of the catacomb). It was no doubt of about the age of Jerome; when pilgrimage to, and worship in, such edifices, sacred to the memory of martyrs, who were multiplied according to the demand, had become a passion. Excepting of Ignatius, probably of Simeon of Jerusalem, there is no authentic martyrdom in the reign of Trajan. The letters of Ignatius-the genuine letters -are conclusive against any persecution of the Christians in Rome.

catacomb, near the seventh milestone on the Via Nomentana, where Alexander, Bishop of Rome in the reign of Trajan, who is promoted into a martyr, was buried; with a chapel (contemporary, as it is boldly asserted) dedicated to his memory and worship, is a pure religious romance. A catacomb there is, from which the remains of S. Alexander are said to have been removed by Pope Paschal, a Pope of almost the darkest period in the Papal annals, A.D. 817-824. Of this there is not the shadow of a shade of historical evidence. As to the chapel (I

(Paradiso, xx. 43), would hardly have grown up, if there had been any tradition of him as another Nero, Decius, or Diocletian.

The cosmopolite and indefatigable mind of Hadrian Hadrian Em- was more likely to discern with accuracy, and estimate to its real extent, the growing influence of the new religion. Hadrian was, still more than his predecessor, the Emperor of the West rather than the monarch of Rome. His active genius withdrew itself altogether from warlike enterprise and foreign conquest; its whole care was centered on the consolidation of the empire within its narrower and uncontested boundaries, and on the internal regulation of the vast confederacy of nations which were gradually becoming more and more assimilated, as subjects or members of the great European empire. The remotest provinces for the first time beheld the presence of the Emperor, not at the head of an army summoned to defend the insulted barriers of the Roman territory, or pushing forward the advancing line of conquest; but in more peaceful array, providing for the future security of the frontier by impregnable fortresses; adorning the more flourishing cities with public buildings, bridges, and aqueducts: inquiring into the customs, manners, and even the religion, of the more distant parts of the world; encouraging commerce; promoting the arts; in short. improving, by salutary regulations, for this long period of peace, the prosperity and civilisation of the whole empire. Gaul, Britain, Greece, Syria, Egypt, Africa, were in turn honoured by the presence, enriched by the liberality, and benefited by the wise policy of the Emperor. His personal character showed the same in-

r M. St. Croix observes (in an essay | that we have medals of twenty-five in the Mém. de l'Académ. xlix. 409) | countries through which Hadrian tra-

cessant activity and politic versatility. On the frontier, at the head of the army, he put on the hardi- Character of hood and simplicity of a soldier; disdained any Hadrian. distinction, either of fare or of comfort, from the meanest legionary; and marched on foot, through the most inclement seasons. In the peaceful and voluptuous cities of the South he became the careless and luxurious Epicurean. Hadrian treated the established religion with the utmost respect; he officiated with solemn dignity as supreme pontiff, and at Rome affected disdain or aversion for foreign religions.8 But his mind was essentially imbued with the philosophic spirit: the was tempted by every abstruse research, and every forbidden inquiry had irresistible attraction for his curious and busy temper. At Athens he was in turn the simple and rational philosopher, the restorer of the splendid temple of Jupiter Olympius, and the awe-struck worshipper in the Eleusinian mysteries.* In the East, he

veiled. (Compare Eckhel, vi. 486.) He looked into the crater of Etna; saw the sun rise from Mount Casius; ascended to the cataracts of the Nile; heard the statue of Memnon. He imported exotics from the East. The journeys of Hadrian are traced, in a note to M. Solvet's translation of Hegewisch, cited above. Tertullian calls him "curiositatum omnium explorator." Apol, i. v. Eusebius, H. E. v. 5, πάντα τὰ περιέργα πολυπραγμονῶν.

Sacra Romana diligentissimè curavit, peregrina contempsit. Spartian, in Hadrian.

t Les autres sentiments de ce prince sont très difficiles à connaître. Il n'embrassa aucun secte, et ne fut ni Académicien, ni Stoicien, encore moins

Epicurien; il parut constamment livré à cette incertitude d'opinions, fruit de la bizarrerie de son caractère, et d'un savoir superficiel ou mal digéré. St. Croix, ubi suprà,

" In the Cæsars of Julian, Hadrian is described in the pregnant phrase πολυπραγμονῶν τὰ ἀπόβρητα, — busied about all the secret religions.

* The Apology of Quadratus was presented on Hadrian's visit to Athens, when he was initiated in the Mysteries; that of Aristides when he became Epoptes, A.D. 131. Warburton connects the hostility of the celebrators of the Mysteries towards Christianity with the Apology of Quadratus, and quotes a passage from Jerome to this effect. Compare Routh's Reliquiæ Sacræ, i, 70.

aspired to penetrate the recondite secrets of magic, and professed himself an adept in judicial astrology. In the midst of all this tampering with foreign religions, he at once paid respect to and outraged the prevailing creed by the deification of Antinous, in whose honour quinquennial games were established at Mantinea; a city built, and a temple, with an endowment for a priest-hood, founded and called by his name, in Egypt: his statues assumed the symbols of various deities. Acts like these, at this critical period, must have tended to alienate a large portion of the thinking class, already wavering in their cold and doubtful Polytheism, to any purer or more ennobling system of religion.

Hadrian not merely surveyed the surface of society, but his sagacity seemed to penetrate deeper into the relations of the different classes to each other, and into the more secret workings of the social system. His regulations for the mitigation of slavery were recommended, not by humanity alone, but by a wise and prudent policy. It was impossible that the rapid growth of Christianity could escape the notice of a mind so inquiring as that of Hadrian, or that he could be altogether blind to its ultimate bearings on the social state of the empire. Yet the generally humane and pacific character of his government would be a security against violent measures of persecution: and the liberal study of the varieties of human opinion would induce, if not a wise and rational spirit of toleration, yet a kind of contemptuous indifference towards the most inexplicable aberrations from the prevailing opinions. The apologists for Christianity, Quadratus

y Euseb. iv. 8. Hieronym. in Catal. et Rufin.

Bibbon, vol. i. ch. ii. p. 71.

and Aristides, addressed their works to the Emperor, who does not appear to have repelled their respectful homage.* The rescript which he addressed, in the early part of his reign, to the proconsul of Asia, afforded the same protection to the Christians against the more formidable danger of popular animosity, which Trajan had granted against anonymous delation. In some of the Asiatic cities their sullen and unsocial absence from the public assemblies, from the games, and other public exhibitions, either provoked or gave an opportunity for the latent animosity to break out against them. A general acclammation would sometimes demand their punishment. "The Christians to the lions!" was the fierce outcry; and the names of the most prominent or obnoxious of the community would be denounced with the same sudden and uncontrollable hostility. A weak or superstitious magistrate trembled before the popular voice, or lent himself a willing instrument to the fury of the populace. The proconsul Serenus Granianus consulted the Emperor as to the course to be pursued on such occasions. The answer of Hadrian is addressed to Minucius Fundanus, probably the successor of Granianus. It enacts that, in the prosecution of the Christians, the formalities of law should be strictly complied with: that they should be regularly arraigned before the legal tribunal, not condemned on the mere demand of the populace, or in compliance with a lawless outcry.b The edict does credit to the humanity and

quiæ Sacræ, i. 69-78.

· See the fragments in Routh, Reli- | Roman toleration, considers this edict by no means more favourable to the Christians than that of Trajan. It evidently offered them protection under

b Justin Martyr, Apol. i. 68, 69. Euseb. H. E. iv. 9. Mosheim, whose opinions on the state of the Christians | a new and peculiar exigency. are coloured by too lenient a view of

wisdom of Hadrian. But, notwithstanding his active and inquisitive mind, and the ability of his general policy, few persons were, perhaps, less qualified Hadrian incapable of to judge of the real nature of the new religion, understanding Christior to comprehend the tenacious hold which it would obtain upon the mind of man. His character wanted depth and seriousness to penetrate or to understand the workings of a high, profound, and settled religious enthusiasm.c The graceful verses which he addressed to his departing spirit d contrast with the solemn earnestness with which the Christians were teaching mankind to consider the mysteries of another life. But, on the whole, the long and peaceful reign of Hadrian allowed free scope to the progress of Christianity; the increasing wealth and prosperity of the empire probably raised in the social scale that class

c The well-known letter of Hadrian gives a singular view of the state of the religious society in Egypt, as it existed, or, rather, as it appeared to the inquisitive Emperor. "I am now, my dear Servianus, become fully acquainted with that Egypt which you praise so highly. I have found the people vain, fickle, and shifting with every breath of popular rumour. Those who worship Serapis are Christians; and those who call themselves Christian bishops are worshippers of Serapis. There is no ruler of a Jewish synagogue, no Samaritan, no Christian bishop, who is not an astrologer, an interpreter of prodigies, and an anointer. The Patriarch himself, when he comes to Egypt, is compelled by one party to worship Serapis, by the other, Christ. . . . They have but one God: him, Christians, Jews, and

Gentiles, worship alike." This latter clause Casaubon understood seriously. It is evidently malicious satire. The common God is Gain. The key to the former curious statement is probably that the tone of the higher, the fashionable, society in Alexandria, was to affect, either on some Gnostic or philosophic theory, that all these religions differed only in form, but were essentially the same; that all adored one Deity, all one Logos or Demiurge, under different names; all employed the same arts to impose upon the vulgar, and all were equally despicable to the real philosopher. Dr. Burton. in his History of the Church, suggested, with much ingenuity, that the Samaritans may have been the Gnostic followers of Simon Magus.

d Animula, vagula, blandula, Hospes comesque corporis, Que nunc abibis in loca? among which it was chiefly disseminated; while the better part of the more opulent would be tempted, at least to make themselves acquainted with a religion the moral influence of which was so manifestly favourable to the happiness of mankind, and which offered so noble a solution of the great problem of human philosophy, the immortality of the soul.

The gentle temper of the first Antoninus would maintain that milder system which was adopted by Hadrian from policy or from indifference. The Emperor, whose parental vigilance scruti
138. nised the minutest affairs of the most remote province, could not be ignorant, though his own residence was fixed in Rome and its immediate neighbourhood, of the still expanding progress of Christianity. religion itself acquired every year a more public character. The Apology now assumed the tone of an arraignment of the folly and unholiness of the established Polytheism; nor was this a low and concealed murmur within the walls of its own places of assemblage, or propagated in the quiet intercourse of the brethren. It no longer affected disguise, or dissembled its hopes; it approached the foot of the throne; it stood in the attitude, indeed, of a suppliant, claiming the inalienable rights of conscience, but asserting in simple confidence its moral superiority, and, in the name of an Apology, publicly preaching its own doctrines in the ears of the sovereign and of the world. The philosophers were joining its ranks; it was rapidly growing up into a rival power, both of the religions and philosophies of the world. Yet, during a reign in which human life assumed a value and a sanctity before unknown: in which the hallowed person of a senator

was not once violated, even by the stern hand of justice; e under an Emperor who professed and practised the maxim of Scipio, that he had rather save the life of a single citizen than cause the death of a thousand enemies; who considered the subjects of the Empire as one family, of which himself was the parent, even religious zeal would be rebuked and overawed; and the provincial governments, which too often reflected the fierce passions and violent barbarities of the throne, would now, in turn, image back the calm and placid serenity of the imperial tribunal. Edicts are said to have been issued to some of the Grecian cities—Larissa, Thessalonica, and Athens and to the Greeks in general, to refrain from any unprecedented severities against the Christians. rescript, addressed to the cities of Asia Minor, speaks language too distinctly Christian even for the anticipated Christianity of disposition evinced by Antoninus. It calls upon the Pagans to avert the anger of Heaven, which was displayed in earthquakes and other public calamities, by imitating the piety, rather than denouncing the atheism, of the Christians. The pleasing vision

as spurious. The older writers disputed to which of the Antonini it belonged. Lardner argues, from the Apologies of Justin Martyr, that the Christians were persecuted "even to death" during this reign. The inference is inconclusive: they were obnoxious to the law, and might endeavour to gain the law on their side, though it may not have been carried into execution. The general voice of Christian antiquity is favourable to the First Antoninus.

e Jul. Capit. Anton. Pius, Aug. Script. p. 138.

f Ibid., p. 140.

⁸ The reign of Antoninus the First is almost a blank in history. The book of Dion Cassius which contained his reign was lost, except a small part, when Xiphilin wrote. Xiphilin asserts that Antoninus favoured the Christians.

h The rescript of Antoninus, in Eusebius, to which Xiphilin alludes (Euseb. iv. 13), in favour of the Christians, is now generally given up

must, it is to be feared, be abandoned, which would represent the best of the Pagan Emperors bearing his public testimony in favour of the calumniated Christians; the man who, from whatever cause, deservedly bore the name of the Pious among the adherents of his own religion, the most wisely tolerant to the faith of the Gospel.

CHAPTER VIL

Christianity and Marcus Aurelius the Philosopher.

THE virtue of Marcus Aurelius the Philosopher was of a more lofty and vigorous character than that of his gentle predecessor. The Second Antoninus might seem the last effort of Paganism, or rather of Gentile philosophy, to raise a worthy opponent to the triumphant career of Christianity. A blameless disciple in the severest school of philosophic morality, the austerity of Marcus rivalled that of the Christians in its contempt of the follies and diversions of life; yet his native kindliness of disposition was not hardened and embittered by the severity or the pride of his philosophy. With Aurelius, nevertheless, Christianity found not only a fair and high-minded competitor for the command of the human mind; not only a rival in the exaltation of the soul of man to higher views and more dignified motives, but a violent and intolerant persecutor. During his reign, the martyrologies become more authentic and credible; the distinct voice of Christian history arraigns the Philosopher, not indeed as the author of a general and systematic plan for the extirpation of Christianity, but as withdrawing even the ambiguous protection of the former Emperors, and giving free scope to the excited passions, the wounded pride, and the jealous

Nerecundus sine ignaviâ, sine tristitiâ gravis. Jul. Capit. Aug. Hist. p. 160.

interests of its enemies; neither discountenancing the stern determination of the haughty governor to break the contumacious spirit of resistancé to his authority, nor the outburst of popular fury, which sought to appease the offended gods by the sacrifice of these despisers of their Deities.

Three important causes concurred in bringing about this dangerous crisis in the destiny of Chris- Three causes tianity at this particular period:—1. The lity of M. change in the relative position of Christianity Aurelius and his governto the religion of the Empire; 2. The circum-Christianity. stances of the times; 3. The character of the Emperor.

beginning of the second century, had opened a

I. Sixty years of almost uninterrupted peace, since the

wide field for the free development of Chris-position of Christianity tianity. It had spread into every quarter of the in regard to Paganism. Roman dominions. The Western provinces. Gaul and Africa, rivalled the East in the number, if not in the opulence, of their Christian congregations. In almost every city had gradually arisen a separate community. seceding from the ordinary habits and usages of life, at least from the public religious ceremonial; governed by its own laws; acting upon a common principle; and bound together in a kind of latent federal union throughout the empire. A close and intimate correspondence connected this new moral republic. An impulse, an opinion, a feeling, which originated in Egypt or Syria, was propagated with electric rapidity to the remotest frontier of the West. Irenæus, the Bishop of Lyons in Gaul, whose purer Greek had been in danger of corruption from his intercourse with the barbarous Celtic tribes, enters into a controversy with the speculative teachers of Antioch, Edessa, or Alexandria; while Tertullian in his rude African Latin denounces or advocates

VOL. II.

opinions which sprang up in Pontus or in Phrygia. new kind of literature had arisen, propagated with the utmost zeal of proselytism, among a numerous class of readers, who began to close their ears against the profane fables and the unsatisfactory philosophical systems of Paganism. While the Emperor himself condescended, in Greek of no despicable purity and elegance for the age, to explain the lofty tenets of the Porch, and to commend its noble morality to his subjects, the minds of a large portion of the world were preoccupied by writers who, in language often impregnated with foreign and Syrian barbarisms, enforced still higher morals, resting upon religious tenets altogether new and incomprehensible excepting to the initiate. sacred books were of still higher authority; commanded the homage, and required the diligent and respectful study, of all the disciples of the new faith. Nor was this empire within the empire, this universally disseminated sect—which had its own religious rites, its own laws, to which it appealed rather than to the statutes of the empire; its own judges (for the Christians, wherever they were able, submitted their disputes to their bishop and his associate presbyters), its own financial regulations, whether for the maintenance of public worship, or for charitable purposes; its own religious superiors, who exercised a very different control from that of the pontiffs or sacerdotal colleges of Paganism; its own usages and conduct; in some respects its own language-confined to one class, or to one description of Roman subjects. Christians were to be found in the court, in the camp, in the commercial market; they discharged all the duties, and did not decline any of the offices, of society. They did not altogether shun the forum. or abandon all interest in the civil administration; they

had their mercantile transactions, in common with the rest of that class. One of their apologists indignantly repels the charge of their being useless to society: "We are no Indian Brahmins, or devotees, living naked in the woods, self-banished from civilised life. We gratefully accept, we repudiate no gift of God the Creator: we are only temperate in their use. We avoid not your forum, your markets, your baths, your shops, your forges, your inns, your fairs. We are one people with you in all worldly commerce. We serve with you as sailors, as soldiers; we are husbandmen and merchants like you. We practise the same arts; we contribute to all public works for your use." b Among their most remarkable distinctions, no doubt, was their admission of slaves to an equality in religious privileges. Yet there was no attempt to disorganise or correct the existing relations of society. Though the treatment of slaves in Christian families could not but be softened and humanised, as well by the evangelic temper as by this acknowledged equality in the hopes of another life, yet Christianity left the emancipation of mankind from these deeply-rooted distinctions between the free and servile races to times which might be ripe for so great and important a change.

This secession of one part of society from its accus-

b I add Tertullian's Latin: "In- | planè temperamus, ne ultra modum aut perperam utamur. Itaque non sine foro, non sine macello, non sine balneis, tabernis, officinis, stabulis, nundinis vestris, cæterisque commerciis, cohabitamus in hoc seculo: navigamus et nos vobiscum et militamus, et rusticamur, et mercamur; proinde miscemus artes, opera nostra publicamus usui

fructuosi in negotiis dicimur. Quo pacto homines vobiscum degentes, ejusdem victûs, habitûs, instinctûs, ejusdem ad vitam necessitatis? Neque enim Brachmanæ, aut Indorum gymnosophistæ sumus, sylvicolæ et exules vitæ. Meminimus gratiam nos debere Deo domino creatori, nullum fructum operum ejus repudiamur, vestro." Apologet. c. 42.

tomed religious intercourse with the rest, if in nothing but religious intercourse, independent of the numbers whose feelings and interests were implicated in the support of the national religion in all its pomp and authority, would necessarily produce estrangement,

jealousy, animosity.

As Christianity became more powerful, a vague appre-Connexion of hension began to spread abroad among the Roman people that the fall of their old reliof the Roman gion might, to a certain degree, involve that of their civil dominion; and this apprehension, it cannot be denied, was justified, deepened, and confirmed, by the tone of some of the Christian writings, no doubt by the language of some Christian teachers. Idolatry was not merely an individual, but a national, sin, which would be visited by temporal as well as spiritual retribution. The anxiety of one at least, and that certainly not the most discreet of the Christian apologists, to disclaim all hostility towards the temporal dignity of the Empire, implies that the Christians were obnoxious to this charge. The Christians are calumniated, writes Tertullian to Scapula, at a somewhat later period (under Severus), as guilty of treasonable disloyalty to the Emperor. As the occasion required, he exculpates them from any leaning to Niger, Albinus, or Cassius, the competitors of Severus, and then proceeds to make this solemn protestation of loyalty: "The Christian is the enemy of no man, assuredly not of the Emperor.

dum Imperatoris; quem sciens a Deo | pulam, 1.

c Sed et circa majestatem imperii | suo constitui, necesse est ut et ipsum diligat, et revereatur, et honoret, et salvum velit, cum toto Romano imperio, quousque sæculum stabit : tam-Christianus nullius est hostis, ne- diu enim stabit. Tertullian ad Sca-

infamamur, tamen nunquam Albiniani, nec Nigriani, vel Cassiani, inveniri potuerunt Christiani.

The sovereign he knows to be ordained by God; of necessity, therefore, he loves, reveres, and honours him, and prays for his safety, with that of the whole Roman Empire, that it may endure—and endure it will—as long as the world itself." But other Christian documents, or at least documents eagerly disseminated by the Christians, speak a very different language. By many modern interpreters, the hension. Apocalypse itself is supposed to refer, not to the fall of a predicted spiritual Rome, but of the dominant Pagan Rome, the visible Babylon of idolatry, and pride, and cruelty. According to this view, it is a grand dramatic vaticination of the triumph of Christianity over Heathers.

cruelty. According to this view, it is a grand dramatic vaticination of the triumph of Christianity over Heathenism in its secular as well as its spiritual power. Be this as it may, in later writings, the threatening and maledictory tone of the Apocalypse is manifestly borrowed, and directed against the total abolition of Paganism, in its civil as well as religious supremacy. Many of these forged prophetic writings belong to the reign of the Antonines, and could not emanate from any quarter but that of the more injudicious and fanatical Christians. The second (Apocryphal) book of Esdras is of this character, the work of a Judaising Christian; it refers distinctly to the reign of the twelve Cæsars, and obscurely intimates, in many parts, the approaching dissolution of the existing order of things. The doctrine of

d Quousque sæculum stabit.

e I have been much indebted, in this passage, to the excellent work of Tschirner, 'Der Fall des Heidenthums,' a work written with so much learning, candour, and Christian temper, as to excite great regret that it was left incomplete at its author's death.

f The general character of the work, the nationality of the perpetual allusions to the history and fortunes of the race of Israel, betray the Jew; the passages ch. ii. 42, 48; v. 5; vii. 26, 29, are avowed Christianity. On this book read Ewald.

g C. xii. 14. Compare Basnage, Hist. des Juifs, l. vii. c. 2.

the Millennium, which was as yet far from exploded or fallen into disregard, mingled with all these prophetic anticipations of future change in the destinies of mankind.h The visible throne of Christ, according to these writings, was to be erected on the ruins of all earthly empires: the nature of His kingdom would, of course, be unintelligible to the Heathen: and all that he would comprehend would be a vague notion that the empire of the world was to be transferred from Rome, and that this extinction of the majesty of the Empire was, in some incomprehensible manner, connected with the triumph of the new faith. His terror, his indignation, and his contempt, would lead to fierce and implacable animosity. Even in Tertullian's Apology, the ambiguous word "sæculum" might mean no more than a brief and limited period, which was yet to elapse before the final consummation.

But the Sibylline verses, which clearly belong to this The Sibylline period, express, in the most remarkable manner. this spirit of exulting menace at the expected simultaneous fall of Roman idolatry and of Roman empire. The origin of the whole of the Sibylline oracles now extant is not distinctly apparent, either from the style, the manner of composition, or the subject of their predictions.i It is manifest that they were largely interpolated by the Christians, to a late period, and some of the books can be assigned to no other time but the present.k Much, no doubt, was of an older date. It is

the Millennium in the Sibylline verses, particularly at the close of the eighth

¹ The first book, to page 176, may be Jewish; it then becomes Christian,

h There are apparent allusions to | books there is little prophecy; it is in general the Mosaic history, in Greek hexameters. If there are any fragments of Heathen verses, they are in the third book.

k Ad horum imperatorum (Antoas well as the second. But in these nini Pii cum liberis suis M. Aurelio

scarcely credible that the Fathers of this time would quote contemporary forgeries as ancient prophecies. The Jews of Alexandria, who had acquired some taste for Grecian poetry, and displayed some talent for the translation of their sacred books into the Homeric language and metre, had, no doubt, set the example of versifying their own prophecies, and of ascribing them to the Sibyls, whose names were universally venerated, as revealing to mankind the secrets of futurity. They may have begun by comparing their own prophets with these ancient seers, and spoken of the predictions of Isaiah or Ezekiel as their Sibylline verses, which may have been another word for prophetic or oracular.

Almost every region of Heathenism boasts its Sibvl.ⁿ Poetic predictions, ascribed to these inspired women, were either published or religiously preserved in the sacred archives of cities. Nowhere were they held in such awful reverence as in Rome. The opening of the

Sibyllarum vaticinia tantum extendi; id quod etiam e lib. v. videre licet. Note of the editor, Opsopæus, p. 688.

m Compare Valckenaer's learned treatise De Aristobulo Judæo. The fragments of Ezekiel Tragædus, and many passages, which are evident versions of the Jewish Scriptures, in the works of the Fathers, particularly of Eusebius, may be traced to this school. It is by no means impossible that the Pollio of Virgil may owe many of its beauties to those Alexandrian versifiers of the Hebrew prophets. Virgil, who wrought up indiscriminately into his refined gold all the ruder ore which he found in the older poets, may have seen and admired some of these verses.

et Lucio Vero) tempora videntur He may have condescended, as he thought, to borrow the images of these religious books of the barbarians, as a modern might the images of the Vedas or of the Koran.

n See on the different Sibyls and the origin of the different peems the dissertation the (Excursus i. and vi.) of the new editor of the Sibylline verses, M. Alexandre, t. ii. (Paris, 1856). On the Roman Sibylline books, Excursus iii. I do not pledge myself to all M. Alexandre's historical criticism; but I wish to bear my humble testimony to the superiority of this edition over all previous ones. The editor has availed himself of the valuable suggestions of Bleek.

Sibylline books was an event of rare occurrence, and only at seasons of fearful disaster or peril. Nothing would be more tempting to the sterner or more ardent Christian, than to enlist, as it were, on his side, these authorised Pagan interpreters of futurity; to extort, it might seem, from their own oracles, this confession of their approaching dissolution. Nothing, on the other hand, would more strongly excite the mingled feelings of apprehension and animosity in the minds of the Pagans, than this profanation, as it would appear, whether they disbelieved or credited them, of the sacred treasures of prophecy. It was Paganism made to utter, in its most hallowed language, and by its own inspired prophets, its own condemnation; to announce its own immediate downfall, and the triumph of its yet obscure enemy over both its religious and temporal dominion.

The fifth and eighth books of the Sibylline oracles are those which most distinctly betray the sentiments and language of the Christians of this period.º spirit of the Jewish prophets, they denounce the folly of worshipping gods of wood and stone, of ivory, of gold, and silver; of offering incense and sacrifice to dumb and deaf deities. The gods of Egypt, and those of Greece -Hercules, Jove, and Mercury-are cut off. whole sentiment is in the contemptuous and aggressive tone of the later, rather than the more temperate and defensive argument of the earlier, apologists for Christianity. But the Sibyls are made, not merely to denounce the fall of Heathenism, but the ruin of Heathen states and the desolation of Heathen cities. Many passages relate to Egypt, and seem to point out Alexandria, with Asia Minor, the cities of which, particularly Lao-

^o Lib. v. p. 557.

dicea, are frequently noticed, as the chief staple of these poetico-prophetic forgeries.^p The following passage might almost seem to have been written after the destruction of the Serapeum by Theodosius: 9 "Isis, thrice hapless goddess, thou shalt remain alone on the shores of the Nile, a solitary Mænad by the sands of Acheron. No longer shall thy memory endure upon the earth. And thou, Serapis, that restest upon thy stones, much must thou suffer: thou shalt be the mightiest ruin in thrice hapless Egypt; and those, who worshipped thee for a god, shall know thee to be nothing. And one of the linen-clothed priests shall say, Come, let us build the beautiful temple of the true God; let us change the awful law of our ancestors, who, in their ignorance, made their pomps and festivals to gods of stone and clay; let us turn our hearts, hymning the Everlasting God, the Eternal Father, the Lord of all, the True, the King, the Creator and Preserver of our souls, the Great, the Eternal God."

The first of these lines is mutilated.

Γνώσονται σε το μηδέν, δσοι Θεον εξύμνησαν. Καλ τις έρει των ίερέων λινσόσσιος άνηρ. Δεῦτε Θεοῦ τέμενος καλοὺ στήθωμεν άληθès, Δεῦτε τὸν ἐκ προγόνων δεινὸν νόμον ἀλλάξωμεν, Τοῦ χάριν ἡ λίθινοις καὶ ὀστρακίνοισι θεοίσι Πομπάς και τελετάς ποιούμενοι οὐκ ἔνοησαν, Στρέψωμεν ψυχάς, Θεον άφθιτον έξυμνοῦντες. Αύτον του γενετήρα, του αίδιου γεγαώτα, Τὸν πρυτανίν πάντων, τὸν ἀληθέα, τὸν βασιλῆα. Ψυχοτρόφον γενετήρα, Θεδν μέγαν, αίὲν ἐόντα. Lib. v. p. 638, edit. Gall. Amstelod. 1689.

Ρ Θμούις καὶ Ξούις θλίβεται, καὶ κόπτεται. Βουλή 'Ηρακλεούς τε Διός τε και 'Ερμειάο.- Ρ. 558.

^{9 &#}x27;Ισὶ, θεὰ τριτάλαινα, μενεῖς δ' ἐπὶ χεύμασι Νείλου, Μούνη, μαινάς άτακτος, έπὶ ψαμάθοις Αχέροντος, Κοὖκέτι σου μνεῖά γε μενεῖ κατὰ γαίαν ἄπασαν. Καὶ σὸ Σέραπι, λίθοις ἐπικείμενε, πολλά μογήσεις, Κείση πτῶμα μέγιστον, ἐν Αἰγύπτφ τριταλαίνη.

A bolder prophet, without doubt writing precisely at this perilous crisis, dares, in the name of a Sibyl, to connect together the approaching fall of Rome and the gods of Rome. "O haughty Rome, the just chastisement of Heaven shall come down upon thee from on high; thou shalt stoop thy neck, and be levelled with the earth; and fire shall consume thee, razed to thy very foundations; and thy wealth shall perish; wolves and foxes shall dwell among thy ruins, and thou shalt be desolate as if thou hadst never been. Where then will be thy Palladium? Which of thy gods of gold, or of stone, or of brass, shall save thee? Where then the decrees of thy senate? Where the race of Rhea, of Saturn, or of Jove; all the lifeless deities thou hast worshipped, or the shades of the deified dead? When thrice five gorgeous Cæsars [the twelve Cæsars usually so called, with Nerva, Trajan, Hadrian], who have enslaved the world from east to west, shall be, one will arise silver-helmed, with a name like the neighbouring sea [Hadrian and the Hadriatic Sea]," The poet describes the busy and lavish character of Hadrian, his

^{*} ^{*}Ηξει σοὶ ποτ' ἄνωθεν ἴση, ὑψαύχενε 'Ρώμη, Οὐράνιος πληγὴ, καὶ κάμψεις αὐχένα πρώτη, Κὰξεδαφισθὴση, καὶ πῦρ σε ὅλην δαπανήσει Κεκλιμένην ἐδάφεσσιν ἑοῖς, καὶ πλοῦτος ὁλεῖται, Καὶ σὰ θέμεθλα λύκοι, καὶ ἀλώπεκες οἰκήσουσι. Καὶ τότ' ἔση πανέρημος ὅλως, ὡς μὴ γεγονυῖα. Ποῦ τότε Παλλάδιον; ποῖος σε θεὸς διασώσει, Χρυσοῦς, ἡ λίθινος, ἡ χάλκεος; ἡ τότε ποῦ σοι Δόγματα συγκλήτου; ποῦ, 'Ρεὶης, ἡὲ Κρόνοιο, 'Ηὲ Διὸς γενεὴ, καὶ πάντων ὧν ἔσεβάσθης Δαίμονας ἀψύχους, νέκρῶν εἴδωλα καμόντων;

^{&#}x27;Αλλ' δτε σοι βασιλεῖς χλιδανοι τρις πέντε γένουται, Κόσμον δουλώσαντες ἀπ' ἀντολίης μέχρι δυσμῶν, 'Έσσετ' ἀναξ πολιόκρανος, ἔχων πέλας οὔνομα πόντου.

curiosity in prying into all religious mysteries, and his deification of Antinous.8

"After him shall reign three, whose times shall be the last. * * * Then from the uttermost parts of the earth, whither he fled, shall the matricide [Nero] return."

tion of Europe to the East, are like- lib. v. p. 552, much more laudatory: wise alluded to in the following pas- "Εσται και πανάριστος ανήρ, και sages: lib. iii. p. 404-408; v. 573- πάντα νοήσει. 576; viii. 694, 712, 718.

The ruin of Rome, and the restora- There is another allusion to Hadrian.

* Κόσμον ἐποπτεύων μιαρῷ ποδὶ, δῶρα πορίζων

Καὶ μαγικών ἀδύτων μυστήρια πάντα μεθέξει, Παιδά θεδν δεικνύσει, ἄπαντα σεβάσματα λύσει.-- Ρ. 688.

(Compare the xiith book, published by A. Mai, where the reading is idia ποδι, line 167.)

* Τὸν μετὰ τρεῖς ἄρξουσι, πανύστατον ἢμαρ ἔχοντες-

One of these three is to be an old man, to heap up vast treasures, in order to surrender them to the Eastern destroyer, Nero-

> γν δταν γ' ἀπανέλθη 'Εκ περίτων γαίης δ φυγας μητροκτόνος έλθων. Καλ τότε πενθήσεις, πλατύ πόρφυρον ήγεμονήων Φως εκδυσαμένη, και πένθιμον είμα φερούσα.

Καὶ γὰρ ἀετοφόρων λεγεώνων δόξα πεσεῖται. Ποῦ τότε σοι τὸ κράτος; ποία γῆ σύμμαχος ἔσται, Δουλωθείσα τεαίς ματαιοφροσύνησιν άθέσμως; Πάσης γὰρ γαίης θνητών τότε σύγχυσις ἔσται, Αὐτός παντοκράτωρ δτὰν ἐλθὼν βήμασι κρίνη Ζώντων και νεκύων ψυχάς, και κόσμον άπαντα.

Έκ τοτέ σοι βρυγμός, και σκορπισμός, και άλωσις, Πτῶσις δταν έλθη πόλεων, καὶ χάσματα γαίης.

of Nero beyond the Euphrates, from gustine on the Thessalonians: "Et whence he was to return as Antichrist, tunc revelabitur ille iniquus. is almost the burthen of the Sibylline | prorsus quid dixerit me fateor ignoverses. Compare lib. iv. p. 520-525; v. 573, where there is an allusion to quas vel audire vel legere de hâc re his theatrical tastes, 619-714. The potui, non tacebo. Quidam putant

Lib. viii. 688. 1 The strange notion of the flight | best commentary is that of St. Aurare. Suspiciones tamen hominum, And now, O king of Rome, shalt thou mourn, disrobed of the purple laticlave of thy rulers, and clad in sack-cloth. The glory of thy eagle-bearing legions shall perish. Where shall be thy might? What land, which thou hast enslaved by thy vain laurels, shall be thine ally? For there shall be confusion on all mortals over the whole earth, when the Almighty Ruler comes, and, seated upon his throne, judges the souls of the quick and of the dead, and of the whole world. There shall be wailing and scattering abroad, and ruin, when the fall of the cities shall come, and the abyss of earth shall open."

In another passage, the desolation of Italy, the return of Nero, the general massacre of kings, are portrayed in fearful terms. The licentiousness of Rome is detailed in the blackest colours. "Sit silent in thy sorrow, O guilty and luxurious city; the vestal virgins shall no longer watch the sacred fire; thy house is desolate." Christianity is then represented under the image of a pure and heaven-descending temple, embracing the whole human race.

hoc de imperio dictum fuisse Romano; | et propterea Paulum Apostolum non id apertè scribere voluisse, ne calumniam videlicet incurreret quod Romano imperio malè optaverit, cum speraretur æternum; ut hoc quod dixit, 'Jam enim mysterium iniquitatis operatur,' Neronem voluerit intelligi, cujus jam facta velut Antichristi videbantur; unde nonnulli ipsum resurrecturum et futurum Antichristum suspicantur. Alii vero nec eum occisum putant, sed subtractum potiùs, ut putaretur occisus; et vivum occultari in vigore ípsius ætatis, in quâ fuit cum crederetur extinctus, donec suo

tempore reveletur, et restituatur in regnum." According to the Sibyls, Nero was to make an alliance with the kings of the Medes and Persians; return at the head of a mighty army; accomplish his favourite scheme of digging through the Isthmus of Corinth, and then conquer Rome. For the manner in which Neander traces the germ of this notion in the Apocalypse, see Pflanzung, Der Chr. Kirche, ii. 327. Nero is Antichrist in the political verses of Commodianus, xli. Compare M. Alexandre, ii. 495.

^{*} Lib. v. p. 621.

Whether or not these prophecies merely embodied, for the private edification, the sentiments of the Christians. they are manifest indications of these sentiments; and they would scarcely be concealed with so much prudence and discretion as not to transpire among adversaries, who now began to watch them with jealous vigilance: if they were boldly published, for the purpose of converting the Heathen, they would be still more obnoxious to the general indignation and hatred. However the more moderate and rational, probably the greater number, of the Christians might deprecate these dangerous and injudicious effusions of zeal, the consequences would involve all alike in the indiscriminating animosity which they would provoke; and, whether or not these predictions were contained in the Sibylline poems, quoted by all the early writers, by Justin Martyr, by Clement, and by Origen, the attempt to array the authority of the Sibyls against that religion and that empire, of which they were before considered almost the tutelary guardians, would goad the rankling aversion into violent resentment.

The general superiority assumed in any way by Christianity, directly it came into collision with the opposite party, would of itself be fatal to the peace which it had acquired in its earlier obscurity. Of all pretensions, man is most jealous of the claim to moral superiority.

II. The darkening aspect of the times wrought up this growing alienation and hatred to open and II. Change in furious hostility. In the reign of M. Aurelius, the circumstances of the we approach the verge of that narrow oasis of times. peace which intervenes between the final conquests of Rome and the recoil of repressed and threatening barbarism upon the civilisation of the world. The public

mind began to be agitated with gloomy rumours from the frontier, while calamities, though local, yet spread over wide districts, shook the whole Roman people with apprehension. Foreign and civil wars, inundations, earthquakes, pestilences, which I shall presently assign to their proper dates, awoke the affrighted empire from its slumber of tranquillity and peace.y

The Emperor Marcus reposed not, like his prede-

cessor, in his Lanuvian villa, amid the peaceful pursuits of agriculture, or with the great jurisconsults of the time, meditating on a general system of legislation. The days of the Second Numa were gone by, and the Philosopher must leave his speculative school and his Stoic friends to place himself at the head of the legions. New levies invade the repose of peaceful families; even the public amusements are encroached upon; the gla-Terror of the diators are enrolled to serve in the army. It Roman world. was at this unexpected crisis of calamity and terror, that Superstition, which had slept in careless and Epicurean forgetfulness of its gods, suddenly awoke, and when it fled for succour to the altar of the tutelar deity. found the temple deserted and the shrine neglected. One portion of society stood aloof in sullen disregard or avowed contempt of rites so imperiously demanded by the avenging gods. If, in the time of public distress,

true religion inspires serene resignation to the Divine will, and receives the awful admonition to more strenuous and rigid virtue, Superstition shudders at the manifest anger of the gods, yet looks not within to correct the offensive guilt, but abroad, to discover some gift or

^{*} Fuit enim populo hic sermo, cum sustulisset ad bellum gladiatores quod

y Tillemont, Hist. des Emp. ii. populum sublatis voluptatibus vellet cogere ad philosophiam. Jul. Cap. p. 204.

sacrifice which may appease the Divine wrath, and bribe back the alienated favour of Heaven. Rarely does it discover any offering sufficiently costly, except human life.a The Christians were the public and avowed enemies of the gods; they were the self-designated victims. whose ungrateful atheism had provoked, whose blood might avert, their manifest indignation. The public religious ceremonies, the sacrifices, the games, the theatres, afforded constant opportunities of inflaming and giving vent to the paroxysms of popular fury, with which it disburthened itself of its awful apprehensions. The cry of "The Christians to the lions!" was now no longer the wanton clamour of individual or party malice; it was not murmured by the interested, and eagerly reechoed by the blood-thirsty, who rejoiced in the exhibition of unusual victims; it was the deep and general voice of fanatic terror, solemnly demanding the propitiation of the wrathful gods, by the sacrifice of these impious apostates from their worship.b The Christians were the authors of all the calamities which were brooding over the world, and in vain their earnest apologists appealed to the prosperity of the empire since the appearance of Christ, in the reign of Augustus, and showed that the great enemies of Christianity, the Emperors Nero and Domitian, were likewise the scourges of mankind.c

* Compare on similar events, paroxysms of popular religious zeal arising out of public calamities, Hartung, Religion des Römer, i. 234.

invented or wrought up, from a casual occurrence, into its present form, as a kind of counterpoise to the reiterated charge which was advanced against the Christians, of having caused, by their

^c Melito apud Routh, Reliq. Sacr.

b The miracle of the thundering legion (see postea), after having suf- impiety, all the calamities inflicted by fered deadly wounds from former the barbarians on the Empire? assailants, was finally transfixed by the critical spear of Moyle (Works, i. 111. Compare Tertullian, Apovol. ii.). Is it improbable that it was loget. v.

III. Was, then, the philosopher Aurelius superior to III. The cha- the vulgar superstition? In what manner did his personal character affect the condition of the Christians? Did he authorise, by any new edict, a general and systematic persecution, or did he only give free scope to the vengeance of the awe-struck people, and countenance the timid or fanatic concessions of the provincial governors to the riotous demand of the populace for Christian blood? Did he actually repeal or suspend, or only neglect to enforce, the milder edicts of his predecessors, which secured to the Christians a fair and public trial before the legal tribunal? d The acts ascribed to Marcus Aurelius, in the meagre and unsatisfactory annals of his reign, are at issue with the sentiments expressed in his grave and lofty Meditations. He assumes, in his philosophical lucubrations, which he dictated during his campaigns upon the Danube, the tone of profound religious sentiment, but proudly disclaims the influence of superstition upon his mind. Yet in Rome he either shared, or condescended to appear to share, all the terrors of the people. The pestilence, said to have been introduced from the East by the soldiers, on their return from the Parthian campaign, had not yet ceased its ravages, when the public mind was thrown into a state of the utmost depression by the news of the Marcomannic war. M. Aurelius, as we shall hereafter see, did not, in his proper person, coun-

Aurelian is named among the persecuting Emperors in the treatise ascribed to Lactantius (De Mort. Persecutor.), in which his edicts (scripta) against the Christians are distinctly named, outweighs their conjectural objections.

d There is an edict of the Emperor Aurelian in the genuine Acts of St. Symphorian, in which Pagi, Ruinart, and Neander (i. 106), would read the name of M. Aurelius instead of Aurelianus. Their arguments are, in my opinion, inconclusive, and the fact that

tenance, to the utmost, the demands of the popular superstition. For all the vulgar arts of magic, divination, and vaticination, the Emperor declares his sovereign contempt; yet on that occasion, besides the public religious ceremonies, to which I shall presently allude, he is said himself to have tampered with the dealers in the secrets of futurity; to have lent a willing ear to the prognostications of the Chaldeans, and to the calculations of astrology. If these facts be true, and all this were not done in mere compliance with the Private sentigeneral sentiment, the serene composure of Emperor, in Marcus himself may at times have darkened tions. into terror; his philosophic apathy may not always have been exempt from the influence of shuddering devotion. In issuing an edict against the Christians, Marcus may have supposed that he was consulting the public good, by conciliating the alienated favour of the gods. But the superiority of the Christians to all the terrors of death appears at once to have astonished and wounded the Stoic pride of the Emperor. Philosophy, which was constantly dwelling on the solemn question of the immortality of the soul, could not comprehend the eager resolution with which the Christian departed from life; and in the bitterness of jealousy sought out unworthy motives for the intrepidity which it could not emulate. "How great is that soul which is ready, if it must depart from the body, to be extinguished, to be dispersed, or still to subsist! And this readiness must proceed from the individual judgement, not from mere obstinacy, like the Christians, but deliberately, solemnly, and without tragic display." e The Emperor did not

The Emperor's Greek is by no sage. Ψιλὴν παράταξιν is usually means clear in this remarkable pastranslated as in the text "mere ob-VOL. II.

choose to discern that it was in the one case the doubt, in the other the assurance, of the eternal destiny of the soul, which constituted the difference. Marcus, no doubt, could admire, not merely the dignity with which the philosopher might depart on his uncertain but necessary disembarkation from the voyage of life, and the bold and fearless valour with which his own legionaries or their barbarous antagonists could confront death on the field of battle; but, at the height of his wisdom, he could not comprehend the exalted enthusiasm with which the Christian trusted in the immortality and blessedness of the departed soul in the presence of God.

There can be little doubt that Marcus Antoninus issued an edict by which the Christians were again exposed to all the denunciations of common informers, whose zeal was now whetted by some share, if not by the whole, of the confiscated property of delinquents. The most distinguished Christians of the East were sacrificed to the base passions of the meanest of mankind, by the Emperor, who, with every moral qualification to appreciate the new religion, closed his ears, either in the stern apathy of Stoic philosophy, or the more engrossing terrors of Heathen bigotry.

It is remarkable how closely the more probable records of Christian martyrology harmonise with the course of events, during the whole reign of M. Aurelius.

άλλον πείσαι, and without that tragic display which is intended to persuade others to follow our example. The Stoic pride would stand alone in the dignity of an intrepid death.

stinacy." A recent writer renders it | words omitted in the text Sore kal "ostentation or parade." I suspect an antithesis with ίδικης κρίσεως, and that it refers to the manner in which the Christians arrayed themselves as a body against the authority of the persecutors; and should render the

and illustrate and justify my view of the causes and motives of their persecution.

It was on the 7th March, A.D. 161, that the elder Antoninus, in the charitable words of a Christian apologist, sank in death into the sweetest sleep,g and M. Aurelius assumed the reins of empire. He immediately associated with himself the other adopted son of Antoninus, who took the name of L. Verus. One treacherous year of peace gave the hope of undisturbed repose, under the beneficent sway which carried the maxims of a severe and humane philosophy into the administration of public affairs. Mild to all lighter delinquencies, but always ready to mitigate the severity of the law, the Emperor was only inexorable to those more heinous offences which endanger the happiness of society. While the Emperor himself superintended the course of justice, the senate resumed its ancient honours. In the second year of his reign, the horizon began to darken. During the reign of the First Antoninus, earthquakes which shook down some of the Asiatic cities, and fires which ravaged those of the West, had excited much alarm; but these calamities assumed a more dire and destructive character during the reign of Aurelius. Rome itself was first visited with a terrible

f A modern writer, M. Ripault (Hist. Philosophique de Marc Aurèle), ascribes to this time the memorable passage of Tertullian's Apology:— "Existiment omnis publicæ cladis, omnis popularis incommodi, Christianos esse causam. Si Tiberis ascendit in mænia, si Nilus non ascendit in arva, si cælum stetit, si terra movit, si fames, si lues, statim Christianos ad leones." An older, more learned his-

torian writes that—" Tout ce qui suit les cultes de l'empire s'éléve de toutes parts contre les Chrétiens. On attribue à ce qu'on appelle leur impiété, le déchaînement des fléaux, sous lesquelles gémissent tous les hommes sans privilége ni exemption, sans distinction de religion." Tillemont, Hist. des Emp., Marc. Aurèl.

g Quadratus apud Xiphilin, Antonin, 3.

inundation.h The Tiber swept away all the cattle in the neighbourhood, threw down a great number of buildings; among the rest, the granaries and magazines of corn, which were chiefly situated on the banks of the river. This appalling event was followed by a famine, which pressed heavily on the poorer population of the capital. At the same time, disturbances took place in Britain. The Catti, a German tribe, ravaged Belgium; and the Parthian war, which commenced under most disastrous circumstances, the invasion of Syria, and the loss of three legions, demanded the presence of his colleague in the empire. Though the event was announced to be prosperous, yet intelligence of doubtful and hard-won victories seemed to intimate that the spell of Roman conquest was beginning to lose its power. i

After four years, Verus returned, bearing the trophies of victory; but, at the same time, the seeds of a calamity which outweighed all the barren the Empire. honours which he had won on the shores of the Euphrates. His army was infected with a pestilence, which superstition ascribed to the plunder of a temple in Seleucia or Babylonia. The rapacious soldiers had opened a mystic coffer, inscribed with magical signs, from which issued a pestilential air, which laid waste the whole world. This fable is a vivid indication of the state of the public mind. More rational observation traced the

h Capitol. M. Antonin. p. 168.

i "Sed in diebus Parthici belli, persecutiones Christianorum, quartâ jam post Neronem vice, in Asiâ et Galliâ graves præcepto ejus extiterunt, multique sanctorum martyrio coronati sunt." This loose language of Orosius

Asia, was much later than the Parthian war) appears to connect the calamities of Rome with the persecutions.

j This was called the "annus calamitosus." There is a strange story in Capitolinus of an impostor who ha-(for the persecution in Gaul, if not in | rangued the populace from the wild

fatal malady from Ethiopia and Egypt to the Eastern army, which it followed from province to province, mouldering away its strength as it proceeded, even to the remote frontiers of Gaul and the northern shores of the Rhine. Italy felt its most dreadful ravages, and in Rome itself the dead bodies were transported out of the city, not on the decent bier, but heaped up in waggons. Famine aggravated the miseries, and, perhaps, increased the virulence, of the plague.k Still the hopes of peace began to revive the drooping mind; and flattering medals were struck, which promised the return of golden days. On a sudden, the Empire was appalled with the intelligence of new wars in all quarters. The Moors laid waste the fertile provinces of Spain; a rebellion of shepherds withheld the harvests of Egypt from the capital. Their defeat only added to the dangerous glory of Avidius Cassius, who, before long, stood forth as a competitor for the Empire. A vast confederacy of nations, from the frontiers of Gaul to the borders of Illyricum, comprehending some of the best known and most formidable of the German tribes, with others whose dissonant names were new to the Roman ears, had arisen with a simultaneous movement.^m The armies were wasted with the Parthian campaigns, and the still more destructive plague.

The Marcomannic has been compared with the Second Punic War, though, at the time, even in the paroxysm of terror, the pride of Rome would probably not have

fig-tree in the Campus Martius, and | mundi affore diceret." As he fell, he asserted that if, in throwing himself loosed a stork from his bosom. Aurefrom the tree, he should be turned into a stork, fire would fall from heaven, and the end of the world was at hand: "ignem de cœlo lapsurum finemque

lius, on his confession of the imposture, released him. Cap. Anton. 13.

^{*} Julius Cap. Ant. Phil. 21.

m See the list in Capitol. p. 200.

ennobled an irruption of barbarians, however formidable, by such a comparison. The presence of both the Emperors was imperiously demanded. Marcus, indeed, lingered in Rome, probably to enrol the army (for which purpose he swept together recruits from all quarters, and even robbed the arena of its bravest gladiators), certainly to perform the most solemn and costly religious ceremonies. Every rite was celebrated which could propitiate the Divine favour, or allay the popular fears. Priests were summoned from all quarters; foreign rites performed; n lustrations and funereal banquets for seven days purified the infected city. It was, no doubt, on this occasion that the unusual number of victims provoked the sarcastic wit which insinuated that, if the Emperor returned victorious, there would be a dearth of oxen.º Precisely at this time, the Christian martyrologies date the commencement of the persecution under Aurelius. In Rome itself, Justin, the apologist of Christianity, either in the same or in the following year, ratified with his blood the sincerity of his belief in the doctrines for which he had abandoned the Gentile philosophy. His death is attributed to the jealousy of Crescens, a Cynic, whose audience had been drawn off by the more attractive tenets of the Christian Platonist. Justin was summoned before Rusticus, one of the philosophic teachers of Aurelius, the prefect of

n " Peregrinos ritus impleverit." | pression of the author. Such seems the uncontested reading in the Augustan history; yet the singular fact that at such a period the Emperor should introduce foreign rites, as well as the unusual expression, may raise a suspicion that some word with an Aνδέ συ νικήσης, ημες ἀπωλόμεθα. opposite meaning is the genuine ex- Amm. Marc. xxv. 4.

o This early pasquinade was couched in the form of an address from the white oxen to the Emperor: "If you conquer, we are undone :" Oi Boes oi λευκοί Μαρκῶ τῶ Καίσαρι [χαίρειν]

the city, and commanded to perform sacrifice. On his refusal, and open avowal of his Christianity, he was scourged, and put to death. It is by no means improbable that, during this crisis of religious terror, mandates should have been issued to the provinces to imitate the devotion of the capital, and everywhere to appease the offended gods by sacrifice. Such an edict, though not designating them by name, would, in its effects, and perhaps in intention, expose the Christians to the malice of their enemies. Even if the provincial governors were left of their own accord to imitate the example of the Emperor, their own zeal or loyalty would induce them to fall in with the popular current. The lofty humanity which would be superior at once to superstition, to interest, and to the desire of popularity, and which would neglect the opportunity of courting the favour of the Emperor and the populace, would be a rare and singular virtue upon the tribunal of a provincial ruler.

The persecution raged with the greatest violence in Asia Minor. It was here that the new edicts Persecution were promulgated, so far departing from the Minor. humane regulations of the former Emperors, that the prudent apologists venture to doubt their emanating from the imperial authority. By these rescripts, the delators were again let loose, and were stimulated by the gratification of their rapacity out of the forfeited goods of the Christian victims of persecution, as well as of their revenge.

The fame of the aged Polycarp, whose death the sorrowing Church of Smyrna related in an epistle to the Christian community at Philomelium or Philadel-

P Melito apud Euseb. H. E. iv. 20.

phia, which is still extant, and bears every mark of authenticity, has obscured that of the other victims of Heathen malice or superstition. Of these victims, the names of two only have survived; one who manfully endured, the other who timidly apostatised in the hour of trial. Germanicus appeared; was forced to descend into the arena; he fought gallantly, until the merciful Proconsul entreated him to consider his time of life. He then provoked the tardy beast, and in an instant obtained his immortality. The impression on the wondering people was that of indignation rather than of pity. The cry was redoubled, "Away with the godless! Let Polycarp be apprehended!" The second, Quintus, a Phrygian, had boastfully excited the rest to throw themselves in the way of the persecution. He descended, in his haste, into the arena; the first sight of the wild beasts so overcame his hollow courage, that he consented to sacrifice.

Polycarp was the most distinguished Christian of the East; he had heard the Apostle St. John; he had long presided, with the most saintly dignity, over the see of Smyrna. Polycarp neither ostentatiously exposed himself, nor declined such measures for security as might be consistent with his character. He consented to retire into a neighbouring village, from which, on the intelligence of the approach of the officers, he retreated to another. His place of concealment being betrayed by two slaves, whose confession had been extorted by torture, he exclaimed, "The will of God be done;" ordered food to be prepared for the officers of justice; and requested time for prayer, in which he spent two

^q In Cotelerii Patres Apostolici, ii. 195.

hours. He was placed upon an ass, and, on a day of great public concourse, conducted towards the town. He was met by Herod the Irenarch and his father Nicetas, who took the Bishop, with considerate respect. into their own carriage, and vainly endeavoured to persuade him to submit to the two tests by which the Christians were tried, the salutation of the Emperor by the title of Lord, and sacrifice. On his determined refusal, their compassion gave place to contumely; he was hastily thrust out of the chariot, and conducted to the crowded stadium. On the entrance of the old man upon the public scene, the excited devotion of the Christian spectators imagined that they heard a voice from heaven, "Polycarp, be firm!" The Heathen, in their vindictive fury, shouted aloud, that Polycarp had been apprehended. The merciful Proconsul entreated him, in respect to his old age, to disguise his name. He proclaimed aloud that he was Polycarp; the trial proceeded. "Swear," they said, "by the Genius of Cæsar; retract, and say, 'Away with the godless!'" The old man gazed in sorrow at the frantic and raging benches of the spectators, rising above each other, and, with his eyes uplifted to heaven, said, "Away with the godless!" The Proconsul urged him further-"Swear, and I release thee; blaspheme Christ." "Eighty and six years have I served Christ, and He has never done me wrong; how can I blaspheme my King, and my Saviour?" The Proconsul again commanded him to swear by the Genius of Cæsar. Polycarp replied, by avowing himself a Christian, and by requesting a day to be appointed on which he might explain before the Proconsul the blameless tenets of Christianity. "Persuade the people to consent," replied the compassionate but overawed ruler. "We owe respect to authority;

to thee I will explain the reasons of my conduct; to the populace I will make no explanation." The old man knew too well the ferocious passions raging in their minds, which it had been vain to attempt to allay by the rational arguments of Christianity. The Proconsul threatened to expose him to the wild beasts. "Tis well for me to be speedily released from this life of misery." He threatened to burn him alive. "I fear not the fire that burns for a moment; thou knowest not that which burns for ever and ever." The Christian's countenance was full of peace and joy, even when the herald advanced into the midst of the assemblage, and thrice proclaimed—" Polycarp has professed himself a Christian!" The Jews and Heathens (for the former were in great numbers, and especially infuriated against the Christians) replied with an overwhelming shout. "This is the teacher of all Asia, the overthrower of our gods, who has perverted so many from sacrifice and the adoration of the gods!" They demanded of the Asiarch. the president of the games, instantly to let loose a lion upon Polycarp. The Asiarch excused himself by alleging that the games were over. A general cry arose that Polycarp should be burned alive. The Jews were again as vindictively active as the Heathens in collecting the fuel of the baths, and other combustibles. to raise up a hasty yet capacious funeral pile. He was speedily unrobed; he requested not to be nailed to the stake; he was only bound to it.

The calm and unostentatious prayer of Polycarp may be considered as embodying the sentiments of the Christians of that period. "O Lord God Almighty, the Father of thy well-beloved and ever-blessed Son Jesus Christ, by whom we have received the knowledge of thee; the God of angels, powers, and of every creature

and of the whole race of the righteous who live before thee, I thank thee that thou hast graciously thought me worthy of this day and this hour, that I may receive a portion in the number of thy martyrs, and drink of Christ's cup, for the resurrection to eternal life, both of body and soul, in the incorruptibleness of the Holy Spirit; among whom may I be admitted this day, as a rich and acceptable sacrifice, as thou, O true and faithful God, hast prepared, and foreshown, and accomplished. Wherefore I praise thee for all thy mercies; I bless thee; I glorify thee, with the eternal and heavenly Jesus Christ, thy beloved Son, to whom, with thee and the Holy Spirit, be glory now and for ever."

The fire was kindled in vain. It arose curving like an arch around the serene victim, or, like a sail swelling with the wind, left the body unharmed. To the sight of the Christians, he resembled a treasure of gold or silver (an allusion to the gold tried in the furnace); and delicious odours, as of myrrh or frankincense, breathed from his body. An executioner was sent in to despatch the victim; his side was pierced, and blood enough flowed from the aged body to extinguish the flames immediately around him."

The whole of this narrative has the genuine energy of truth: the prudent yet resolute conduct of the aged bishop; the calm and dignified expostulation of the governor; the wild fury of the populace; the Jews eagerly seizing the opportunity of renewing their unslaked hatred to the Christian name, are described with the simplicity of nature. The supernatural part of the

^{*} The Greek account adds a dove, | ingeniously substituted επ' αριστερά. which soared from his body, as it See Jortin's Remarks on Ecclesiastical were his innocent departing soul. For History, i. 316. Perhaps περί στερνά, περίστερα, however, has been very "around the chest." Ruinart.

transaction is no more than may be ascribed to the high-wrought imagination of the Christian spectators, deepening every casual incident into a wonder: the voice from heaven, heard only by Christian ears; the flame from the hastily piled wood, arching over the unharmed body; the grateful odours, not impossibly from aromatic woods, which were used to warm the baths of the more luxurious, and which were collected for the sudden execution; the effusion of blood, which might excite wonder from the decrepit frame of a man at least a hundred years old.^s Even the vision of Polycarp himself, by which he was forewarned of his approaching fate, was not unlikely to arise before his mind at that perilous crisis. Polycarp closed the nameless train of Asiatic martyrs."

Some few years after, the city of Smyrna was visited with a terrible earthquake; a generous sympathy was displayed by the inhabitants of the neighbouring cities; provisions were poured in from all quarters; homes were offered to the houseless; carriages furnished to convey the infirm and the children from the scene of ruin. They received the fugitives as if they had been their parents or children. The rich and the poor vied in the offices of charity; and, in the words of the Grecian sophist, thought that they were receiving rather than conferring a favour.* A Christian historian may

nature, Lady Macbeth's diseased memory is haunted with a similar circumstance, at the murder of Duncan. "Who would have thought the old man to have had so much blood in him?"—Macbeth, act v. s. 1.

^{*} The difficulty of accurately recon- an oration on this event.

^{*} According to the great master of | ciling the vision with its fulfilment has greatly perplexed the writers who insist on its preternatural origin. Jortin, p. 307.

^π Κατέπαυσε τον διωγμον.

^{*} Tillemont, Hist. des Emp. t. ii. p. 687. The philosopher Aristides wrote

be excused if he discerns in this humane conduct the manifest progress of Christian benevolence; and that benevolence, if not unfairly ascribed to the influence of Christianity, is heightened by the recollection that the sufferers were those whose amphitheatre had so recently been stained with the blood of the aged martyr. If, instead of beholding the retributive hand of Divine vengeance in the smouldering ruins of the city, the Christians hastened to alleviate the common miseries of Christian and of Pagan with equal zeal and liberality, it is impossible not to trace at once the extraordinary revolution in the sentiments of mankind, and the purity of the Christianity which was thus superior to those passions which have so often been fatal to its perfection.

At this period of enthusiastic excitement—of Superstition on the one hand, returning in unreasoning terror to its forsaken gods, and working itself up by every means to a consolatory feeling of the Divine protection; of Religion, on the other, relying in humble confidence on the protection of an allruling Providence; when the religious parties were, it might seem, aggrandising their rival deities, and tracing their conflicting powers throughout the whole course of human affairs—to every mind each extraordinary event would be deeply coloured with supernatural influence; and whenever any circumstance really bore a providential or miraculous appearance, it would be ascribed by each party to the favouring interposition of its own god.

Such was the celebrated event which was long current in Christian history as the miracle of the thundering legion. Heathen historians, medals still extant, and the

J See Moyle's Works, vol. ii. Compare Routh, Reliq. Sacræ, i. 153, with authors quoted.

column which bears the name of Antoninus at Rome, Miracle of the concur with Christian tradition in commemorating the extraordinary deliverance of the legion. Roman army, during the war with the German nations, from a situation of the utmost peril and difficulty. If the Christians at any time served in the Imperial armies 2if military service was a question, as seems extremely probable, which divided the early Christians, some considering it too closely connected with the idolatrous practices of an oath to the fortunes of Cæsar and with the worship of the standards, which were to the rest of the army, as it were, the household gods of battle; while others were less rigid in their practice, and forgot their piety in their allegiance to their sovereign and their patriotism to their country—at no time were the Christians more likely to overcome their scruples than at this critical period. The armies were recruited by unprecedented means; and many Christians, who would before have hesitated to enrol themselves, might less reluctantly submit to the conscription, or even think themselves justified in engaging in what appeared necessary and defensive warfare. There might then have been many Christians in the armies of M. Aurelius, -but that they formed a whole separate legion, is manifestly the fiction of a later age. In the campaign of the year of our Lord 174, the army advanced incautiously into a country entirely without water; and, in this faint and enfeebled state, was exposed to a formidable attack of the whole barbarian force. Suddenly, at their hour of most extreme distress, a copious

^{*} Tertullian, in a passage already | vobiscum."

^{*} Neander has developed this notion quoted, states distinctly, "militamus | with his usual ability, in this part of his History of the Church.

and refreshing rain came down, which supplied their wants; and while their half-recruited strength was still ill able to oppose the onset of the enemy, a tremendous storm, with lightning, and hailstones of an enormous size, drove full upon the adversary, and rendered his army an easy conquest to the reviving Romans. b Of this awful yet seasonable interposition, the whole army acknowledged the preternatural, the Divine, origin. By those of darker superstition, it was attributed to the incantations of the magician Arnuphis, who controlled the elements to the service of the Emperor. The medals struck on the occasion, and the votive column erected by Marcus himself, render homage to the established deities, to Mercury and to Jupiter.^c The more rational Pagans, with a flattery which received the suffrage of admiring posterity, gave the honour to the virtues of Marcus, which demanded this signal favour from approving Heaven.d The Christian, of course, looked alone to that One Almighty God whose providence ruled the whole course of nature, and saw the secret operation of his own prayers meeting with the favourable acceptance of the Most High.^e "While the Pagans ascribed the honour of this deliverance to their own Jove," writes Tertullian, "they unknowingly bore testimony to the Christians' God."

b In the year after this victory (A.D. 175), the formidable rebellion of Avidius Cassius disturbed the East, and added to the perils and embarrassments of the Empire.

c Mercury, according to Pagi, appears on one of the coins relating to this event. Compare Reading's note in Routh, loc, cit.

d Lampridius (in vit.) attributes the victory to the Chaldeans. Marcus,

De Seipso (lib. i. c. 6), allows that he had the magician Arnuphis in his army.

Chaldæa mago seu carmina ritu Armavere Deos, seu, quod reor, omne Tonantis Obsequium Marci mores potuere merert. —Ciaud. vi. Cons. Hon.

In Jovis nomine Deo nostro testimonium reddidit. Tertullian, Ad Scapulam, p. 20. Euseb. Hist. Eccl. v. 5.

The latter end of the reign of Marcus Aurelius was signalised by another scene of martyrdom, in a part of the empire far distant from that where persecution had before raged with the greatest violence, though not altogether disconnected from it by the original descent of the sufferers.

The Christians of Lyons and Vienne appear to have Martyrs of been a religious colony from Asia Minor or Phrygia, and to have maintained a close correspondence with those distant communities. There is something remarkable in the connexion between these regions and the East. To this district the two Herods, Archelaus and Herod Antipas, were successively banished; and it is singular enough, that Pontius Pilate, after his recall from Syria, was exiled to the same neighbourhood.

There now appears a Christian community, corresponding in Greek with the mother Church.^h It is by no means improbable that a kind of Jewish settlement of the attendants on the banished sovereigns of Judæa might have been formed in the neighbourhood of Vienne and Lyons, and maintained a friendly, no doubt a mercantile, connexion with their opulent brethren of Asia Minor, perhaps through the port of Marseilles. Though Christianity does not appear to have penetrated

If I had determined to force the events of this period into an accordance with my own view of the persecutions of M. Aurelius, I might have adopted the chronology of Dodwell, who assigns the martyrs of Lyons to the year 167; but the evidence seems in favour of the later date, 177. See Mosheim. Lardner, who commands authority, if not by his critical saga-

city, by his scrupulous honesty, says, "Nor do I expect that any learned man, who has a concern for his reputation as a writer, should attempt a direct confutation of this opinion." Works, 4to edit. i. 360.

g Euseb. Hist. Ecc. v. 1.

h Epistola Viennensium et Lugdunensium, in Routh, i. 265.

into Gaul till rather a late period, it may have travelled by the same course, and have been propagated in the Jewish settlement by converts from Phrygia or Asia Minor. Its Jewish origin is, perhaps, confirmed by its adherence to the Judæo-Christian tenet of abstinence from blood.k

The commencement of this dreadful, though local, persecution was an ebullition of popular fury. It was about the period when the German war, which had slumbered during some years of precarious peace, again threatened to disturb the repose of the empire. Southern Gaul, though secure beyond the Rhine, was yet at no great distance from the incursions of the German tribes; and it is possible that personal apprehensions might mingle with the general fanatic terror, which exasperated the Heathens against their Christian fellowcitizens. The Christians were on a sudden exposed to a general attack of the populace. Clamours soon grew to personal violence; they were struck, dragged about the streets, plundered, stoned, shut up in their houses, until the more merciful hostility of the ruling authorities gave orders for their arrest and imprisonment until the arrival of the governor. One man of birth and rank, Vettius Epagathus, boldly undertook their defence against the vague charges of atheism and impiety: he was charged with being himself a Christian, and fearlessly admitted the honourable accusation. The greater part of the Christian community adhered reso-

i "Serius Alpes transgressa," is the | Tertullian's Apology, ch. 9, and Origen contra Celsum, viii.; from whence it appears that this abstinence was more general among the early Christians.

expression of a Christian writer, Sulpicius Severus.

k "How can those eat infants to whom it is not lawful to eat the blood of brutes?" Compare, however,

lutely to their belief; the few whose courage failed in the hour of trial, and who purchased their security by shameful submission, nevertheless did not abandon their more courageous and suffering brethren, but, at considerable personal danger, continued to alleviate their sufferings by kindly offices. Some Heathen slaves were at length compelled, by the dread of torture, to confirm the odious charges which were so generally advanced against the Christians:-banquets on human flesh; promiscuous and incestuous concubinage; Thyestean feasts, and Œdipodean weddings. The extorted confessions of these miserable men exasperated even the more moderate of the Heathens, while the ferocious populace had now free scope for their sanguinary cruelty. The more distinguished victims were Sanctus, a deacon of Vienne; a new convert named Maturus, and Attalus, of Phrygian descent, from the city of They were first tortured by means too horrible to describe—if, without such description, the barbarity of the persecutors, and the heroic endurance of the Christian martyrs, could be justly represented. Many perished in the suffocating air of the noisome dungeons; many had their feet strained to dislocation in the stocks; the more detested victims, after all other means of torture were exhausted, had hot plates of iron placed upon the most sensitive parts of their

Among these victims was the aged Bishop of Lyons, Pothinus, now in his ninetieth year, who died in prison after two days from the ill usage which he had received from the populace. His feeble body had failed, but his mind remained intrepid; when the frantic rabble environed him with their insults, and demanded, with contumelious cries, "Who is the God of the Christians?"

he calmly replied, "Wert thou worthy, thou shouldst know."

But the amphitheatre was the great public scene of popular barbarity and of Christian endurance. The martyrs were exposed to wild beasts), which, however, do not seem to have been permitted to despatch their miserable victims), and made to sit in a heated iron chair till their flesh reeked upwards with an offensive stench.

A rescript of the Emperor, instead of allaying the popular frenzy, gave ample licence to its uncontrolled violence. Those who denied the faith were to be released; those who persisted in it, condemned to death.

But the most remarkable incident in this fearful and afflicting scene, and the most characteristic of Martyrdom the social change which Christianity had of Blandina. begun to work, was this, that the chief honours of this memorable martyrdom were assigned to a female, a slave. Even the Christians themselves scarcely appear aware of the deep and universal influence of their own sublime doctrines. The mistress of Blandina, herself a martyr, trembled lest the weak body, and still more the debased condition, of the lowly associate in her trial, might betray her to criminal concession. Blandina shared in all the most excruciating sufferings of the most distinguished victims; she equalled them in the calm and unpretending superiority to every pain which malice, irritated and licensed, as it were, to exceed, if it were possible, its own barbarities on the person of a slave, could invent. She was selected by the peculiar vengeance of the persecutors, whose astonishment probably increased their malignity, for new and unprecedented tortures, which she bore with the same equable magnanimity.

Blandina was first led forth with Sanctus, Maturus, and Attalus; and, no doubt, the ignominy of their public exposure was intended to be heightened by their association with a slave. The wearied executioners wondered that her life could endure under the horrid succession of torments which they inflicted. Blandina's only reply was, "I am a Christian, and no wickedness

is practised among us."

In the amphitheatre, she was suspended to a stake, while the combatants, Maturus and Sanctus, derived vigour and activity from the tranquil prayers which she uttered in her agony; and the less savage wild beasts kept aloof from their prey. A third time she was brought forth, for a public exhibition of suffering, with a youth of fifteen, named Ponticus. During every kind of torment, her language and her example animated the courage and confirmed the endurance of the boy, who at length expired under the torture. Blandina rejoiced at the approach of death, as if she had been invited to a wedding banquet, and not thrown to the wild beasts. She was at length released. After she had been scourged, placed in the iron chair, enclosed in a net, and, now in a state of insensibility, tossed by a bull, some more merciful barbarian transpierced her with a sword. The remains of all these martyrs, after lying long unburied, were cast into the Rhone, in order to mock and render still more improbable their hopes of a resurrection.

CHAPTER VIII.

Fourth Period. Christianity under the successors of M. Aurelius.

Such was the state of Christianity at the commencement of the fourth period between its first promulgation and its establishment under Constantine. The golden days of the Roman Empire had already begun to darken, and closed for ever with the reign of Marcus the Philosopher. The empire of the world became the prize of bold adventure, or the precarious gift of a lawless soldiery. During little more than Rapid suca century, from the accession of Commodus to Emperors. that of Diocletian, more than twenty Emperors. 284. A.D. 180 to (not to mention the pageants of a day, and the competitors for the throne who retained a temporary authority over some single province) flitted like shadows along the tragic scene of the imperial palace. A long line of military adventurers, often strangers to the name, to the race, to the language of Rome-Africans and Syrians, Arabs and Goths—seized the quickly shifting sceptre of the world. The change of sovereign was almost always a change of dynasty; or, by some strange fatality, every attempt to re-establish an hereditary succession was thwarted by the vices or imbecility of the second generation. M. Aurelius is succeeded by the brutal Commodus; the vigorous and able Severus by the fratricide Caracalla. One of the imperial historians has made the melancholy observation, that of the great men of Rome scarcely one left a son the heir of his virtues; they had either died without offspring,

or had left such heirs, that it had been better for mankind if they had died leaving no posterity.a

In the weakness and insecurity of the throne lay the strength and safety of Christianity. Insecurity During such a period, no systematic policy throne fawas pursued in any of the leading internal vourable to Christiinterests of the empire. It was a government anity. of temporary expedients, of individual passions. The first and commanding object of each succeeding head of a dynasty was to secure his contested throne, and to centre upon himself the wavering or divided allegiance of the provinces. Many of the Emperors were deeply and inextricably involved in foreign wars, and had no time to devote to the social changes within the pale of the empire. The tumults or the terrors of the German. or Gothic, or Persian inroad, effected a perpetual diversion from the slow and silent internal aggressions of Christianity. The frontiers constantly and imperiously demanded the presence of the Emperor, and left him no leisure to attend to the feeble remonstrances of the neglected priesthood. The dangers of the civil absorbed those of the religious constitution. Thus Christianity had another century of regular and progressive advancement to arm itself for the inevitable collision with the temporal authority, till, in the reign of Diocletian, it had grown far beyond the power of the most unlimited and arbitrary despotism to arrest its invincible progress; and Constantine, whatever the motives of his conversion. no doubt adopted a wise and judicious policy in securing the alliance of, rather than continuing the strife with, an

liberis viri interierunt, aut tales habu- p. 360.

a Neminem prope magnorum viro- | erunt plerique, ut melius fuerit de rum optimum et utilem filium reli- rebus humanis sine posteritate discequisse satis claret. Denique aut sine dere. Spartiani Severus, Aug. Hist,

adversary which divided the wealth, the intellect, if not the property and the population, of the empire.

The persecutions which took place during this interval were the hasty consequences of the personal hostility of the Emperors, not the mature and persecudeliberate policy of a regular and permanent during this government. In general, the vices and the period detestable characters of the persecutors would tend to vindicate the innocence of Christianity, and to enlist the sympathies of mankind in its favour, rather than to deepen the general animosity. Christianity, which had received the respectful homage of Alexander Severus, could not lose in public estimation by being exposed to the gladiatorial fury of Maximin. Some of the Emperors were almost as much strangers to the gods as to the people and to the senate of Rome. They seemed to take a reckless delight in violating the ancient majesty of the Roman religion. Foreign superstitions, almost equally new, and scarcely less offensive to the general sentiment, received the public, the pre-eminent homage of the Emperor. Commodus, though the Grecian Hercules was at once his model, his type, and his deity, was an ardent votary of the Isiac mysteries; and at the Syrian worship of the Sun, in all its foreign and Oriental pomp, Elagabalus commanded the attendance of the trembling senate.

If Marcus Aurelius was, as it were, the last effort of expiring Polytheism, or rather of ancient Commodus. philosophy, to produce a perfect man accord- 193. ing to the highest ideal conception of human reason, the brutal Commodus might appear to retrograde to the savage periods of society. Commodus was a gladiator on the throne; and if the mind, humanised either by the milder spirit of the times, or by the incipient

influence of Christianity, had begun to turn in distaste from the horrible spectacles which flooded the arena with human carnage, the disgust would be immeasurably deepened by the appearance of the Emperor as the chief actor in these sanguinary scenes. Even Nero's theatrical exhibitions had something of the elegance of a polished age; the actor in one of the noble tragedies of ancient Greece, or even the accomplished musician, might derogate from the dignity of an Emperor, yet might, in some degree, excuse the unseemliness of his pursuits by their intellectual character. But the amusements and public occupations of Commodus had long been consigned by the general contempt and abhorrence to the meanest of mankind, to barbarians and slaves; and were as debasing to the civilised man as unbecoming in the head of the empire.b The courage which Commodus displayed in confronting the hundred lions which were let loose in the arena, and fell by his shafts (though in fact the Imperial person was carefully guarded against real danger), and the skill with which he clave with an arrow the slender neck of the giraffe, might have commanded the admiration of a flattering court. But when he appeared as a gladiator, gloried in the acts, and condescended to receive the disgraceful pay of a profession so infamous as to degrade for ever the man of rank or character who had been forced upon the stage by the tyranny of former Emperors, the courtiers, who had been bred in the severe and dignified school of the Philosopher, must have recoiled with shame, and approved, if not envied, the more rigid principles of the Christians, which kept them aloof from such degrading spectacles. Commodus was an avowed

b Ælii Lampridii, Commodus, in August. Hist.

proselyte of the Egyptian religion, but his favourite god was the Grecian Hercules. He usurped the attributes and placed his own head on the statues of this deity, which was the impersonation, as it were, of brute force and corporeal strength. But a deity which might command adoration in a period of primæval barbarism, when man lives in a state of perilous warfare with the beasts of the forest, in a more intellectual age sinks to his proper level. He might be the appropriate god of a gladiator, but not of a Roman Emperor.c

Everything which tended to desecrate the popular religion to the feelings of the more enlightened and intellectual must have strengthened the cause of Christianity; the more the weaker parts of Paganism, and those most alien to the prevailing sentiment of the times, were obtruded on the public view, the more they must have contributed to the advancement of that faith which was rapidly attaining to the full growth of a rival to the established religion. The subsequent deification of Commodus, under the reign of Severus, in wanton resentment against the senate, d prevented his odious memory from sinking into oblivion. His insults upon the more rational part of the existing religion could no longer be forgotten, as merely emanating from his personal character. Commodus advanced into a god, after his death, brought disrepute upon the whole Polytheism of the empire. Christianity was perpetually,

c In the new fragments of Dion Cassius recovered by M. Mai there is an epigram pointed against the assumption of the attributes of Hercules by Commodus. The Emperor had placed his own head on the colossal statue of Hercules, with the inscription-"Lucius Commodus Hercules."

Διὸς παῖς Καλλίνικος Ἡρακλῆς, Οὐκ είμὶ Λεύκιος, ἀλλ' ἀναγκάζουσι με.

The point is not very clear, but it seems to be a protest of the God against being confounded with the Emperor. Mai, Fragm. Vatic. ii, 225.

d Spartiani Severus, Hist. Aug. p. 345.

as it were, at hand, and ready to profit by every favourable juncture. By a singular accident, the ruffian Commodus was personally less inimically disposed to the Christians than his wise and amiable father. His favourite concubine, Marcia, in some manner connected with the Christians, mitigated the barbarity of his temper, and restored to the persecuted Christians a long and unbroken peace, which had been perpetually interrupted by the hostility of the populace, and the edicts of the Government in the former reign. Christianity had no doubt been rigidly repelled from the precincts of the court during the life of Marcus, by the predominance of the philosophic faction. From this period, a Christian party occasionally appears in Rome. Many families of distinction and opulence professed Christian tenets, and the religion is sometimes found in connexion with the Imperial family. Still Rome, to the last, seems to have been the centre of the Pagan interest, though other causes will hereafter appear for this curious fact in the conflict of the two religions.

Severus wielded the sceptre of the world with the Reign of vigour of the older Empire. But his earlier years were occupied in the establishment of his power over the hostile factions of his competitors, and by his Eastern wars; his latter by the settlement of the remote province of Britain. Severus was at one time the protector, at another the persecutor, of Christianity. Local circumstances appear to have influenced his conduct, on both occasions, to the Christian party. A Christian named Proculus, a dependent, probably, upon his favourite freed slave Evodus, had been so fortunate as to restore Severus to health by

[•] Compare Tillemont, Hist. des Empereurs, iii. part 1, p. 146.

anointing him with oil, and was received into the Imperial family, in which he retained his honourable situation till his death. Not improbably through the same connexion, a Christian nurse and a Christian preceptor formed the disposition of the young Infancy of Caracalla; and, till the natural ferocity of his Caracalla. character ripened under the fatal influence of jealous ambition, fraternal hatred, and unbounded power, the gentleness of his manners and the sweetness of his temper enchanted and attached his family, his friends, the senate, and the people of Rome. The people beheld with satisfaction the infant pupil of Christianity turning aside his head and weeping at the barbarity of the ordinary public spectacles, in which criminals were exposed to wild beasts.f The Christian interest at the court repressed the occasional outbursts of popular animosity: many Christians of rank and distinction enjoyed the avowed favour of the Emperor. Their security may partly be attributed to their calm determination not to mingle themselves up with the contending factions for the empire. During the conflict of parties, they had refused to espouse the duct of the cause of either Niger or Albinus. Retired within themselves, they rendered their prompt and cheerful obedience to the ruling Emperor. The implacable vengeance which Severus wreaked on the senate for their real or suspected inclination to the party of Albinus, his remorseless execution of so many of the noblest of the aristocracy, may have placed in a stronger light the happier fortune, and commended the unimpeachable loyalty, of the Christians. The provincial governors, as usual, reflected the example of the court;

f Spartian. Anton. Caracalla, p. 404.

some adopted merciful expedients to avoid the necessity of carrying the laws into effect against those Christians who were denounced before their tribunals; while the more venal humanity of others extorted a considerable profit from the Christians for their security. The unlawful religion, in many places, purchased its peace at the price of a regular tax, which was paid by other illegal, and mostly infamous, professions. This traffic with the authorities was sternly denounced by some of the more ardent believers, as degrading to the religion, and as an ignominious barter of the hopes and glories of martyrdom.^g

Such was the flourishing and peaceful state of Christianity during the early part of the reign of Persecution Severus. In the East, at a later period, he embraced a sterner policy. During the conflict with Niger, the Samaritans had espoused the losing, the Jews the successful, party. The edicts of Severus were, on the whole, favourable to the Jews, but the prohibition to circumcise proselytes was re-enacted during his residence in Syria, in the tenth year of his reign. The same prohibition against the admission of new proselytes was extended to the Christians. But this edict may have been intended to allay the not perse-cuted in the violence of the hostile factions in Syria. Of West. the persecution under Severus there are few, if any, traces in the West.h It is confined to Syria,

Tertull. De Fugâ, c. 13.

of Sed quid non timiditas persuadebit, quasi et fugere scriptura permittat, et redimere præcipiat. . . . Nescio dolendum an erubescendum sit cum in matricibus beneficiariorum et curiosorum, inter tabernarios et lanios et fures balnearum et aleones et lenones, Christiani quoque vectigales continentur.

h "Nous ne trouvons rien de considérable touchant les martyrs que la persécution de Sevère a pu faire à Rome et en Italie." Tillemont. St. Andeole, and the other martyrs in Gaul (Tillemont, p. 160), are of more than suspicious authenticity.

perhaps to Cappadocia, to Egypt, and to Africa; and. in the latter provinces, appears as the act of hostile governors, proceeding upon the existing laws, rather than the consequence of any recent edict of the Emperor. The Syrian Eusebius may have exaggerated local acts of oppression, of which the sad traces were recorded in his native country, into a general persecution: he admits that Alexandria was the chief scene of Christian suffering. The date and the scene Probable of the persecution may lend a clue to its origin. From Syria, the Emperor, exactly at this time, proceeded to Egypt. He surveyed, with wondering interest, the monuments of Egyptian glory and of Egyptian superstition, the temples of Memphis, the Pyramids, the Labyrinth, the Memnonium. The plague alone prevented him from continuing his excursions into Ethiopia. The dark and relentless mind of Severus appears to have been strongly impressed with the religion of Serapis. In either character, as the great Pantheistic deity, which absorbed the attributes and functions of all the more ancient gods of Egypt, or with his more limited attributes, as the Pluto of their mythology, the lord of the realm of departed spirits, Serapisk was likely to captivate the imagination of Severus, and to suit those gloomier moods in which he delighted in brooding over the secrets of futurity; and, having realised the proud prognostics of greatness, which his youth had watched with hope, now began to dwell on the darker omens of decline and dissolution." The hour of imperial favour was likely to be seized by the

¹ Spartian, Hist. Aug. p. 553.

son Origine.

consulting the autobiography of the * Compare De Guigniaut, Sérapis et | Emperor Severus. Had time but spared us the original, and taken the

^m Spartian had the advantage of whole Augustan history in exchange!

Egyptian priesthood to obtain the mastery and to wreak their revenge on this new foreign religion, which was making such rapid progress throughout the provinces and the whole of Africa. Whether or not the Emperor actually authorised the persecution, his countenance would strengthen the Pagan interest, and encourage the obsequious Prefectⁿ in adopting violent measures. Lætus would be vindicating the religion of the Emperor in asserting the superiority of Serapis; and the superiority of Serapis could be by no means so effectually asserted as by the oppression of his most powerful adversaries. Alexandria was the ripe and pregnant soil of religious feud and deadly animosity. Three hostile parties divided the city—the Jews, the Pagans, and the Christians. They were perpetually blending and modifying each other's doctrines, and forming schools in which Judaism allegorised itself into Platonism, and Platonism, having assimilated itself to the higher Egyptian mythology, soared into Christianity; and thus Platonic Christianity, from a religion, became a mystic philosophy. They all awaited, nevertheless, the signal for persecution, and for licence to draw off in sanguinary factions, and to settle the controversies of the schools by bloody tumults in the streets.° The perpetual syncretism of opinions, instead of leading to peace and charity, seemed to inflame the deadly animosity; and the philosophical spirit, which attempted to blend all

His name was Lætus. Eusebius, Hist. Eccl. vi. 2.

o Leonidas, the father of Origen, perished in this persecution. Origen was kept away from joining him in his imprisonment, and, if possible, in his martyrdom, only by the prudent stratagem of his mother, who concealed all

his clothes. The boy of seventeen sent a letter to his father, entreating him not to allow his parental affection for himself and his six brothers to staud in his way of obtaining the martyr's crown. Euseb. vi. 2. The property of Leonidas was confiscated to the imperial treasury. Ibid.

the higher doctrines into a lofty Eclectic system, had no effect in harmonising the minds of the different sects to mutual toleration and amity. It was now the triumph of Paganism. The controversy with Christianity was carried on by burning the priests and torturing the virgins, until the catechetical or elementary schools of learning, by which the Alexandrian Christians trained up their pupils for the reception of their more mysterious doctrines, were deserted. The young Origen alone laboured, with indefatigable and successful activity, to supply the void caused by the general desertion of the persecuted teachers.

The African Prefect followed the example of Lætus in Egypt. In no part of the Roman Empire had Christianity taken more deep and permanent root than in the province of Africa, then crowded with rich and populous cities, and forming, with Egypt, the granary of the Western world; but which many centuries of Christian feud, Vandal invasion, and Mohammedan barbarism, have blasted to a thinly-peopled desert. Up to this period, this secluded region had gone on advancing in its uninterrupted course of civilization. Since the battle of Thapsus, the African province had stood aloof from the tumults and desolation which attended the changes in the imperial dynasty. As yet it had raised no competitor for the empire, though Severus, the ruling monarch, was of African descent. The single legion, which was considered adequate to protect the remote tranquillity of the province from the occasional incursions of the Moorish tribes, had been found sufficient for its purpose. The Paganism of the African cities was probably weaker than in other parts

P Euseb. Hist. Eccl. vi. 2.

of the empire. It had no ancient and sacred associations with national pride. The new cities had raised new temples, to gods foreign to the region. The religion of Carthage, q if it had not entirely perished with the final destruction of the city, maintained but a feeble hold upon the Italianised inhabitants. The Carthage of the Empire was a Roman city. If Christianity tended to mitigate the fierce spirit of the inhabitants of these burning regions, it acquired itself a depth and impassioned vehemence, which perpetually broke through all restraints of moderation, charity, and peace. From Tertullian to Augustine, the climate seems to be working into the language, into the essence of Christianity. Here disputes maddened into feuds; and feuds, which, in other countries, were allayed by time, or died away of themselves, grew into obstinate, implacable, and irreconcileable factions.

African Christianity had no communion with the dreamy and speculative genius of the East. It Christianity. sternly rejected the wild and poetic impersonations, the daring cosmogonies, of the Gnostic sects: it was severe, simple, practical, in its creed; it governed by its strong and imperious hold upon the feelings, by profound and agitating emotion. It eagerly received the rigid asceticism of the anti-materialist system, while it disdained the fantastic theories by which that system accounted for the origin of evil. The imagination had another office than that of following out its own fantastic creations; it spoke directly to the fears and to the

thager. The worship of the Dea coelestis, the Queen of Heaven, should Dei, lib. viii.), shared with Christ the perhaps be excepted. See, forward, the reign of Elagabalus. Even in the

⁹ Compare Munter, Relig. der Car- | fifth century the Queen of Heaven, according to Salvian (De Gubernatione worship of Carthage.

passions; it delighted in realising the terrors of the final Judgement; in arraying, in the most appalling language, the gloomy mysteries of future retribution. This character appears in the dark splendour of Tertullian's writings; engages him in contemptuous and relentless warfare against the Gnostic opinions, and their latest and most dangerous champion, Marcion; till, at length, it hardens into the severe, yet simpler, enthusiasm of Montanism. It appears, allied with the stern assertion of ecclesiastical order and sacerdotal domination, in the earnest and zealous Cyprian; it is still manifestly working, though in a chastened and loftier form, in the deep and impassioned, but comprehensive, mind of Augustine.

Tertullian alone belongs to the present period, and Tertullian is, perhaps, the representative and the perfect type of this Africanism. It is among the most remarkable illustrations of the secret unity which connected the whole Christian world, that opinions first propagated on the shores of the Euxine found their most vigorous antagonist on the coast of Africa, while a new and fervid enthusiasm, which arose in Phrygia, captivated the kindred spirit of Tertullian. Montanism harmonised with African Christianity in the simplicity of Montanism. its creed, which did not depart from the predominant form of Christianity; and in the extreme rigour of its fasts. While Gnosticism outbid the religion of Jesus and his Apostles, Montanism outbid the Gnostics in its austerities; r it admitted marriage as a neces-

yet, generally averse to the excessive fasting subsequently introduced to so great an extent by the monastic spirit. | for not making free use of God's crea-See the curious vision of Attalus, the | tures, and thus giving offence to the

The Western Churches were, as | martyr of Lyons, in which a fellowprisoner, Alcibiades, who had long lived on bread and water alone, was reproved

sary evil, but it denounced second nuptials as an inexpiable sin; above all, Montanism concurred with the belief of the South in resolving religion into inward emotion. There is a singular correspondence between Phrygian Heathenism and the Phrygian Christianity of Montanus and his followers. The Orgiasm, the inward rapture, the working of a divine influence upon the soul till it was wrought up to a state of holy frenzy, had continually sent forth the priests of Cybele, and females of a highly excitable temperament, into the Western provinces; t whom the vulgar beheld with awe, as manifestly possessed by the divinity; whom the philosophic party, equally mistaken, treated with contempt, as impostors. So, with the followers of Montanus (and women were his most ardent votaries), with Prisca and Maximilla, the apostles of his sect, the pure, and meek, and peaceful spirit of Christianity became a wild, a visionary, a frantic enthusiasm: it worked paroxysms of intense devotion; it made the soul partake of all the fever of physical excitement. As in all ages where the mild and rational faith of Christ has been too calm and serene for persons brooding to madness over their own internal emotions, it proclaimed itself a religious ad-

Church. The Churches of Lyons and Vienne, having been founded from Phrygia, were anxious to avoid the least imputation of Montanism. Euseb. Hist. Eccl. v. 3.

Phrygian national character in Socrates, H. E. iv. 28:—"The Phrygians are a chaste and temperate people; they seldom swear: the Scythians and Thracians are choleric; the Eastern nations more disposed to immorality; the Paphlagonians and Phrygians to neither: they do not care for the theatre or the games; prostitution is unusual." Their suppressed passions seem to have broken out at all periods in religious emotions.

⁸ The prophetesses abandoned their husbands, according to Apollonius apud Euseb. v. 18.

^t The effect of national character and temperament on the opinions and form of religion did not escape the observation of the Christian writers. There is a curious passage on the

vancement, a more sublime and spiritual Christianity. Judaism was the infancy, Christianity the youth, the revelation of the Spirit the manhood of the human soul. It was this Spirit, this Paraclete, which resided in all its fulness in the bosom of Montanus; his adversaries asserted that he gave himself out as the Paraclete: but it is more probable that his vague and mystic language was misunderstood, or, possibly misrepresented by the malice of his adversaries. In Montanism the sectarian. the exclusive spirit, was at its height; and this claim to higher perfection, this seclusion from the vulgar race of Christians, whose weakness had been too often shown in the hour of trial; who had neither attained the height of his austerity, nor courted martyrdom, nor refused all ignominious compromises with the persecuting authorities with the unbending rigour which he demanded, would still further commend the claims of Montanism to the homage of Tertullian.

During the persecution under Severus, Tertullian stood forth as the apologist of Christianity; Apology of and the tone of his Apology is characteristic Tertullian. not only of the man, but of his native country, while it is no less illustrative of the altered position of Christianity. The address of Tertullian to Scapula, the Prefect of Africa, is no longer in the tone of tranquil expostulation against the barbarity of persecuting blameless and unoffending men, still less that of humble supplication. Every sentence breathes scorn, defiance, menace. It heaps contempt upon the gods of Paganism; it avows the determination of the Christians to expel the dæmons from the respect and adoration of mankind. It condescends not to exculpate the Christians from being the cause of the calamities which had recently laid waste the province; the torrent rains which had

swept away the harvests; the fires which had heaped with ruin the streets of Carthage; the sun which had been preternaturally eclipsed, when at its meridian, during an assembly of the province at Utica. All these portentous signs are unequivocally ascribed to the vengeance of the Christians' God, visiting the guilt of obstinate idolatry. The persecutors of the Christians are warned by the awful examples of Roman dignitaries who had been stricken blind, and eaten with worms, as the chastisement of Heaven for their injustice and cruelty to the worshippers of Christ. Scapula himself is sternly admonished to take warning by their fate; while the orator, by no means deficient, at the same time, in dexterous address, reminds him of the humane policy of others:—"Your cruelty will be our glory. Thousands of both sexes, and of every rank, will eagerly crowd to martyrdom, exhaust your fires, and weary your swords. Carthage must be decimated; the principal persons in the city, even, perhaps, your own most intimate friends and kindred, must be sacrificed. Vainly will you war against God. Magistrates are but men. and will suffer the common lot of mortality; but Christianity will endure as long as the Roman Empire, and the duration of the Empire will be coeval with that of the world." u

History, even Christian history, is confined to more general views of public affairs, and dwells too exclusively on what may be called the high places of human life; but whenever a glimpse is afforded of lowlier, and of more common life, it is, perhaps, best fulfilling its office of presenting a lively picture of the times, if it allows

^a I would recommend to my readers de Broglie's L'Eglise et l'Empire, the fair and just contrast between Tertullian and Origen in Mons. Albert

itself occasionally some more minute detail, and illustrates the manner in which the leading events of particular periods affected individuals not in the highest station.

Of all the histories of martyrdom, none is so unexaggerated in its tone and language, so entirely unencumbered with miracle; none abounds in of Perpetua such exquisite touches of nature, or, on the whole, from its minuteness and circumstantiality, breathes such an air of truth and reality, as that of Perpetua and Felicitas, two African females. death is ascribed, in the Acts, to the year of the accession of Geta, * the son of Severus. Though there was no general persecution at that period, yet, as the Faithful held their lives, at all times, liable to the outburst of popular resentment, or the caprice of an arbitrary proconsul, there is much probability that a time of general rejoicing might be that in which the Christians, who were always accused of a disloyal reluctance to mingle in the popular festivities, and who kept aloof from the public sacrifices on such anniver-

amples: c. iii., turbarum beneficio, $\chi \alpha \rho l \nu^*$ c. iv., bene venisti, tegnon, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \delta \nu^*$ c. viii., in oramate, a vision, $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \mu \alpha \tau \iota^*$ diadema, or diastema, an interval, $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha^*$ c. x., afe, $\dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\gamma}^*$ xii., agios, agios, agios.

There are indeed some suspicious marks of Montanism which perhaps prevented these Acts from being more generally known.

It is not quite clear where these martyrs suffered. Valesius supposed Carthage; others, in one of the two towns called Tuburbium which were situated in Proconsular Africa.

^{*} The external evidence to the authenticity of these Acts is not quite equal to the internal. They were first published by Lucas Holstenius, from a MS. in the Convent of Monte Casino; re-edited by Valesius at Paris, and by Ruinart, in his Acta Sincera Martyrum, p. 90, who collated two other MSS. There appear, however, strong indications that the Acts of these African Martyrs are translated from the Greek; at least it is difficult otherwise to account for the frequent untranslated Greek words and idioms in the text. The following are ex-

saries, would be most exposed to persecution. The youthful catechumens, Revocatus and Felicitas, Saturninus and Secundulus, were apprehended, and with them Vivia Perpetua, a woman of good family, liberal education, and honourably married. Perpetua was about twenty-two years old; her father and mother were living; she had two brothers,—one of them, like herself, a catechumen,—and an infant at her breast. The history of the persecution is related by Perpetua herself, and is said to have been written by her own hand:--"When we were in the hands of the persecutors, my father, in his tender affection, persevered in his endeavours to pervert me from the faith. Y 'My father, this vessel, be it a pitcher, or any thing else, can we call it by any other name?' 'Certainly not,' he replied. 'Nor can I call myself by any other name but that of Christian.' My father looked as if he could have plucked my eyes out; but he only harassed me, and departed, persuaded by the arguments of the devil. Then, after being a few days without seeing my father, I was enabled to give thanks to God, and his absence was tempered to my spirit. After a few days we were baptized, and the waters of baptism seemed to give power of endurance to my body. Again a few days, and we were cast into prison. I was terrified; for I had never before seen such total darkness. O miserable day!—from the dreadful heat of the prisoners crowded together, and the insults of the soldiers. But I was wrung with solicitude for my infant. Two of our deacons, however, by the payment of money, obtained our removal for some hours in the day to a more open part of the prison. Each of

y Dejicere, "to cast me down," is the expressive phrase, not uncommon among the early Christians.

the captives then pursued his usual occupation; but I sat and suckled my infant, who was wasting away with hunger. In my anxiety, I addressed and consoled my mother, and commended my child to my brother; and I began to pine away at seeing them pining away on my account. And for many days I suffered this anxiety, and accustomed my child to remain in the prison with me; and I immediately recovered my strength, and was relieved from my toil and trouble for my infant, and the prison became to me like a palace; and I was happier there than I should have been anywhere else.

"My brother then said to me, 'Perpetua, you are exalted to such dignity, that you may pray for a vision, and it shall be shown you whether our doom is martyrdom or release." This is the language of Montanism; but the vision is exactly that which might haunt the slumbers of the Christian in a high state of religious enthusiasm; it showed merely the familiar images of the faith, arranging themselves into form. She saw a lofty ladder of gold, ascending to heaven; around it were swords, lances, hooks; and a great dragon lay at its foot, to seize those who would ascend. Saturus, a distinguished Christian, went up first; beckoned her to follow; and controlled the dragon by the name of Jesus Christ. She ascended, and found herself in a spacious garden, in which sat a man with white hair, in the garb of a shepherd, milking his sheep, with many myriads around him. He welcomed her, and gave her a morsel of cheese; and "I received it with folded hands, and ate it; and all the saints around exclaimed, 'Amen.' I awoke at the sound, with the sweet taste in my mouth,

² Bishop Münter, in his 'Sinnbilder | sage, to illustrate one of the oldest bas der alten Christen,' refers to this pas- reliefs of Christian art. H. i. p. 62.

and I related it to my brother; and we knew that our martyrdom was at hand, and we began to have no hope in this world."

"After a few days, there was a rumour that we were to be heard. And my father came from the city, wasted away with anxiety, to pervert me; and he said, 'Have compassion, O my daughter! on my grey hairs; have compassion on thy father, if he is worthy of the name of father. If I have thus brought thee up to the flower of thine age; if I have preferred thee to all thy brothers, do not expose me to this disgrace. Look on thy brother; look on thy mother, and thy aunt; look on thy child, who cannot live without thee. Do not destroy us all.' Thus spake my father, kissing my hands in his fondness, and throwing himself at my feet; and in his tears he called me not his daughter, but his mistress (domina). And I was grieved for the grey hairs of my father, because he alone, of all our family, did not rejoice in my martyrdom; and I consoled him, saying, 'In this trial, what God wills, will take place. Know that we are not in our own power, but in that of God.' And he went away sorrowing.

"Another day, while we were at dinner, we were suddenly seized and carried off to trial; and we came to the town. The report spread rapidly, and an immense multitude was assembled. We were placed at the bar; the rest were interrogated, and made their confession. And it came to my turn; and my father instantly appeared with my child, and he drew me down the step, and said in a beseeching tone, 'Have compassion on your infant;' and Hilarianus the procurator, who exercised the power of life and death for the Proconsul Timinianus, who had died, said, 'Spare the grey hairs of your parent; spare your infant; offer sacrifice

for the welfare of the Emperor.' And I answered, 'I will not sacrifice.' 'Art thou a Christian?' said Hilarianus. I answered, 'I am a Christian.' And while my father stood there to persuade me, Hilarianus ordered him to be thrust down, and beaten with rods. And the misfortune of my father grieved me; and I was as much grieved for his old age as if I had been scourged myself. He then passed sentence on us all, and condemned us to the wild beasts; and we went back in cheerfulness to the prison. And because I was accustomed to suckle my infant, and to keep it with me in the prison, I sent Pomponius the deacon to seek it from my father. But my father would not send it; but, by the will of God, the child no longer desired the breast, and I suffered no uneasiness lest at such a time I should be afflicted by the sufferings of my child, or by pains in my breasts."

Her visions now grow more frequent and vivid. The name of her brother Dinocrates suddenly occurred to her in her prayers. He had died at seven years old, of a loathsome disease, no doubt without Christian baptism. She had a vision in which Dinocrates appeared in a place of profound darkness, where there was a pool of water, which he could not reach on account of his small stature. In a second vision, Dinocrates appeared again; the pool rose up and touched him, and he drank a full goblet of the water. "And when he was satisfied, he went away to play, as infants are wont, and I awoke; and I knew that he was translated from the place of punishment." a

Again a few days, and the keeper of the prison, profoundly impressed by their conduct, and beginning to

^{*} This is evidently a kind of purgatory.

discern "the power of God within them," admitted many of the brethren to visit them, for mutual consolation. "And as the day of the games approached, my father entered, worn out with affliction, and began to pluck his beard, and to throw himself down with his face upon the ground, and to wish that he could hasten his death, and to speak words which might have moved any living creature. And I was grieved for the sorrows of his old age." The night before they were to be exposed in the arena, she dreamed that she was changed to a man; fought and triumphed over a huge and terrible Egyptian gladiator; and she put her foot upon his head, and she received the crown, and passed out of the Vivarian Gate, and knew that she had triumphed not over man but over the devil. The vision of Saturus, which he related for their consolation, was more splendid. He ascended into the realms of light, into a beautiful garden, and to a palace, the walls of which were light; and there he was welcomed, not only by the angels, but by all the friends who had preceded him in the glorious career. It is singular that, among the rest, he saw a bishop and a priest, between whom there had been some dissensions; and while Perpetua was conversing with them, the angels interfered and insisted on their perfect reconciliation. Some kind of blame seems to be attached to the Bishop Optatus, because some of his flock appeared as if they came from the factions of the circus, with the spirit of mortal strife not vet allayed.

The narrative then proceeds to another instance of the triumph of faith over the strongest of human feelings, the love of a young mother for her offspring. Felicitas was in the eighth month of her pregnancy. She feared, and her friends shared in her apprehension, that, on that account, her martyrdom might be delayed. They prayed together, and her travail came on. In her agony at that most painful period of delivery, she gave way to her sufferings. "How then," said one of the servants of the prison, "if you cannot endure these pains, will you endure exposure to the wild beasts?" She replied, "I bear now my own sufferings; then, there will be One within me who will bear my sufferings for me, because I shall suffer for his sake." She brought forth a girl, of whom a Christian sister took the charge.

Perpetua maintained her calmness to the end. While they were treated with severity by a tribune, who feared lest they should be delivered from the prison by enchantment, Perpetua remonstrated with a kind of mournful pleasantry, and said that, if ill-used, they would do no credit to the birthday of Cæsar: the victims ought to be fattened for the sacrifice. But their language and demeanour were not always so calm and gentle; the words of some became those of defiance almost of insult; and this is related with as much admiration as the more tranquil sublimity of the former incidents. To the people who gazed on them, in their importunate curiosity, at their agapè, they said, "Is not to-morrow's spectacle enough to satiate your hate? Today you look on us with friendly faces; to-morrow you will be our deadly enemies. Mark well our countenances, that you may know them again on the day of judgement." And to Hilarianus, on his tribunal, they said, "Thou judgest us, but God will judge thee." At this language, the exasperated people demanded that they should be scourged. When taken out to the execution, they declined, and were permitted to decline, the profane dress in which they were to be clad; the

BOOK II.

men, that of the priests of Saturn; the women, that of the priestesses of Ceres. b They came forward in their simple attire, Perpetua singing psalms. The men were exposed to leopards and bears; and the women were hung up naked in nets, to be gored by a furious cow. But even the excited populace shrank with horror at the spectacle of two young and delicate women, one recently recovered from childbirth, in this state. They were recalled by acclamation, and in mercy brought forward again, clad in loose robes.^c Perpetua was tossed, her garment was rent; but, more conscious of her wounded modesty than of pain, she drew the robe over the part of her person which was exposed. She then calmly clasped up her hair, because it did not become a martyr to suffer with dishevelled locks, the sign of sorrow. She then raised up the fainting and mortally wounded Felicitas, and, the cruelty of the populace being for a time appeased, they were permitted to retire. Perpetua seemed rapt in ecstasy, and, as if awaking from sleep, inquired when she was to be exposed to the beast. She could scarcely be made to believe what had taken place; her last words tenderly admonished her brother to be steadfast in the faith. I may close the scene by intimating that all were speedily released from their sufferings, and entered into their glory. Perpetua guided with her own hand the merciful sword of the gladiator which relieved her from her agony.

This African persecution, which laid the seeds of future schisms and fatal feuds, lasted till, at least, the

stance; and ascribed to the devil.

I am not sure that I am correct | Holstenius, "revocatæ et discinctis inin this part of the version; it appears dutæ."

b This was an unusual circum- to me to be the sense. "Ita revocatæ discinguntur" is paraphrased by Lucas

second year of Caracalla. From its close, except during the short reign of Maximin, Christianity Caracalla. enjoyed uninterrupted peace till the reign Geta.
A.D. 211-217. of Decius.d But during this period occurred a remarkable event in the religious history of Rome. The pontiff of one of the wild forms of the Natureworship of the East appeared in the city of Rome as Emperor. The ancient rites of Baalpeor, but little changed in the course of ages, intruded themselves into the sanctuary of the Capitoline Jove, and offended at once the religious majesty and the graver decency of Roman manners.^e Elagabalus derived his name from the Syrian appellative of the Sun; the had A.D. 218. been educated in the precincts of the temple; and the Emperor of Rome was lost and absorbed in the priest of an effeminate superstition. The new religion did not steal in under the modest demeanour of a stranger, claiming the common rights of hospitality as the national faith of a subject people: it entered with a public pomp, as though to supersede and eclipse the ancestral deities of Rome. The god Elagabalus was conveyed in solemn procession through the wondering provinces; his symbols were received with all the honour of the Supreme Deity. The conical black stone, which was adored at Emesa, was, no doubt, in its origin, one of those obscene symbols which appear in almost every form of the Oriental Nature-worship. The rudeness of ancient art had allowed it to remain in less offensive shapelessness; and, not improbably, the original symbolic meaning had become obsolete. The Sun had become the visible type

d From 212 to 249:—Caracalla, | 244; Decius, 249. 211: Macrinus, 217; Elagabalus, 218; Alexander Severus, 222; Maxi- Cassius, lib. lxxix.; Herodian, v. min and the Gordians, 235-244; Philip,

e Lampridii Heliogabalus. Dion

of Deity, and the object of adoration. The mysterious principle of generation, of which, in the primitive religion of nature, he was the type and image, gave place to the noblest object of human idolatry—the least debasing representative of the Great Supreme. The idol of Emesa entered Rome in solemn procession; a magnificent temple was built upon the Palatine Hill; a number of altars stood round, on which every day the most sumptuous offerings - hecatombs of oxen, countless sheep, the most costly aromatics, the choicest wines—were offered. Streams of blood and wine were constantly flowing down; while the highest dignitaries of the Empire-commanders of legions, rulers of provinces, the gravest senators, appeared as humble ministers, clad in the loose and flowing robes and linen sandals of the East, among the lascivious dances and the wanton music of Oriental drums and cymbals. These degrading practices were the only way to civil and military preferment. The whole senate and equestrian order stood around; and those who played ill the part of adoration, or whose secret murmurs incautiously betrayed their devout indignation (for this insult to the ancient religion of Rome awakened some sense of shame in the degenerate and servile aristocracy), were put to death. The most sacred and patriotic sentiments cherished, above all the hallowed treasures of the city, the Palladium, the image of Minerva. Popular veneration worshipped, in distant awe, the unseen deity; for profane eye might never behold the virgin image. The inviolability of the Roman dominion was inseparably connected with the uncontaminated sanctity of the Palladium. The Syrian declared his intention of wedding the ancient tutelary goddess to his foreign deity. The image was publicly brought forth; exposed to the sullying gaze of the multitude; solemnly wedded, and insolently repudiated by the unworthy stranger. A more appropriate bride was found in the kindred worship of Syrian deity, worshipped under the name of Rome. Astarte in the East, in Carthage as the Queen of Heaven—Venus Urania, as translated into the mythological language of the West. She was brought from Carthage. The whole city—the whole of Italy—was commanded to celebrate the bridal festival; and the nuptials of the two foreign deities might appear to complete the triumph over the insulted divinities of Rome.

Nothing was sacred to the voluptuous Syrian. He introduced the manners as well as the religion of the East; his rapid succession of wives imitated the polygamy of an Oriental despot; and his vices not merely corrupted the morals, but insulted the most sacred feelings, of the people. He tore a vestal virgin from her sanctuary, to suffer his polluting embraces; he violated the sanctuary itself; attempted to make himself master of the mystic coffer in which the sacred deposit was enshrined: it was said that the pious fraud of the priesthood deceived him with a counterfeit, which he dashed to pieces in his anger. It was openly asserted that the worship of the Sun, under his name of Elagabalus, was to supersede all other worship. If we may believe the biographies in the Augustan history, a more ambitious scheme of a universal religion had dawned upon the mind of the Emperor. innovations The Jewish, the Samaritan, even the Chris- by Elagatian, were to be fused and recast into one great system, of which the Sun was to be the central

f Id agens ne quis Romæ Deus nisi | terea, Judæorum et Samaritanorum Heliogabalus coleretur. Dicebat præ- religiones, et Christianam devotionem,

object of adoration.f At all events, the deities of Rome

were actually degraded before the public gaze into humble ministers of Elagabalus. Every year of the Emperor's brief reign, the god was conveyed from his Palatine temple to a suburban edifice of still more sumptuous magnificence. The statue passed in a car drawn by six horses. The Emperor of the world, his eves stained with paint, ran and danced before it with antic gestures of adoration. The earth was strewn with gold dust; flowers and chaplets were scattered by the people, while the images of all the other gods, the splendid ornaments and vessels of all their temples, were carried, like the spoils of subject nations, in the annual ovation of the Phenician deity. Even human sacrifices, and, if we may credit the monstrous fact, the most beautiful sons of the noblest families, were offered on the altar of this Moloch of the East.g

It impossible to suppose that the weak and crumbling edifice of Paganism was not shaken to its base by this extraordinary revolution. An ancient religion cannot thus be insulted without losing much of its majesty: its hold upon the popular veneration is violently torn asunder. With its more sincere votaries, the general animosity to foreign, particularly to Eastern, religions, might be inflamed or deepened; and Christianity might share in some part of the detestation excited by the excesses of a superstition so opposite in its nature. But others whose faith had been shaken, and whose moral feelings revolted, by a religion whose essential character was sensuality, and whose licentious tendency had been so disgustingly illustrated by the unspeakable pollutions

illuc transferendam, ut omnium cultu- ad hoc pueris nobilibus et decoris per rarum secretum Heliogabali sacerdo- omnem Italiam patrimis et matrimis, tium teneret. p. 461.

credo ut major esset utrique parenti g Cædit et humanas hostias, lectis dolor. Lamprid. Heliogabalus.

of its imperial patron, would hasten to embrace that purer faith which was most remote from the religion of Elagabalus.

From the policy of the Court, as well as the pure and amiable character of the successor of Elaga- Alexander balus, the more offensive parts of this foreign Severus Emperor. superstition disappeared with their imperial A.D. 222. But the old Roman religion was not reinstated in its jealous and unmingled dignity. Alexander Severus had been bred in another school; and the influence which swayed him, during the earlier part at least of his reign, was of a different character from that which had formed the mind of Elagabalus. It was the mother of Elagabalus who, however she might blush with shame at the impurities of her effeminate son, had consecrated him to the service of the deity in Emesa. The mother of Alexander Severus, the able, perhaps crafty and rapacious, Mammæa, had at least held intercourse with the Christians of Syria. She had conversed with the celebrated Origen, and listened to his exhortations, if without conversion, still not without respect. Alexander, though he had neither the religious education, the pontifical character, nor the dissolute manners of his predecessor, was a Syrian, wth no hereditary attachment to the Roman form of Paganism. He seems to have affected a kind of universalism: he paid decent respect to the gods of the Capitol; he held in honour the Egyptian worship, and enlarged the temples of Isis and Serapis. In his own palace, with respectful indifference, he enshrined, as it were, as his household deities, the representatives of the different religious or theophilosophic systems which were prevalent in the Roman Empire,-Orpheus, Abraham, Christ, and Apollonius of Tyana. The first of

these represented the wisdom of the Mysteries, the purified Nature-worship, which had laboured to elevate the popular mythology into a noble and coherent allegorism. It is singular that Abraham, rather than Moses, was placed at the head of Judaism: it is possible that the traditionary sanctity which attached to the first parent of the Jewish people, and of many of the Arab tribes, and which was afterwards embodied in the Mohammedan Koran, was floating in the East, and would comprehend, as it were, the opinions not only of the Jews, but of a much wider circle of the Syrian natives.h In Apollonius was centred the more modern Theurgy, the magic which commanded the intermediate spirits between the higher world and the world of man; the more spiritual polytheism which had released the subordinate deities from their human form, and maintained them in constant intercourse with the soul of man. Christianity, in the person of its founder, even where it did not command authority as a religion, had nevertheless lost the character under which it had so long and so unjustly laboured, of animosity to mankind. Though He was considered but as one of the sages who shared in the homage paid to their beneficent wisdom, the followers of Jesus had now lived down all the bitter hostility which had so generally prevailed against them. The homage of Alexander Severus may be a fair test of the general sentiment of the more intelligent Heathen of his time. It is clear that the exclusive spirit of

h This might seem to confirm the theory of Sprenger as to the widespread Abrahamic religion, Monotheism, called Hanyferey, prevalent in Arabia at the time of the coming of Mohammed, Leben des Mohammed, B. i. c. i.

¹ Jablonski wrote a very ingenious essay to show that Alexander Severus was converted to *Gnostic* Christianity. Opuscula, vol. iv. Compare Heyne, Opuscula, vi. p. 169, et seqq.

Greek and Roman civilisation is broken down: it is not now Socrates or Plato, Epicurus or Zeno, who are considered the sole guiding intellects of human wisdom. These Eastern barbarians are considered rivals, if not superior, to the philosophers of Greece. The world is betraying its irresistible yearning towards a religion; and these are the first overtures, as it were, to more general submission.

In the reign of Alexander Severus, at least, commenced the great change in the outward appearance of Christianity. Christian bishops the relation were admitted, even at the court, in a recog- anity to nised official character; and Christian churches began to rise in different parts of the empire, and to possess endowments in land. To the astonishment of the Heathen, the religion of Christ had as yet appeared without temple or altar; the religious assemblies had been held in privacy: it was yet a domestic worship. Even the Jew had his public synagogue or his more secluded proseucha; but where the Christians met was indicated by no separate and distinguished dwelling; the cemetery of their dead, the sequestered grove, the private chamber, contained their peaceful assemblies. Their privacy was as once their security and their danger. On the one hand, there was no well-known edifice in which the furious and excited rabble could surprise the First general body of the Christians, and wreak its churches. vengeance by indiscriminate massacre; on the other, the

^{*} Tillemont, as Gibbon observes, assigns the date of the earliest Christian churches to the reign of Alexander Severus; Mr. Moyle to that of Gallienus. The difference is very slight, and, after all, the change from a private

building, set apart for a particular use, and a public one of no architectural pretensions, may have been almost imperceptible. The passage of Lampridius appears conclusive in favour of Tillemont.

jealousy of the Government against all private associations would be constantly kept on the alert; and a religion without a temple was so inexplicable a problem to Pagan feeling, that it would strengthen and confirm all the vague imputations of Atheism, or of criminal licence in these mysterious meetings which seemed to shun the light of day. Their religious usages must now have become much better known, as Alexander borrowed their mode of publishing the names of those who were proposed for ordination, and established a similar proceeding with regard to all candidates for civil office; and a piece of ground, in Rome, which was litigated by a company of victuallers, was awarded by the Emperor himself to the Christians, upon the principle that it was better that it should be devoted to the worship of God in any form, than applied to a profane and unworthy use.m

These buildings were no doubt, as yet, of modest height and unpretending form; but the religion was thus publicly recognised as one of the various forms of worship which the Government did not prohibit from

opening the gates of its temples to mankind.

The progress of Christianity during all this period, though silent, was uninterrupted. The miseries which were gradually involving the whole Roman Empire, from the conflicts and the tyranny of a rapid succession of masters—from taxation becoming more grinding and burdensome—and from the still multiplying inroads and expanding devastations of the barbarians, assisted its progress. Many took refuge in a religion which promised beatitude in a future state of being, from the inevitable evils of this life.

m Ælii Lampridii Alexander Severus.

But in no respect is the progress of Christianity more evident and remarkable than in its influence on Heathenism itself. Though philosophy, which had long been the antagonist and most dangerous enemy Influence of of the popular religion, now made apparently Christianity on Heathencommon cause with it against the common ism. enemy, Christianity, yet there had been an unperceived and amicable approximation between the two religions. Heathenism, as interpreted by philosophy, almost found favour with some of the more moderate Christian apologists; while, as we have seen, in the altered tone of the controversy, the Christians have rarely occasion to defend themselves against those horrible charges of licentiousness, incest, and cannibalism, which, till recently, their advocates had been constrained to notice. The Christians endeavoured to enlist the earlier philosophers in their cause; they were scarcely content with asserting that the nobler Grecian philosophy might be designed to prepare the human mind for the reception of Christianity: they were almost inclined to endow these sages with a kind of prophetic foreknowledge of its more mysterious doctrines. "I have explained," says the Christian in Minucius Felix, "the opinions of almost all the philosophers, whose most illustrious glory it is that they have worshipped one God, though under various names; so that one might suppose, either that the Christians of the present day are philosophers, or that the philosophers of old were already Christians."n

But these advances on the part of Christianity were

n According to Justin Martyr (Apo- | Isagoge ad Hypotup., apud Bunsen, log. 5), Socrates was instructed through the Word, the Word which afterwards considerably indebted to Tschirner, Fall took the form of man, and was called des Heidenthums, pp. 334-401. Jesus Christ. (Compare Clem. Alex.

more than met by Paganism. The Heathen religion, which prevailed at least among the more enlightened Pagans during this period, and which, differently modified, more fully developed, and, as we shall hereafter find, exalted still more from a philosophy into a religion, Julian endeavoured to reinstate as the esta-Heathenism. blished faith, was almost as different from that of the older Greeks and Romans, or even that which prevailed at the commencement of the Empire, as it was from Christianity. It worshipped in the same temples: it performed, to a certain extent, the same rites: it actually abrogated the local worship of no one of the multitudinous deities of Paganism. But over all this, which was the real religion, both in theory and practice, in the older times, had risen a kind of speculative Theism, to which the popular worship acknowledged its humble subordination. On the great elementary principle of Christianity, the Unity of the Supreme God, this approximation had long been silently made. Celsus, in his celebrated controversy with Origen, asserts that this philosophical notion of the Deity is perfectly reconcileable with Paganism. "We also can place a Supreme Being above the world and above all human things, and approve and sympathise in whatever may be taught of a spiritual rather than material adoration of the gods; for, with the belief in the gods worshipped in every land and by every people, harmonises the belief in a Primal Being, a Supreme God, who has given to every land its guardian, to every people its presiding deity. The unity of the Supreme Being, and the consequent unity of the design of the universe, remains, even if it be admitted that each people has its gods, whom it must worship in a peculiar manner, according to their peculiar character; and the worship of all these different deities is reflected

back to the Supreme God, who has appointed them, as it were, his delegates and representatives. Those who argue that men ought not to serve many masters impute human weakness to God. God is not jealous of the adoration paid to subordinate deities; He is superior in his nature to degradation and insult. Reason itself might justify the belief in the inferior deities, which are the objects of the established worship. For, since the Supreme God can only produce that which is immortal and imperishable, the existence of mortal beings cannot be explained, unless we distinguish from him those inferior deities, and assert them to be the creatures of mortal beings and of perishable things." o

From this time, Paganism has changed not merely some of its fundamental tenets, but its general Paganism character; it has become serious, solemn, de-serious. vout. In Lucian, unbelief seemed to have reached its height, and as rapidly declined. The witty satirist of Polytheism had, no doubt, many admirers; he had no imitators. A reaction has taken place; none of the distinguished statesmen of the third century boldly and ostentatiously, as in the times of the later Republic, display their contempt for religion. Epicureanism has lost, if not its partisans, its open advocates. The most eminent writers treat religion with decency if not with devout respect; no one is ambitious of passing for a despiser of the gods. And with faith and piety broke forth all the aberrations of religious belief and devout feeling, wonder-working mysticism, and dreamy enthusiasm, in their various forms.p

This was the commencement of that new Platonism which, from this time, exercised a supreme authority, to

o Origen contra Celsum, lib. vii.

P Tschirner, p. 401.

the extinction of the older forms of Grecian philosophy, and grew up into a dangerous antagonist of Christianity. It aspired to be a religion as well as a philosophy, and gradually incorporated more and more of such religious elements from the creeds of the Oriental philosophers as would harmonise with its system. It was extravagant, but it was earnest; wild, but serious. It created a kind Apollonius of Of literature of its own. The Life of Apollonius
Tyana. Of Tyrong are the control of Tyana was a grave romance, in which it embodied much of its Theurgy, its power of connecting the invisible with the visible world; its wonder-working, through the intermediate dæmons at its command, which bears possibly, but not clearly, an intentional, certainly a close, resemblance to the Gospels. It seized and moulded to its purpose the poetry and philosophy of older Greece. Such of the mythic legends as it could allegorise, it retained with every demonstration of reverence; the rest it either allowed quietly to fall into oblivion, or repudiated as lawless fictions of the poets. The manner in which poetry was transmuted into moral and religious allegory is shown in the treatise Porphyrius. of Porphyrius on the Cave of the Nymphs in the Odyssey. The skill, as well as the dreamy mysticism, with which this school of writers combined the dim traditions of the older philosophy and the esoteric doctrines of the Mysteries, to give the sanction of antiquity to their own vague but attractive and fanciful theories, appears Life of Pythae in the Life of Pythagoras, and in the work on the Mysteries, by a somewhat later writer, Tamblichus.

After all, however, this philosophic Paganism could Philosophic Paganism not popular. exercise no very extensive influence. Its vopopular. taries were probably far inferior in number to those of any one of the foreign religions introduced into

the Greek and Roman part of the empire; and its strength perhaps consisted in the facility with which it coalesced with any one of those religions, or blended them up together in one somewhat discordant syncretism. The same man was philosopher, Hierophant at Samothrace or Eleusis, and initiate in the rites of Cybele, of Serapis, or of Mithra. Of itself this scheme was far too abstract and metaphysical to extend beyond the schools of Alexandria or of Athens. Though it prevailed afterwards in influencing the Heathen fanaticism of Julian, it eventually retarded but little the extinction of Heathenism. It was merely a sort of refuge for the intellectual fewa self-complacent excuse, which enabled them to assert. as they supposed, their own mental superiority, while they were endeavouring to maintain or to revive the vulgar superstition, which they themselves could not but in secret contemn. The more refined it became, the less was it suited for common use, and the less it harmonised with the ordinary Paganism. Thus that which, in one respect, elevated it into a dangerous rival of Christianity, at the same time deprived it of its power. had borrowed much from Christianity, or, at least, had been tacitly modified by its influence; but it was the speculative rather than the practical part, that which constituted its sublimity rather than its popularity, in which it approximated to the Gospel. We shall encounter this new Paganism again before long, in its more perfect and developed form.

The peace which Christianity enjoyed under the virtuous Severus was disturbed by the violent Maximin. accession of a Thracian savage. It was enough A.D. 235. to have shared in the favour of Alexander to incur the

q Euseb. Hist. Ecc. vi. 28.

brutal resentment of Maximin. The Christian bishops, like all the other polite and virtuous courtiers of his peaceful predecessor, were exposed to the suspicions and the hatred of the rude and warlike Maximin. Christianity, however, suffered, though in a severer degree, the common lot of mankind.

The short reign of Gordian was uneventful in Christian Gordian. history. The Emperors, it has been justly observed, who were born in the Asiatic provinces were, in general, the least unfriendly to Christianity. Their religion, whatever it might be, was less uncongenial to some of the forms of the new faith; it was a kind of Eclecticism of different Eastern religions, which, in general, was least inclined to intolerance: at any rate, it was uninfluenced by national pride, which was now become the main support of Roman Paganism.

Philip, the Arabian, is claimed by some of the earliest Christian writers as a convert to the Gospel. But the extraordinary splendour with which he celebrated the great religious rites of Rome refutes at once this statement. Yet it might be fortunate that a sovereign of his mild sentiments towards the new faith filled the throne at a period when the secular games, which commemorated the thousandth year of Rome, were celebrated with unexampled magnificence. The majesty, the eternity, of the empire were intimately connected with the due performance of these solemnities. To their intermission, after the reign of Diocletian, the Pagan historian ascribes the decline of Roman greatness.8 The second millennium of Rome commenced with no flattering signs; the times were gloomy and menacing; and the

r Euseb. vi. 34.

⁸ Zosimus, ii. 7.

general and rigid absence of the Christians from these sacred national creremonies, under a sterner or more bigoted Emperor, would scarcely have escaped the severest animadversions of the Government. under the present circumstances, the danger of popular tumult would be with difficulty avoided or restrained. Did patriotism and national pride incline the Roman Christians to make some sacrifice of their severer principles; to compromise for a time their rigid aversion to idolatry, which was thus connected with the peace and

prosperity of the state?

The persecution under Decius, both in extent and violence, is the most uncontested of those Decina which the ecclesiastical historians took pains A.D. 249-251. to raise to the mystic number of the ten plagues of Egypt. It was almost the first measure of a reign which commenced in successful rebellion, and ended. after two years, in fatal defeat. The Goths delivered the Christians from their most formidable oppressor: yet the Goths may have been the innocent authors of their calamities. The passions and the policy of the Emperor were concurrent motives for his hostility. The Christians were now a recognised body in the state; however carefully they might avoid mingling in the political factions of the empire, they were necessarily of the party of the Emperor whose favour they had enjoyed. His enemies became their enemies. Maximin persecuted those who had appeared at the court of Alexander Severus; Decius hated the adherents, as he supposed the partisans, of the murdered Philip.^t The Gothic war shook to the centre the edifice of Roman greatness. Roman Paganism discovered in the relaxed morals of

^t Euseb. vi. 39.

the people one of the causes of the decline of the empire; it demanded the revival of the censorship. Causes of the This indiscriminating feeling would mistake, Decian persecution. in the blindness of aversion and jealousy, the great silent corrective of the popular morality for one of the principal causes of depravation. The partial protection of a foreign religion by a foreign Emperor (now that Christianity had begun to erect temple against temple, altar against altar, and the Christian bishop met the pontiff on equal terms around the imperial throne) would be considered among the most flagrant departures from the sound wisdom of ancient. Rome. The descendant of the Decii, however his obscure Pannonian birth might cast a doubt on his hereditary dignity, was called upon to restore the religion as well as the manners of Rome to their ancient austere purity; to vindicate their insulted supremacy from the rivalship of an Asiatic and modern superstition. The persecution of Decius endeavoured to purify Rome itself from the presence of these degenerate enemies to her prosperity. Fabianus, Bi. The bishop Fabianus was one of the first vicshop of Rome. tims of his resentment: u and the Christians did not venture to raise a successor to the obnoxious office during the brief reign of Decius.

The example of the capital was followed in many of the great cities of the empire. In the turbulent and sanguinary Alexandria, the zeal of the populace outran that of the Emperor, and had already commenced a violent local persecution. Antioch lamented the loss of her bishop, Babylas, whose relics were afterwards

[&]quot;The Cav. de Rossi has found the name of Fabianus (I have read it myself), the first authentic martyr Pope in the real cemetery of Callistus, which list sagacity discovered, and his labours have explored. More on the Catacombs hereafter.

** Euseb. vi. 40, 41.

worshipped in what was still the voluptuous grove of Daphne. Origen was exposed to cruel torments, but escaped with his life. But Christian enthusiasm, by being disseminated over a wider sphere, had naturally lost some of its first vigour. With asm of many, it was now a hereditary faith, not em- anty less braced by the ardent conviction of the individual, but instilled into the mind, with more or less depth, by Christian education. The Christian writers now begin to deplore the failure of genuine Christian principles, and to trace the Divine wrath in the affliction of the Churches. Instead of presenting, as it were, a narrow, but firm and unbroken, front to the enemy, a much more numerous, but less united and less uniformly resolute, force now marched under the banner of Christianity. Instead of the serene fortitude with which they formerly appeared before the tribunal of the magistrate. many now stood pale, trembling, and reluctant, neither ready to submit to the idolatrous ceremony of sacrifice, nor prepared to resist even unto death. The fiery zeal of the African Churches appears to have been most subject to these paroxysms of weakness; z it was there that the fallen (the Lapsi) formed a distinct and too numerous class, whose readmission into the privileges of the Faithful became a subject of fierce controversy; a and the Libellatici, who had purchased a billet of immunity from the rapacious Government, formed another party, and were held in no less disrepute by those who, in the older spirit of the faith, had been ready or eager to obtain the crown of martyrdom.

on S. Babylas.

heresy of Novatian; charity and ortho- of the severer party. Euseb. vii. 3.

⁷ Read the Sermons of Chrysostom | doxy, on this occasion, concurred. Luseb. vi. sub fin., vii. 4, 5. Another ² Dionysius apud Eusebium, vi. 14. | controversy arose on the rebaptizing a The severer opinion was called the heretics, in which Cyprian took the lead

Carthage was disgraced by the criminal weakness even of some among her clergy. A Council was held to decide this difficult point; and the decisions of the Council were tempered by moderation and humanity. None were irrevocably and for ever excluded from the pale of salvation; but they were absolved, according to the degree of criminality which might attach to their apostasy. Those who had sacrificed—the most awful and scarcely expiable offence!-required long years of penitence and humility; those who had only weakly compromised their faith, by obtaining or purchasing billets of exemption from persecution, were admitted to shorter and easier terms of reconciliation.b

Valerian, who ascended the throne three years after the death of Decius, had been chosen by Decius to revive, in his person, the ancient and honourable office

b The horror with which those who had sacrificed were beheld by the more rigorous of their brethren may be conceived from the energetic language of Cyprian: "Nonne quando ad Capitolium sponte ventum est, quando ultro ad obsequium diri facinoris accessum est, labavit gressus, caligavit aspectus, tremuerunt viscera, brachia conciderunt? Nonne sensus obstupuit, lingua hæsit, sermo defecit? . . Nonne ara illa, quo moriturus accessit, rogus illi fuit? Nonne diaboli altare quod fœtore tætro fumare et redolere conspexerat, velut funus et bustum vitæ suæ horrere, ac fugere debebat. . . . Ipse ad aram hostia, victima ipse venisti. Immolâsti illic-salutem tuam, spem tuam, fidem tuam funestis illis ignibus concremâsti." Cyprian, De Lapsis. Some died of remorse; with some the guilty food acted as poison. But the follow- ments as miraculous?

ing was the most extraordinary occurrence, of which Cyprian declares himself to have been an eyewitness. An infant had been abandoned by its parents in their flight. The nurse carried it to Being too young to the magistrate. eat meat, bread, steeped in wine offered in sacrifice, was forced into its mouth. Immediately that it returned to the Christians, the child, which could not speak, communicated the sense of its guilt by cries and convulsive agitations. It refused the sacrament (then administered to infants), closed its lips, and averted its face. The deacon forced it into its mouth. The consecrated wine would not remain in the contaminated body, but was cast up again .-In what a high-wrought state of enthusiasm must men have been who would relate and believe such stateof Censor; and the general admiration of his virtues had ratified the appointment of the Emperor. Valerian. It was no discredit to Christianity that the A.D. 254. commencement of the Censor's reign, who may be supposed to have examined with more than ordinary care its influence on the public morals, was favourable to their cause. Their security was restored, and, for a short time, persecution ceased. The change which took place in the sentiments and conduct of Valerian is attributed to the influence of a man deeply versed in magical arts.c The censor was enslaved by a superstition which the older Romans would have beheld with little less abhorrence than Christianity itself. It must be admitted, that Christian superstition was too much inclined to encroach upon the province of Oriental magic; and the more the older Polytheism decayed, the more closely it allied itself with this powerful agent in commanding the fears of man. With all classes, from the Emperor who employed their mystic arts to inquire into the secrets of futurity, to the peasant who shuddered at their power, the adepts in those dark and forbidden sciences were probably more influential opponents of Christianity than the ancient and established priesthood.

Macrianus is reported to have obtained such complete mastery over the mind of Valerian, as to induce him to engage in the most guilty mysteries of magic to trace the fate of the empire in the entrails of human victims. The edict against the Christians, suggested by the animosity of Macrianus, allowed the community to remain in undisturbed impunity; but it subjected to the penalty of the death all the bishops who

c Euseb, vii. 10.

refused to conform, and confiscated all the endowments of their churches into the public treasury.

The dignity of one of its victims conferred a melancholy celebrity on the persecution of Valerian. The most distinguished prelate at this time in Western Christendom was Cyprian, Bishop of Carthage. If not of honourable birth or descent, for this appears doubtful, his abilities had raised him to eminence and wealth. He taught rhetoric at Carthage, and, either by this honourable occupation or by some other means, had acquired an ample fortune. Cyprian was advanced in life when he embraced the doctrines of Christianity; but he entered on his new career, if with the mature reason of age, with the ardour and freshness of youth. His wealth was devoted to pious and charitable uses; his rhetorical studies, if they gave clearness and order to his language, by no means chilled its fervour or constrained its vehemence. He had the African temperament of character, and, if it may be so said, of style; the warmth, the power of communicating its impassioned sentiments to the reader; perhaps not all the pregnant conciseness, nor all the energy, of Tertullian, but, at the same time, little of his rudeness and obscurity. Cyprian passed rapidly through the steps of Christian initiation, almost as rapidly through the first gradations of the clerical order. On the vacancy of the bishopric of Carthage, his reluctant diffidence was overpowered by the acclamations of the whole city, who environed his house, and compelled him by their friendly violence to assume the distinguished and, it might be. dangerous office. He yielded, to preserve the peace of Carthage.d

d Epist. xiv.

Cyprian entertained the loftiest notions of the episcopal authority. The severe and inviolable unity of the outward and visible Church appeared to him an integral part of Christianity; and the rigid discipline enforced by the episcopal order the only means of maintaining that unity. The pale which enclosed the Church from the rest of mankind was drawn with the most relentless precision. The Church was the ark, and all without it were left to perish in the unsparing deluge. The growth of heretical discord or disobedience was inexpiable, even by the blood of the transgressor. He might bear the flames with equanimity; he might submit to be torn to pieces by wild beasts—there could be no martyr without the Church. Tortures and death bestowed not the crown of immortality; they were but the just retribution of treason to the faith.f

The fearful times which arose during his episcopate tried these stern and lofty principles, as the questions which arose out of the Decian persecutions did his judgement and moderation. Cyprian, who embraced without hesitation the severer opinion with regard to the rebaptizing heretics, notwithstanding his awful horror of the guilt of apostasy, acquiesced in, if he did not dictate, the more temperate decisions of the Car-

[•] Si potuit evadere quisquam, qui extra arcam Noe fuit, et qui extra ecclesiam foris fuerit, evadit. Cyprian, de Unitate Ecclesiæ.

f Esse martyr non potest, qui in ecclesià non est.

Ardeant licet flammis et ignibus traditi, vel objecti bestiis animas suas ponant, non erit illa fidei corona, sed pœna perfidiæ, nec religiosæ virtutis exitus gloriosus, sed desperationis in-

teritus. De Unit. Eccles.

Et tamen neque hoc baptisma (sanguinis) heretico prodest, quamvis Christum confessus, et extra ecclesiam fuerit occisus. Epist. lxxiii.

[&]quot;Though I give my body to be burned, and have not charity, it profiteth me nothing." 1 Cor. xiii. 3.— Is there no difference between the spirit of St. Paul and of Cyprian?

thaginian synod concerning those whose weakness had betrayed them either into the public denial, or a timid dissimulation, of the faith.

The first rumour of persecution designated the Bishop of Carthage for its victim. "Cyprian to the lions!" was the loud and unanimous outcry of infuriated Paganism. Cyprian withdrew from the storm, not, as his subsequent courageous behaviour showed, from timidity; but neither approving that useless and sometimes ostentatious prodigality of life, which betrayed more pride than humble acquiescence in the Divine will; possibly from the truly charitable reluctance to tempt his enemies to an irretrievable crime. He withdrew to some quiet and secure retreat, from which he wrote animating and consolatory letters to those who had not been so prudent or so fortunate as to escape the persecution. His letters describe the relentless barbarity with which the Christians were treated; they are an authentic and contemporary statement of the sufferings which the Christians endured in defence of their faith. If highly coloured by the generous and tender sympathies or by the ardent eloquence of Cyprian, they have nothing of legendary extravagance. The utmost art was exercised to render bodily suffering more acute and intense; it was a continued strife between the obstinacy and inventive cruelty of the tormentor, and the patience of the victim.g During the reign of Decius, which appears to have been

Steterunt tuti torquentibus fortiores, et pulsantes et laniantes ungulas pulsata ac laniata membra vicerunt. Inexpugnabilem fidem superare non potuit sæviens diu plaga repetita quamvis ruptâ compage viscerum; torquentur in servis Dei jam non membra, sed vulnera. Cyprian, Epist. viii. ad Martyres. Compare Epist. lxii.

g Tolerâstis usque ad consummationem gloriæ durissimam questionem, nec cessistis suppliciis, sed vobis potius supplicia cesserunt.

one continued persecution, Cyprian stood aloof in his undisturbed retreat. He returned to Carthage probably at the commencement of Valerian's reign, and had a splendid opportunity of Christian revenge upon the city which had thirsted for his blood. A plague Plague in ravaged the whole Roman world, and its most Carthage. destructive violence thinned the streets of Carthage. It went spreading on from house to house, especially those of the lower orders, with awful regularity. The streets were strewn with the bodies of the dead and the dying, who vainly appealed to the laws of nature and humanity for that assistance of which those who passed them by might soon stand in need. General distrust spread through society. Men avoided or exposed their nearest relatives; as if, by excluding the dying, they could exclude death.h No one, says the Deacon Pontius, writing of the population of Carthage in general, did as he would be done by. Cyprian addressed the Christians in the most earnest and effective language. He exhorted them to show the sincerity of their Conduct of belief in the doctrines of their Master, not by Cyprian and the Chrisconfining their acts of kindliness to their own brotherhood, but by extending them indiscriminately to their enemies. The city was divided into districts; offices were assigned to all the Christians; the rich lavished their wealth, the poor their personal exertions; and men, perhaps just emerged from the mine or the prison, with the scars or mutilations of their recent tortures upon their bodies, were seen exposing their lives, if possible, to a more honourable martyrdom; as before the voluntary victims of Christian faith, so now of

h Pontius, in Vitâ Cypriani. Hor- | peste morituro, etiam mortem ipsam rere omnes, fugere, vitare contagium; aliquis posset excludere. exponere suos impie; quasi cum illo

Christian charity. Yet the Heathen party, instead of being subdued, persisted in attributing this terrible scourge to the impiety of the Christians, which provoked the angry gods; nor can we wonder if the zeal of Cyprian retorted the argument, and traced rather the retributive justice of the Almighty to the wanton persecutions

inflicted on the unoffending Christians.

Cyprian did not again withdraw on the commencement of the Valerian persecution. He was summoned before the proconsul, who communicated his instructions from the Emperor, to compel all those who professed foreign religions to offer sacrifice. Cyprian refused, with tranquil determination. He was banished from Carthage. He remained in his pleasant retreat rather than place of exile, in the small town of Ceribis, near the sea-shore, in a spot shaded with verdant groves, and with a clear and healthful stream of water. It was provided with every comfort, and even luxury, in which the austere nature of Cyprian would permit itself to indulge.1 But when his hour came, the tranquil and collected dignity of Cyprian in no respect fell below his lofty principles.

On the accession of a new proconsul, Galerius Maxi-Return to mus, Cyprian was either recalled or permitted to return from his exile. He resided in his own gardens, from whence he received a summons to appear before the proconsul. He would not listen to the earnest solicitations of his friends, who entreated him again to consult his safety by withdrawing to some place of concealment. His trial was postponed for a

of this sunny and agreeable spot, it had | Cyprian." been a waste and rocky solitude, the

i "If," says Pontius, who visited angels which fed Elijah and Daniel his master in his retirement, "instead would have ministered to the holy

day; he was treated, while in custody, with respect and even delicacy. But the intelligence of the apprehension of Cyprian drew together the whole city; the Heathen, eager to behold the spectacle of his martyrdom, the Christians, to watch in their affectionate zeal at the doors of his prison. In the morning, he had to walk some distance, and was violently heated by the exertion. A Christian soldier offered to procure him dry linen, apparently from mere courtesy, but, in reality, to obtain such precious relics, steeped in the "bloody sweat" of the martyr. Cyprian intimated that it was useless to seek remedy for inconveniences which, perhaps, would that day pass away for ever. After a short delay, the proconsul appeared. The examination was brief:-" Art thou Thascius Cyprian, the bishop of so many impious men? The most sacred Emperor commands thee to sacrifice." Cyprian answered, "I will not sacrifice." "Consider well," rejoined the proconsul. "Execute your orders," answered Cyprian; "the case admits of no consideration."

Galerius consulted with his Council, and then reluctantly delivered his sentence. "Thascius Cyprian, thou hast lived long in thy impiety, and assembled around thee many men involved in the same wicked conspiracy. Thou hast shown thyself an enemy alike to the gods and the laws of the empire; the pious and sacred Emperors have in vain endeavoured to recall thee to the worship of thy ancestors. Since, then, thou hast been the chief author and leader of these most guilty practices, thou shalt be an example to those whom thou hast deluded to thy unlawful assemblies.

J In the Acta, "vix ægre" is the ex- | he spoke with difficulty, on account of pression; it may, however, mean that | his bad health.

Thou must expiate thy crime with thy blood." Cyprian said, "God be thanked." k The Bishop of Carthage was carried into a neighbouring field and beheaded. He maintained his serene composure to the last. It was remarkable that but a few days afterwards the proconsul died. Though he had been in bad health, this circumstance was not likely to be lost upon the Christians.

Everywhere, indeed, the public mind was no doubt strongly impressed with the remarkable fact, which the Christians would lose no opportunity of eath of the ersecutors of enforcing on the awe-struck attention, that Christianity. their enemies appeared to be the enemies of An early and a fearful fate appeared to be the inevitable lot of the persecutors of Christianity. Their profound and earnest conviction that the hand of Divine Providence was perpetually and visibly interposing in the affairs of men would not be so deeply imbued with the spirit of their Divine Master, as to suppress the language of triumph, or even of vengeance, when the enemies of their God and of themselves either suffered defeat and death, or, worse than an honourable death, a cruel and insulting captivity. The death of Decius, according to the Pagan account, had been worthy of the old Republic. He was environed by the Goths; his son was killed by an arrow; he cried aloud, that the loss of a single soldier was nothing to the glory of the empire; he renewed the battle, and fell valiantly. The Christian writers strip away all the more ennobling

k I have translated this sentence, as the Acts of Cyprian are remarkable for their simplicity, and total absence of later legendary ornament; and particularly for the circumstantial air of &c., art. "Cyprian." truth with which they do justice to the

regularity of the whole proceeding. Compare the Life of Cyprian by the Deacon Pontius; the Acts, in Ruinart, p. 216; Cave's Lives of the Apostles,

incidents. According to their account, having been decoyed by the enemy, or misled by a treacherous friend, into a marsh where he could neither fight nor fly, he perished tamely, and his unburied body was left to the beasts and carrion fowls." The captivity of Valerian, the mystery which hung over his death, allowed ample scope to the imagination of those whose national hatred of the barbarians would attribute the most unmanly ferocity to the Persian conqueror, and of those who would consider their God exalted by the most cruel and debasing sufferings inflicted on the oppressor of the Church. Valerian, it was said, was forced to bend his back that the proud conqueror might mount his horse. as from a footstool; his skin was flayed off (according to one more modern account, while he was alive), stuffed, and exposed to the mockery of the Persian rabble.

The luxurious and versatile Gallienus restored peace to the Church. The edict of Valerian was Gallienus rescinded; the bishops resumed their public A.D. 260. functions; the buildings were restored, and their property, which had been confiscated by the state, restored to the rightful owners.ⁿ

The last transient collision of Christianity with the Government before its final conflict under Dio-Aurelian. cletian, took place, or was at least threatened, A.D. 271-275. during the administration of the great Aurelian. The reign of Aurelian, occupied by warlike campaigns in every part of the world, left little time for attention to the internal police, or the religious interests, of the empire. The mother of Aurelian was priestess of the Sun at Sirmium, and the Emperor built a temple to

Tat. Constant, apud Euseb. c. xxiv. Lactant. de Mort. Persec.
Euseb. vii. 13; x. 23.

that deity, his tutelary god, at Rome. But the dangerous wars of Aurelian required the concurrent aid of all the deities who took an interest in the fate of Rome. The sacred ceremony of consulting the Sibylline books, in whose secret and mysterious leaves were written the destinies of Rome, took place at his command. The severe Emperor reproaches the senate for their want of faith in these mystic volumes, or of zeal in the public service, as though they had been infected by the principles of Christianity.°

But there were no hostile measures taken against Christianity in the early part of his reign; and he was summoned to take upon himself the extraordinary office of arbiter in a Christian controversy. A new empire seemed rising in the East, under the warlike Queen of Palmyra. Zenobia extended her protection, with politic indifference, to Jew, to Pagan, and to Christian. It might also appear that a kindred spiritual ambition

Paul of Samosata, the Bishop of Antioch, and that he aspired to found a new religion, adapted to the kingdom of Palmyra, by blending together the elements of Paganism, of Judaism, and of Christianity. Ambitious, dissolute, and rapacious, according to the representation of his adversaries, Paul of Samosata had been advanced to the important see of Antioch; but the zealous vigilance of the neighbouring bishops soon discovered that Paul held opinions, as to the mere human nature of the Saviour, more nearly allied to Judaism than to the Christian creed. The pride, the wealth, the state of Paul, no less offended the feelings, and put to shame

 $^{^{\}rm o}$ Read the Life of Aurelian by Vopiscus, one of the best, at least most careful, in that unequal collection.

the more modest demeanour and the humbler pretensions of former prelates. He had obtained, either from the Roman authorities or from Zenobia, a civil magistracy, and prided himself more on his title of ducenary than of Christian bishop. He passed through the streets environed by guards, and preceded and followed by multitudes of attendants and supplicants, whose petitions he received and read with the stately bearing of a public officer rather than the affability of a prelate. His conduct in the ecclesiastical assemblies was equally overbearing: he sat on a throne, and, while he indulged himself in every kind of theatric gesture, resented the silence of those who did not receive him with applause, or pay homage to his dignity. His magnificence disturbed the modest solemnity of the ordinary worship. Instead of the simpler music of the Church, the hymns, in which the voices of the worshippers mingled in fervent, if less harmonious, unison, Paul organised a regular choir, in which the soft tones of female voices, in their more melting and artificial cadences, sometimes called to mind the voluptuous rites of Paganism, and could not be heard without shuddering by those accustomed to the more unadorned ritual.p The Hosannas, sometimes introduced as a kind of salutation to the bishop, became, it was said, the chief part of the service, which was rather to the glory of Paul than of the Lord. This introduction of a new and effeminate ceremonial would of itself, with its rigid adversaries, have formed a ground for the charge of dissolute morals, against which may be fairly urged the avowed patronage of the severe Zenobia.4 But the

P *Ων καὶ ἄκουσας ἄν τις φρίξειεν. Such is the expression in the decree of excommunication issued by the bishops. Such is the expression in the decree of excommunication issued by the bishops. Such is the expression in the decree of excommunication issued by the bishops.

pomp of Paul's expenditure did not interfere with the accumulation of considerable wealth, which he extorted from the timid zeal of his partisans, and, it was said, by the venal administration of the judicial authority of his episcopate, perhaps of his civil magistracy. But Paul by no means stood alone; he had a powerful party among the ecclesiastical body, the chorepiscopi of the country districts, and the presbyters of the city. He set at defiance the synod of bishops, who pronounced a solemn sentence of excommunication; r and, secure under the protection of the Queen of Palmyra, if her ambition should succeed in wresting Syria, with its noble capital, from the power of Rome, and in maintaining her strong and influential position between the conflicting powers of Persia and the Empire, Paul might hope to share in her triumph, and establish his degenerate but splendid form of Christianity in the very seat of its primitive Apostolic foundation. Paul had staked his success upon that of his warlike patroness; and on the fall of Zenobia, the bishops appealed to Aurelian to expel the rebel against their authority, and the partisan of the Palmyrenes, who had taken arms against the majesty of the empire, from his episcopal dignity at Antioch. Aurelian did not altogether refuse to interfere in this unprecedented cause. but, with laudable impartiality, declined any actual cognisance of the affair, and transferred the sentence from the personal enemies of Paul, the Bishops of Syria, to those of Rome and Italy. By their sentence, Paul was degraded from his episcopate.

The sentiments of Aurelian changed towards Christi-

r See the sentence in Eusebius, vii. 30, and in Routh, Reliquiæ Sacræ, ii. 465, et seq.

anity near the close of his reign. The severity of his character, reckless of human blood, would not, if committed in the strife, have hesitated at any measures to subdue the rebellious spirit of his subjects. Sanguinary edicts were issued, though his death prevented their general promulgation; and in the fate of Aurelian the Christians discovered another instance of the Divine vengeance, which appeared to mark their enemies with the sign of inevitable and appalling destruction.

Till the reign of Diocletian, the Churches reposed in undisturbed but enervating security.

CHAPTER IX.

The Persecution under Diocletian.

The final contest between Paganism and Christianity drew near. Almost three hundred years had elapsed since the divine Author of the new religion had entered

upon his mortal life in a small village in Palestine; and now, having gained so powerful an ascendancy over the civilised world, the Gospel was to undergo its last and most trying ordeal, before it should assume the reins of empire, and become the established religion of the Roman world. It was to sustain the deliberate and systematic attack of the temporal authority, arming, in almost every part of the empire, in Peace of the defence of the ancient Polytheism. At this Christianis. crisis, it is important to survey the state of Christianity, as well as the character of the sovereign and of the government, which made this ultimate and most vigorous attempt to suppress the triumphant progress of the new faith.

The last fifty years, with a short interval of menaced, probably of actual, persecution, during the reign of Aurelian, had passed in peace and security. The Christians had become not merely a public, but an imposing and influential, body; their separate existence had been recognised by the law of Gallienus; their churches had arisen in most of the cities of the empire; as yet, probably, with no great pre-

a Diocletian began his reign A.D. 284. The commencement of the persecution is dated A.D. 303.

tensions to architectural grandeur, though no doubt ornamented by the liberality of the worshippers, and furnished with vestments, and with chalices, lamps, and chandeliers of silver. The number of these buildings was constantly on the increase, or the crowding multitudes of proselytes demanded the extension of the narrow and humble walls. The Christians no longer declined, or refused to aspire to, the honours of the state. They filled offices of distinction, and even of supreme authority, in the provinces, and in the army; they were exempted, either by tacit connivance or direct indulgence, from the accustomed sacrifices. Progress of Among the more immediate attendants on the Christianity. Emperor, two or three openly professed the Christian faith. Prisca the wife, and Valeria, the daughter of Diocletian and wife of Galerius, were suspected, if not avowed, partakers of the Christian mysteries.^b If it be impossible to form the most remote approximation to their relative numbers with that of the Pagan population, it is equally erroneous to estimate their strength and influence by numerical calculation. All political changes are wrought by a compact, organised, and disciplined minority. The mass of mankind are shown by experience, and appear fated by the constitution of our nature, to follow any vigorous impulse from a determined and incessantly aggressive few.

The long period of prosperity had produced in the Christian community its usual consequences, some relaxation of morals: but Christian charity had probably suffered more than Christian morals—of Christian purity. The more flourishing and extensive the community, the more the pride, perhaps the

b Euseb, Ecc. Hist. viii. 1.

temporal advantages, of superiority, predominated over the Christian motives which led men to aspire to the supreme functions in the Church. Sacerdotal domination began to exercise its awful powers, and the bishop to assume the language and the authority of the vicegerent of God. Feuds distracted the bosom of the peaceful communities, and disputes sometimes proceeded to open violence. Such is the melancholy confession of the Christians themselves, who, according to the spirit of the times, considered the dangers and the afflictions to which they were exposed in the light of divine judgements; and deplored, perhaps with something of the exaggeration of religious humiliation, the visible decay of holiness and peace.c But it is the strongest proof of the firm hold of a party, whether religious or political, upon the public mind, when it may offend with impunity against its own primary principles. That which at one time is a sign of incurable weakness or approaching dissolution, at another seems but the excess of healthful energy and the evidence of unbroken vigour.

The acts of Diocletian are the only trustworthy history of his character. The son of a slave, or, at all events,

born of obscure and doubtful parentage, who could force his way to sovereign power, conceive and accomplish the design of reconstructing the whole empire, must have been a man, at least, of strong political courage, of profound, if not always wise and statesmanlike views. In the person of Diocletian, the Emperor of Rome became an Oriental monarch. The old republican forms were disdainfully cast aside; consuls and tribunes gave way to new officers, with adulatory and un-Roman appellations. Diocletian him-

e Euseb, Ecc. Hist. viii, 1.

self assumed the new title of Dominus or Lord, which gave offence even to the servile and flexible religion of his Pagan subjects, who reluctantly, at first, paid the homage of adoration to the master of the world.

Nor was the ambition of Diocletian of a narrow or personal character. With the pomp, he did not Diocletian. affect the solitude, of an Eastern despot. The Change in the state of the necessity of the state appeared to demand the empire. active and perpetual presence of more than one person invested with sovereign authority, who might organise the decaying forces of the different divisions of the empire, against the menacing hosts of barbarians on every frontier. Two Augusti and two Cæsars shared the dignity and the cares of the public administration^d a measure, if expedient for the security, fatal to the prosperity, of the exhausted provinces, which found themselves burdened with the maintenance of four imperial establishments. A new system of taxation was imperatively demanded, and relentlessly introduced,e while the Emperor seemed to mock the bitter and ill-suppressed murmurs of the provinces, by his lavish expenditure in magnificent and ornamental buildings. That was attributed to the avarice of Diocletian, which arose out of the change in the form of government, and in some degree out of his sumptuous taste in that particular department, the embellishment, not of Rome only, but of the chief cities of the empire-Milan, Carthage, and Nicomedia. At one time, the all-pervading government aspired, after a season of scarcity, to regulate

sen, by Manso, there is a good discus- Caracalla made it impossible to mainsion on the authority and relative tain the exemptions and immunities

d In the Leben Constantins des Gros- | citizenship to the whole empire by position of the Augusti and the Cæsars. which that privilege had thus lavishly

The extension of the rights of conferred.

the prices of all commodities, and of all interchange, whether of labour or of bargain and sale, between man and man. This singular and gigantic effort of wellmeaning but mistaken despotism has come to light in the present day. f

Among the innovations introduced by Diocletian, Neglect of none, perhaps, was more closely connected with the interests of Christianity than the virtual degradation of Rome from the capital of the empire, by the constant residence of the Emperor in other cities. Though the old metropolis was not altogether neglected in the lavish expenditure of the public wealth upon new edifices, either for the convenience of the people or the splendour of public solemnities, yet a larger share fell to the lot of other towns, particularly of Nicomedia.^g In this city, the Emperor more frequently displayed the new state of his imperial court, while Rome was rarely honoured by his presence. Nor was his retreat, when wearied with political strife, on the Campanian coast, in the Bay of Baiæ, which the older Romans had girt with their splendid seats of retirement and luxury; it was on the Illyrian and barbarous side of the Adriatic that the palace of Diocletian arose, and his agricultural establishment spread its narrow belt of fertility. The removal of the seat of government more clearly discovered the magnitude of the danger to the existing institutions from the progress of Christianity. The East was, no doubt, more fully peopled with Christians than any part of the Western world, unless, perhaps, the province of Africa; at all events, their relative rank.

f Edict of Diocletian, published and illustrated by Col. Leake. It is alluded | miam studens urbi Romæ coæquare. to in the Treatise De Mortibus De Mort, Persecut, C. vii. Persecut. C. vii.

g Ita semper dementabat, Nicode-

wealth, and importance, much more nearly balanced that of the adherents of the old Polytheism.h In Rome. the ancient majesty of the national religion must still have kept down in comparative obscurity the aspiring rivalry of Christianity. The Prætor still made way for the pontifical order, and submitted his fasces to the vestal virgin, while the Christian bishop pursued his humble and unmarked way. The modest church or churches of the Christians lay hid, no doubt, in some sequestered street or in the obscure Transteverine region, and did not venture to contrast themselves with the stately temples on which the ruling people of the world and the sovereigns of mankind had for ages lavished their treasures. However the church of the metropolis of the world might maintain a high rank in Christian estimation, might boast its antiquity, its Apostolic origin, or at least of being the scene of Apostolic martyrdom, and might number many distinguished proselytes in all ranks, even in the imperial court; still Paganism, in this stronghold of its most gorgeous pomp, its hereditary sanctity, its intimate connexion with all the institutions, and its incorporation with the whole ceremonial

manners at that time, inveigh against the vices of an opulent and luxurious community: splendid dresses, jewels, gold and silver vessels, rich banquets, gilded litters and chariots, and private baths. The ladies kept Indian birds, Median peacocks, monkeys, and Maltese dogs, instead of maintaining widows and orphans; the men had multitudes of slaves. The sixth chapter of the third book—"that the Christian alone is rich"—would have been unmeaning if addressed to a poor community.

h Tertullian, Apolog. c. 37. Mr. Coneybeare (Bampton Lectures, page 345) has drawn a curious inference from a passage in this chapter of Tertullian, that the majority of those who had a right of citizenship in those cities had embraced the Christian faith, while the mobs were its most furious opponents. It appears unquestionable that the strength of Christianity lay in the middle, perhaps the mercantile, classes. The last two books of the Paidagogos of Clement of Alexandria, the most copious authority for Christian

of public affairs; in Rome, must have maintained at least its outward supremacy. But, in comparison with the less imposing dignity of the municipal government or the local priesthood, the Bishop of Antioch or Nicomedia was a far greater person than the predecessor of the popes among the consulars and the senate, the hereditary aristocracy of the old Roman families or the ministers of the ruling Emperor. In Nicomedia, the Christian church, an edifice at least of considerable strength and solidity, stood on an eminence commanding the town, and conspicuous above the palace of the sovereign.

Diocletian might seem born to accomplish that revolution which took place so soon after, under the reign of Constantine. The new constitution of the empire might appear to require a reconstruction of the religious system. The Emperor, who had not scrupled to accommodate the form of the government, without respect to the ancient majesty of Rome, to the present position of affairs—to degrade the capital itself into the rank of a provincial city—and to prepare the way, at least, for the removal of the seat of government to the East, would have been withheld by no scruples of veneration for ancient rites or ancestral ceremonies, if the establishment of a new religion had appeared

Optatus, lib. ii., states that there

were more than forty churches in Rome at the time of the persecution of Diocletian. It has been usual to calculate one church for each presbyter; which would suppose a falling-off, at least no increase, during the interval. But some of the presbyters reckoned by Cornelius may have been superannuated, or in prison, and their place supplied by others.

In a letter of Cornelius, bishop of Rome, written during or soon after the reign of Decius, the ministerial establishment of the Church in Rome is thus stated:—One bishop; forty-six presbyters; seven deacons; seven subdeacons; forty-two acolyths or attendants; fifty-two exorcists, readers, and doorkeepers; fifteen hundred widows and poor. Euseb, vi. 43.

to harmonise with his general policy. But his mind was not yet ripe for such a change; nor perhaps his knowledge of Christianity and its profound and unseen influence, sufficiently extensive. In his assumption of the title Jovius, while his colleague took that of Herculius, Diocletian gave a public pledge of his attachment to the old Polytheism. Among the cares of his administration, he by no means neglected the purification of the ancient religions.k In Paganism itself, New Paganthat silent but manifest change, of which we ism. have already noticed the commencement, had been creeping on. The new philosophic Polytheism which Julian attempted to establish on the ruins of Christianity was still endeavouring to supersede the older poetic faith of the Heathen nations. It had not even vet come to sufficient maturity to offer itself as a formidable antagonist to the religion of Christ. This new Paganism, as has been observed, arose out of the alliance of the philosophy and the religion of the old world. These once implacable adversaries had reconciled their differences, and coalesced against the common enemy. Christianity itself had no slight influence upon the formation of the new system; and now an Eastern element, more and more strongly dominant, mingled with the whole, and lent it, as it were, a visible object of worship. From Christianity, the new Paganism had adopted the Unity of the Deity; and scrupled not to degrade all the gods of the older world into subordinate dæmons or ministers. The Christians had worship of incautiously held the same language: both the Sun. concurred in the name of dæmons; but the Pagans used the phrase in the Platonic sense, as good, but sub-

^{*} Veterrimæ religiones castissimè curatæ. Aurel. Vict. de Cæsar.

ordinate, spirits; while the same term spoke to the Christian ear as expressive of malignant and diabolic But the Jupiter Optimus Maximus was not the great Supreme of the new system. The universal deity of the East, the Sun, to the philosophic was the emblem or representative, to the vulgar, the Deity. Diocletian himself, though he paid so much deference to the older faith as to assume the title of Jovius, as belonging to the Lord of the world, yet, on his accession, when he would exculpate himself from all concern in the murder of his predecessor Numerian, appealed in the face of the army to the all-seeing deity of the Sun. It is the oracle of Apollo of Miletus, consulted by the hesitating Emperor, which is to decide the fate of Christianity. The metaphorical language of Christianity had unconsciously lent strength to this new adversary; and, in adoring the visible orb, some, no doubt, supposed that they were not departing far from the worship of the "Sun of Righteousness." m

But though it might enter into the imagination of an imperious and powerful sovereign to fuse together all these conflicting faiths, the new Paganism was beginning to advance itself as the open and most dangerous adversary of the religion of Christ. Hierocles, the great Hierophant of the Platonic Paganism, is distinctly named as the author of the persecution under Diocletian.ⁿ

Thus, then, an irresistible combination of circumstances tended to precipitate the fatal crisis. The whole

Reliquiæ Sacræ, i. 339.

m Hermogenes, one of the older heresiarchs, applied the text "he has placed his tabernacle in the sun" to Christ, and asserted that Christ had put off his body in the sun. Pantænus ap. Routh,

n Another philosophic writer published a work against the Christians. See Fleury, p. 452, from Tertullian.

political scheme of Diocletian was incomplete, unless some distinct and decided course was taken with these self-governed corporations, who rendered, according to the notions of the time, such imperfect allegiance to the sovereign power. But the cautious disposition of Diocletian, his deeper insight, perhaps, into the real nature of the struggle which would take place; his advancing age, and, possibly, the latent and depressing influence of the malady which may then have been hanging over him, and which, a short time after, brought him to the brink of the grave; o these concurrent motives would induce him to shrink from violent measures: to recommend a more temporising policy; and to consent, with difficult reluctance, to the final committal of the imperial authority in a contest in which the complete submission of the opposite party could only be expected by those who were altogether ignorant of its strength. The imperial power had much to lose in an unsuccessful contest; it was likely to gain, if successful, only a temporary and external conquest. On the one hand, it was urged by the danger of permitting a vast and self-governed body to coexist with the general institutions of the empire; on the other, if not a civil war, a contest which would array one part of almost every city of the empire against the other in domestic hostility, might appear even of more perilous consequence to the public welfare.

The party of the old religion, now strengthened by

• The charge of derangement, which | treat, the respect paid to him by his turbulent and ambitious colleagues; and the involuntary influence which he

rests on the authority of Constantine, as related by Eusebius, is sufficiently confuted by the dignity of his abdica- still appeared to exercise over the tion, the placid content with which affairs of the empire. he appeared to enjoy his peaceful re-

the accession of the philosophic faction, risked nothing, and might expect much, from the vigorous, systematic, and universal intervention of the civil authority. It was clear that nothing less would restore its superiority to the decaying cause of Polytheism. Nearly three centuries of tame and passive connivance, or of open toleration, had only increased the growing power of Christianity, while it had not in the least allayed that spirit of moral conquest which avowed that its ultimate end was the total extinction of idolatry.

But in the army, the parties were placed in more inevitable opposition; and in the army commenced the first overt acts of hostility, which were the prognostics of the general persecution.^p Nowhere did the old Roman religion retain so much hold upon the mind as among the sacred eagles. Without sacrifice to the givers of victory, the superstitious soldiery would advance, divested of their usual confidence, against the enemy; and defeat was ascribed to some impious omission in the ceremonial of propitiating the gods. The Christians now formed no unimportant part in the army: though permitted by the ruling authorities to abstain from idolatrous conformity, their contempt of the auspices which promised, and of the rites which insured, the divine favour, would be looked upon with equal awe and animosity. The unsuccessful general, and the routed army, would equally seize every excuse to cover the misconduct of the one, or the cowardice of the other. In the pride of victory, the present deities of Rome would share the honour with Roman valour:

 $^{^{\}rm p}$ 'Εκ τῶν ἐν στρατείαις ἀδελφῶν καταρχομένου τοῦ διωγμοῦ. Euseb. viii, 1. Compare ch. iv.

the assistance of the Christians would be forgotten in defeat; the resentment of the gods, to whom that defeat would be attributed, would be ascribed by the Pagans to the impiety of their godless comrades. An incident of this kind took place, during one of his campaigns, in the presence of Diocletian. The army was assembled around the altar; the sacrificing priest in vain sought for the accustomed signs in the entrails of the victin; the sacrifice was again and again repeated, but always with the same result. The baffled soothsayer, trembling with awe or with indignation, denounced the presence of profane strangers. The Christians had been seen to make, perhaps boasted that they had made, the sign of the cross, and put to flight the impotent dæmons of idolatrous worship. They were apprehended, and commanded to sacrifice; and a general edict was issued that all who refused to pay honour to the martial deities of Rome should be expelled from the army. It is far from improbable that frequent incidents of this nature may have occurred; if in the unsuccessful campaign of Galerius in the East, nothing was more likely to embitter the mind of that violent Emperor against the whole community. Nor would this animosity be allayed by the success with which Galerius retrieved his former failure. While the impiety of the Christians would be charged with all the odium of defeat, they would never be permitted to participate in the glories of victory.

During the winter of the year of Christ 302–3, the great question of the policy to be adopted towards the Christians was debated, first in a private conference between Diocletian and Galerius. Diocletian, though urged by his more vehement partner in the empire, was averse from sanguinary proceedings, from bloodshed and confusion; he was in-

clined to more temperate measures, which would degrade the Christians from every post of rank or authority, and expel them from the palace and the army. The palace itself was divided by conflicting factions. Some of the chief officers of Diocletian's household openly professed Christianity; his wife and his daughter were at least favourably disposed to the same cause; while the mother of Galerius, a fanatical worshipper, probably of Cybele, was seized with a spirit of proselytism, and celebrated almost every day a splendid sacrifice, followed by a banquet, at which she required the presence of the whole court. The pertinacious resistance of the Christians provoked her implacable resentment; and her influence over her son was incessantly employed to inflame his mind to more active animosity.

Diocletian at length consented to summon a council, formed of some persons versed in the administration of the law, and some military men. Of these, one party were already notoriously hostile to Christianity; the rest were courtiers, who bent to every intimation of the imperial favour. Diocletian still prolonged his resistance, till, either to give greater solemnity to the decree, or to identify their measures more completely with the cause of Polytheism, it was determined to consult the oracle of Apollo at Miletus. The answer of the oracle might be anticipated; and Diocletian submitted to the irresistible united authority

q Hierocles, the philosopher, was probably a member of this council. Mosheim, p. 922,

^{*} According to the unfriendly representation of the author of the treatise De Mort. Pers., whose view of Diocletian's character is confirmed by Eutro-

pius, it was the crafty practice of Diocletian to assume all the merit of popular measures as emanating from himself alone, while, in those which were unpopular, he pretended to act altogether by the advice of others.

of his friends, of Galerius, and of the God, and contented himself with moderating the severity of the edict. Galerius proposed that all who refused to sacrifice should be burned alive: Diocletian stipulated that there should be no loss of life.

A fortunate day was chosen for the execution of the imperial decree. The feast of Terminalia was Edict of perinseparably connected with the stability of the secution. Roman power; that power which was so manifestly endangered by the progress of Christianity. At the dawn of day, the Prefect of the city appeared Its publicaat the door of the church in Nicomedia, at- tion. tended by the officers of the city and of the court. The doors were instantly thrown down; the Pagans beheld with astonishment the vacant space, and sought in vain for the statue of the deity. The sacred books were instantly burned, and the rest of the furniture of the building plundered by the tumultuous soldiery. The Emperors commanded from the palace a full view of the tumult and spoliation, for the church stood Its execution on a height at no great distance; and Galerius in Nicomedia. wished to enjoy the spectacle of a conflagration of the building. The more prudent Diocletian, fearing that the fire might spread to the splendid edifices which adjoined it, suggested a more tardy and less imposing plan of demolition. The pioneers of the Prætorian guard advanced with their tools, and in a few hours the whole building was razed to the ground.

The Christians made no resistance, but awaited in silent consternation the promulgation of the fatal edict. On the next morning it appeared. It was framed in terms of the sternest and most rigorous proscription, short of the punishment of death. It comprehended all ranks and orders under its sweeping and inevitable

provisions. Throughout the empire, the churches of the Christians were to be levelled with the ground; the public existence of the religion was thus to be annihilated. The sacred books were to be delivered, under pain of death, by their legitimate guardians, the bishops and presbyters, to the imperial officers, and publicly burnt. The philosophic party thus hoped to extirpate those pernicious writings with which they in vain con-

tested the supremacy of the public mind.

The property of the churches, whether endowments in land or furniture, was confiscated; all public assemblies, for the purposes of worship, prohibited; the Christians of rank and distinction were degraded from all their offices, and declared incapable of filling any situation of trust or authority; those of the plebeian order were deprived of the right of Roman citizenship, which secured the sanctity of their persons from corporal chastisement or torture; slaves were declared incapable of claiming or obtaining liberty; the whole race were placed without the pale of the law, disqualified from appealing to its protection in case of wrong, as of personal injury, of robbery, or adultery; while they were liable to civil actions, bound to bear all the burdens of the state, and amenable to all its penalties. In many places, an altar was placed before the tribunal of justice. on which the plaintiff was obliged to sacrifice, before his cause could obtain a hearing.s

No sooner had this edict been affixed in the customary Edict torn / place, than it was torn down by the hand of a rash and indignant Christian, who added insult to his offence by a contemptuous inscription: "Such are the victories of the Emperors over the Goths and Sar-

[•] Euseb. viii. 2. De Mort. Persecut. apud Lactantium.

matians."t This outrage on the Imperial majesty was expiated by the death of the delinquent, who avowed his glorious crime. Although less discreet Christians might secretly dignify the sufferings of the victim with the honours of martyrdom, they could only venture to approve the patience with which he bore the agony of being roasted alive by a slow fire."

The prudence or the moderation of Diocletian had rejected the more violent and sanguinary counsels of the Cæsar, who had proposed that all who refused to sacrifice should be burned alive. But his personal terrors triumphed over the lingering influence of compassion or justice. On a sudden, a fire burst Fire in the out in the palace of Nicomedia, which spread Nicomedia. almost to the chamber of the Emperor. The real origin of this fatal conflagration is unknown; and notwithstanding the various causes to which it was ascribed by the fears, the malice, and the superstition of the different classes, we may probably refer the whole to accident. It may have arisen from the hasty or injudicious construction of a palace built but recently. One account ascribes it to lightning. If this opinion obtained general belief among the Christian party, it would, no doubt, be considered, by many, a visible sign of the Divine vengeance, on account of the promulgation of the imperial edict. The Christians were accused by the indignant voice of the Heathen; they retorted, by throwing the guilt upon the Emperor Galerius, who had practised (so the ecclesiastical historian suggests) the part of a secret incendiary, in order to criminate the Christians and alarm Dioclesian into his more violent measures.x

The obvious impolicy of such a measure, as the

¹ Mosheim, De Reb. Christ.

Euseb. viii. 5.

^{*} Euseb. viii, 6.

chance of actually destroying both their imperial enemies in the fire must have been very remote, and as it could only darken the subtle mind of Diocletian with the blackest suspicions and madden Galerius to more unmeasured hostility, must acquit the Christians of any such design, even if their high principles, their sacred doctrines of peaceful submission under the direst persecution, did not place them above all suspicion. The only Christian who would have incurred the guilt, or provoked upon his innocent brethren the danger inseparable from such an act, would have been some desperate fanatic, like the man who tore down the edict. And such a man would have avowed and gloried in the act; he would have courted the ill-deserved honours of martyrdom. The silence of Constantine may clear Galerius of the darker charge of contriving, by these base and indirect means, the destruction of a party against which he proceeded with undisguised hostility. Galerius, however, as if aware of the full effect with which such an event would work on the mind of Diocletian immediately left Nicomedia, declaring that he could not consider his person safe within that city.

The consequences of this fatal conflagration were disastrous, to the utmost extent which their worst enemies could desire, to the whole Christian community. The officers of the household, the inmates of the palace, were exposed to the most cruel tortures, by the order, it is said in the presence, of Diocletian. Even the females of the Imperial family were not exempt, if from the persecution, from that suspicion which demanded the clearest evidence of their Paganism. Prisca and Valeria were constrained to pollute themselves with sacrifice; the powerful eunuchs, Dorotheus and Gorgonius and Andreas, suffered death; Anthimus, the

Bishop of Nicomedia, was beheaded. Many were executed, many burnt alive, many laid bound, with stones round their necks, in boats, rowed into the midst of the lake, and thrown into the water.

From Nicomedia, the centre of the persecution, the imperial edicts were promulgated, though with less than the usual rapidity, through the East. tion becomes Letters were despatched requiring the cooperation of the Western Emperors, Maximian, the associate of Diocletian, and the Cæsar Constantius, in the restoration of the dignity of the ancient religion, and the suppression of the hostile faith. Constantius made a show of concurrence in the measures of his colleagues; he commanded the demolition of the churches, but abstained from all violence against the persons of the Christians.y Gaul alone, his favoured province, was not defiled by Christian blood. fiercer temper of Maximian only awaited the signal, and readily acceded, to carry into effect the barbarous edicts of his colleagues.

In almost every part of the world, Christianity found itself at once assailed by the full force of the civil power, constantly goaded on by the united influence of the Pagan priesthood and the philosophic party. Nor was Diocletian, now committed in the desperate strife, content with the less tyrannical and sanguinary edict of Nicomedia. Vague rumours of insurrection, some tumultuary risings in regions which were densely peopled with Christians, and even the enforced assumption of

stantine throws back some of its adulation upon his father, makes Constantius a Christian, with the Christian service ante Const. Mag. p. 929-935. regularly performed in his palace. Vit.

y Eusebius, whose panegyric on Con- | Constant. c. 33. The exaggeration of this statement is exposed by Pagi, ad ann. 303, n. viii. Mosheim, De Rebus

the purple by two adventurers, one in Armenia, another in Antioch, seemed to countenance the charges of political ambition, and the design of armed and vigorous resistance.

It is the worst evil of religious contests that the civil power cannot retract without the humiliating confession of weakness, and must go on increasing in the severity of its measures. It soon finds that there is no success short of the extermination of the adversary; and it has but the alternative of acknowledged failure or this internecine warfare. The demolition of the churches might remove objects offensive to the wounded pride of the dominant Polytheism; the destruction of the sacred books might gratify the jealous hostility of the philosophic party; but not a single community was dissolved. The precarious submission of the weaker Christians only confirmed the more resolute opposition of the stronger and more heroic adherents of Christianity.

Edict followed edict, rising in regular gradations of angry barbarity. The whole clergy were declared enemies of the state; they were seized wherever a hostile Prefect chose to put forth his boundless authority; and bishops, presbyters, and deacons were crowded into the prisons intended for the basest malefactors. A new rescript prohibited the liberation of any of these prisoners, unless they should consent to offer sacrifice.

During the promulgation of these rescripts, Diocletian celebrated his triumph in Rome; he held a conference with the Cæsar of Africa, who entered into his rigorous

measures. On his return to Nicomedia, he was seized with that long and depressing malady which, whether or not it affected him with temporary derangement, secluded him within the impenetrable precincts of the palace, whose sacred secrets were for-

bidden to be betrayed to the popular ear. This rigid concealment gave currency to every kind of gloomy rumour. The whole Roman world awaited with mingled anxiety, hope, and apprehension, the news of his dissolution. Diocletian, to the universal astonishment, appeared again in the robes of empire; to the still greater general astonishment, he ADD 304. appeared only to lay them aside, to abdicate the throne, and to retire to the peaceful occupation of his palace and agricultural villa on the Illyrian shore of the Adriatic. His colleague Maximian, with ill-dissembled reluctance, followed the example of his associate, patron, and coad-

jutor in the empire.

The great scheme of Dioclesian, the joint administration of the empire by associate Augusti, with their subordinate Cæsars, if it had averted for a time the dismemberment of the empire, and had infused some vigour into the provincial governments, had introduced other evils of appalling magnitude; but its fatal consequences were more manifest directly the master hand was withdrawn which had organised the new machine of government. Fierce jealousy succeeded at once among the rival Emperors to decent concord; all subordination was lost; and a succession of civil wars between the contending sovereigns distracted the whole General world. The earth groaned under the separate misery. tyranny of its many masters; and, according to the strong expression of a rhetorical writer, the grinding taxation had so exhausted the proprietors and the cultivators of the soil, the merchants, and the artisans, that none remained to tax but beggars. The sufferings of the Christians, however, still inflicted with unremitting

^{*} De Mort. Persecut. c. xxiii.

barbarity, were lost in the common sufferings of mankind. The rights of Roman citizenship, which had been violated in their persons, were now universally neglected; and, to extort money, the chief persons of the towns, the unhappy decurions, who were responsible for the payment of the contributions, were put to the torture. Even the punishment, the roasting by a slow fire,—invented to force the conscience of the devout Christians,—was borrowed, in order to wring the re-

luctant impost from the unhappy provincial.

The abdication of Diocletian left the most implacable Galerius Em- enemy of Christianity, Galerius, master of the East; and in the East the persecution of the Christians, as well as the general oppression of the subjects of the empire, continued in unmitigated Maximin severity. The nephew of Galerius the Cæsar, Maximin Daias, was the legitimate heir to his relentless violence of temper, and to his stern hostility to the Christian name. In the West, the assumption of the purple by Maxentius, the son of the abdicated Maximian (Herculius), had no unfavourable effect on the situation They suffered only with the rest of the Christians. of their fellow-subjects from the vices of Max-Maxentius. entius. If their matrons and virgins were not secure from his lust, it was the common lot of all who, although of the highest rank and dignity, might attract his insatiable passions. If a Christian matron, the wife of a senator, submitted to a voluntary death a rather than to the loss of her honour, it was her beauty, not her Christianity, which marked her out as the victim of the tyrant. It was not until Constantine began to develope his ambitious views of reuniting

² Euseb, viii, 14,

the dismembered monarchy, that Maxentius threw himself, as it were, upon the ancient gods of Rome, and identified his own cause with that of Polytheism.

At this juncture all eyes were turned towards the elder son of Constantius. If not already recognised by the prophetic glance of devout hope as the first Christian sovereign of Rome, he seemed placed by providential wisdom as the protector, as the head, of the Christian interest. The enemies of Christianity were his; and if he was not, as yet, bound by the hereditary attachment of a son to the religion of his mother Helena, his father Constantius had bequeathed him the wise example of humanity and toleration. Placed as a hostage in the hands of Galerius, Constantine had only escaped from the honourable captivity of the Eastern court, where he had been exposed to constant peril of his life, by the promptitude and rapidity of his movements. He had fled, and during the first stages maimed the post-horses which might have been employed in his pursuit. During the persecution of Diocletian, Constantius alone, of all the Emperors, by a dexterous appearance of submission, had screened the Christians of Gaul from the common lot of their brethren. Nor was it probable that Constantine would render, on this point, more willing allegiance to the sanguinary mandates of Galerius. At present, however, Constantine stood rather aloof from the affairs of Italy and the East; and till the resumption of the purple by the elder Maximian, his active mind was chiefly employed in the consolidation of his own power in Gaul, and the repulse of the German barbarians who threatened the frontier of the Rhine.

Notwithstanding that the persecution had now lasted for six or seven years, in no part of the world did VOL. II.

Christianity betray any signs of vital decay. It was far too deeply rooted in the minds of men, far too ex-A.D. 309. tensively promulgated, far too vigorously organized, not to endure this violent but unavailing shock. If its public worship was suspended, the believers met in secret, or cherished in the unassailable privacy of the heart the inalienable rights of conscience. If it suffered numerical loss, the body was not weakened by the severance of its more feeble and worthless members. The inert resistance of the general mass wearied out the vexatious and harassing measures of the Government. Their numbers secured them against general extermination; but, of course, the persecution fell most heavily upon the most eminent of the body; upon men who were deeply pledged by the sense of shame and honour, even if, in any case, the nobler motives of conscientious faith and courageous confidence in the truth of the religion were wanting, to bear with unvielding heroism the utmost barbarities of the persecutor. Those who submitted performed the hated ceremony with visible reluctance, with trembling hand, averted countenance, and deep remorse of heart; those who resisted to death were animated by the presence of multitudes who, if they dared not applaud, could scarcely conceal their admiration. Women crowded to kiss the hems of their garments, and their scattered ashes, or unburied bones, were stolen away by the devout zeal of their adherents, and already began to be treasured as incentives to faith and piety. It cannot be supposed that the great functionaries of the state, the civil or military governors, could be so universally seared to humanity, or so incapable of admiring these frequent examples of patient heroism, as not either to mitigate in some degree the sufferings which they were bound to

inflict, or even to feel some secret sympathy with the blameless victims whom they condemned. That sympathy might ripen, at a more fortunate period, into sentiments still more favourable to the Christian cause.

The most signal and unexpected triumph of Christianity was over the author of the persecution. While victory and success appeared to follow that party in the state which, if they had not as yet openly espoused the cause of Christianity, had unquestionably its most ardent prayers in their favour, the enemies of the Christians were smitten with the direct calamities, and the Almighty appeared visibly to exact the most awful vengeance for their sufferings. Galerius himself was forced, as it were, to implore mercy; not indeed in the attitude of penitence. but of profound humiliation, at the foot of the Christian altar. In the eighteenth year of his reign, the great persecutor lay expiring of a most loathsome malady. deep and fetid ulcer preyed on the lower regions of his body, and ate them away into a mass of living corruption. It is certainly singular that the disease, vulgarly called being "eaten of worms," should have been the destiny of Herod the Great, of Galerius, and of Philip II. of Spain. Physicians were sought from all quarters; every oracle was consulted in vain; that of Apollo suggested a cure which aggravated the virulence of the disease. Not merely the chamber, the whole palace, of Galerius is described as infected by the insupportable stench which issued from his wound; while the agonies which he suffered might have satiated the worst vengeance of the most unchristian enemy.

From the dying bed of Galerius issued an edict, which, while it condescended to apologise for Edict of Galerius, A.D. the past severities against the Christians, 311, April 30, under the specious plea of regard for the public welfare

and the unity of the state-while it expressed compassion for his deluded subjects, whom the Government was unwilling to leave in the forlorn condition of being absolutely without a religion—admitted to the fullest extent the total failure of the severe measures for the suppression of Christianity.^b It permitted the free and public exercise of the Christian religion. Its close was still more remarkable; it contained an earnest request to the Christians to intercede for the suffering Emperor in their supplications to their God. Whether this edict was dictated by wisdom, by remorse, or by superstitious terror: whether it was the act of a statesman, convinced by experience of the impolicy, or even the injustice, of his sanguinary acts; whether, in the agonies of his excruciating disease, his conscience was harassed by the thought of his tortured victims; or, having vainly solicited the assistance of his own deities, he would desperately endeavour to propitiate the favour, or, at least, allay the wrath, of the Christians' God; the whole Roman world was witness of the public and humiliating acknowledgment of defeat extorted from the dving Emperor. A few days after the promulgation of the edict. Galerius expired.

The edict was issued from Sardica, in the name of Galerius, of Licinius, and of Constantine. It accorded with the sentiments of the two latter: Maximin II. alone, the Cæsar of the East, whose peculiar jurisdiction extended over Syria and Egypt, rendered but an imperfect and reluctant obedience to the decree of toleration. His jealousy was, no doubt, excited by the omission of his name in the preamble to the edict; and he seized this excuse to discountenance its promul-

b Euseb, H. E. viii. 17.

gation in his provinces. Yet for a time he suppressed his profound and inveterate hostility to the Conduct of Christian name. He permitted unwritten orders Maximin in the East. to be issued to the municipal governors of the towns, and to the magistrates of the villages, to put an end to all violent proceedings. The zeal of Sabinus, the Prætorian Prefect of the East, supposing the milder sentiments of Galerius to be shared by Maximin, seems to have outrun the intentions of the Cæsar. A circular rescript appeared in the name of Sabinus, echoing the tone, though it did not go quite to the length, of the imperial edict. It proclaimed that "it had been the anxious wish of the divinity of the most mighty Emperors to reduce the whole empire to pay a harmonious and united worship to the immortal gods. But their elemency had at length taken compassion on the obstinate perversity of the Christians, and determined on desisting from their ineffectual attempts to force them to abandon their hereditary faith." The magistrates were instructed to communicate the contents of this letter to each other. The governors of the provinces, supposing at once that the letter of the Prefect contained the real sentiments of the Emperor, with merciful haste despatched orders to all persons in subordinate civil or military command, the magistrates both of the towns and the villages, who acted upon them with unhesitating obedience.c

The cessation of the persecution showed at once its extent. The prison doors were thrown open; the mines rendered up their condemned labourers. Everywhere long trains of Christians were seen hastening to the ruins of their churches and visiting the places sanctified by their former devotion. The public roads, the streets,

Euseb, ix. I.

and market-places of the towns were crowded with long processions, singing psalms of thanksgiving for their deliverance. Those who had maintained their faith under these severe trials passed triumphant in conscious, even if lowly pride, amid the flattering congratulations of their brethren; those who had failed in the hour of affliction hastened to reunite themselves with their God, and to obtain readmission into the flourishing and reunited fold. The Heathens themselves were astonished, it is said, at this signal mark of the power of the Christians' God, who had thus unexpectedly wrought so sudden a revolution in favour of his worshippers.^d

But the cause of the Christians might appear not yet sufficiently avenged. The East, the great scene of persecution, was not restored to prosperity or peace. It had neither completed nor expiated the eight years of relentless persecution. The six months of ap-

relentless persecution. The six months of apparent reconciliation were occupied by the Cæsar Maximin in preparing measures of more subtile and profound hostility. The situation of Maximin himself was critical and precarious. On the death of Galerius, he had seized on the government of

the whole of Asia, and the forces of the two Emperors, Licinius and Maximin, watched each other on either side of the Bosphorus, with jealous and ill-dissembled hostility. Throughout the West, the Emperors were favourable, or at least not inimical, to Christianity. The political difficulties, even the vices of Maximin, enforced the policy of securing the support of a large and influential body; he placed himself at the head of the Pagan interest in the East. A deliberate scheme was laid for the advancement of one party in

d Euseb. H. E. ix. 1.

the popular favour for the depression of the other. Measures were systematically taken to enfeeble the influence of Christianity, not by the authority of Government, but by poisoning the public mind, and infusing into it a settled and conscientious animosity. False Acts of Pilate were forged, intended to cast discredit on the Divine founder of Christianity; they were disseminated with the utmost activity. The streets of Antioch and other Eastern cities were placarded with the most calumnious statements of the origin of the Christian faith. The instructors of youth were directed to introduce them as lessons into the schools, to make their pupils commit them to memory; and boys were heard repeating, or grown persons chanting, the most scandalous blasphemies against the object of Christian adoration.e In Damascus, the old arts of compelling or persuading women to confess that they had been present at the rites of the Christians, which had ended in lawless and promiscuous licence, were renewed. The confession of some miserable prostitutes was submitted to the Emperor, published by his command, and disseminated throughout the Eastern cities, although the Christian rites had been long celebrated in those cities with the utmost publicity.f

The second measure of Maximin was the reorganisation of the Pagan religion in all its original Reorganisapomp, and more than its ancient power. A Paganism. complete hierarchy was established on the model of the Christian episcopacy. Provincial pontiffs, men of the highest rank, were nominated; they were inaugurated

cianus, previous to his martyrdom at the public mind. Routh, Reliquiæ Nicomedia, there is an allusion to these | Sacræ, iii. 286. Acts of Pilate, which shows that they | f Euseb, viii. 14.

e In the speech attributed to S. Lu- | had made considerable impression on

with a solemn and splendid ceremonial, and were distinguished by a tunic of white. The Emperor himself assumed the appointment to the pontifical offices in the different towns, which had in general rested with the local authorities. Persons of rank and opulence were prevailed on to accept these sacred functions, and were thus committed, by personal interest and corporate attachment, in the decisive struggle. Sacrifices were performed with the utmost splendour and regularity, and the pontiffs were invested with power to compel the attendance of all the citizens. The Christians were liable to every punishment or torture, short of death. The Pagan interest having thus become predominant in the greater cities, addresses were artfully suggested, and voted by the acclaiming multitude, imploring the interference of the Emperor to expel these enemies of the established religion from their walls. The rescripts of the Emperor were engraved on brass, and suspended in the public parts of the city. The example was set by Antioch, once the head-quarters, and still, no doubt, a stronghold of Christianity. Theotecnus, the logistes or chamberlain of the city, took the lead. A splendid image was erected to Jupiter Philius, and dedicated with all the imposing pomp of mystery, perhaps of Eastern magic.g As though they would enlist that strong spirit of mutual attachment which bound the Christians together, the ancient Jupiter was invested in the most engaging and divine attribute of the God of Christianity—he was the God of Love. Nicomedia. the capital of the East, on the entrance of the Emperor, presented an address to the same effect as those which had been already offered by Antioch, Tyre, and other

g Euseb, ix. 2, 3,

cities; and the Emperor affected to yield to this simultaneous expression of the general sentiment.

The first overt act of hostility was a prohibition to the Christians to meet in their cemeteries, Persecutions where probably their enthusiasm was wrought in the dominions of Maxto the utmost height by the sacred thoughts imin. associated with the graves of their martyrs. But the policy of Maximin, in general, confined itself to vexatious and harassing oppression, and to other punishments, which inflicted the pain and wretchedness without the dignity of dving for the faith: the persecuted had the sufferings, but not the glory, of martyrdom. Such, most likely, were the general orders of Maximin, though, in some places, the zeal of his officers may have transgressed the prescribed limits, it must not be said, of humanity. The Bishop and two inhabitants of Emesa, and Peter the Patriarch of Alexandria, obtained the honours of death. Lucianus, the Bishop of Antioch, was sent to undergo a public examination at Nicomedia; he died in prison. The greater number of victims suffered the less merciful punishment of mutilation or blinding. The remonstrances of Constantine were unavailing; the Emperor persisted in his cruel course; and is said to have condescended to an ingenious artifice to afflict the sensitive consciences of some persons of the higher orders who escaped less painful penalties. His banquets were served with victims previously slain in sacrifice, and his Christian guests were thus unconsciously betraved into a crime which the authority of St. Paul had not yet convinced the more scrupulous believers to be a matter of perfect indifference.h

The Emperor, in his public rescript in answer to the

h Euseb. ix. 7.

address from the city of Tyre, had, as it were, placed the issue of the contest on an appeal to Heaven. The Pagans appeal to the The gods of Paganism were asserted to be flourishing the benefactors of the human race; through their influence, the soil had yielded its annual increase; the genial air had not been parched by fatal droughts: the sea had neither been agitated with tempests nor swept by hurricanes; the earth, instead of being rocked by volcanic convulsions, had been the peaceful and fertile mother of its abundant fruits. Their own neighbourhood spoke the manifest favour of these benignant deities, in its rich fields waving with harvests, its flowery and luxuriant meadows, and in the mild and genial temperature of the air. A city so blest by its tutelary gods, in prudence as well as in justice, would expel those traitorous citizens whose impiety endangered these blessings, and would wisely purify its walls from the infection of their heavendespising presence.

But peace and prosperity by no means ensued upon the depression of the Christians. Notwithstanding the embellishment of the Heathen temples, the restoration of the Polytheistic ceremonial in more than ordinary pomp, and the nomination of the noblest citizens to the pontifical offices, every kind of calamity-tyranny, war, pestilence, and faminedepopulated the Asiatic provinces. Not the least scourge of the Pagan East was the Pagan Emperor himself. Christian writers may have exaggerated, they can scarcely have invented, the vices of Maximin. His lusts violated alike the honour of noble and plebeian The eunuchs, the purveyors for his families. Tyranny of passions, traversed the provinces, marked out those who were distinguished by fatal beauty, and conducted these extraordinary perquisitions with the most insolent indignity: where milder measures would not prevail, force was used. Nor was tyranny content with the gratification of its own licence: noble virgins, after having been dishonoured by the Emperor, were granted in marriage to his slaves; even those of the highest rank were consigned to the embraces of a barbarian husband. Valeria, the widow of Galerius, and the daughter of Diocletian, was first insulted by proposals of marriage from Maximin, whose wife was still living, and then forced to wander through the Eastern provinces in the humblest disguise, till, at length, she perished at Thessalonica by the still more unjustifiable sentence of Licinius.

The war of Maximin with Armenia was wantonly undertaken in a spirit of persecution. This War with earliest Christian kingdom was attached, in all Armenia. The zeal of recent proselytism, to the new religion. That part which acknowledged the Roman sway was commanded to abandon Christianity; and the legions of Rome were employed in forcing the reluctant kingdom to obedience.

But these were foreign calamities. Throughout the dominions of Maximin the summer rains did not fall; a sudden famine desolated the whole East; corn rose to an unprecedented price. Some large villages were entirely depopulated; many opulent families were reduced to beggary, and persons in a decent station sold their children as slaves. The rapacity of the Emperor aggravated the general misery. The granaries of individuals were seized, and their stores

i Euseb. ix. 8.

k The statement in the text of Eusebius, as it stands, is utterly incre-

dible—a measure of wheat at 2500 attics (drachms), from 701. to 801.

closed up by the imperial seal. The flocks and herds were driven away, to be offered in unavailing sacrifices to the gods. The court of the Emperor, in the mean time, insulted the general suffering by its excessive luxury: his foreign and barbarian troops lived in a kind of free quarters, in wasteful plenty, and plundered on all sides with perfect impunity. The scanty and unwholesome food produced its usual effect, a pestilential malady. Carbuncles broke out all over the bodies of those who were seized with the disorder, but particularly attacked the eyes, so that multitudes became helplessly and incurably blind. The houses of the wealthy, which were secure against the famine, seemed particularly marked out by the pestilence. The hearts of all classes were hardened by the extent of the calamity. The most opulent, in despair of diminishing the vast mass of misery, or of relieving the swarms of beggars who filled every town and city, gave up the fruitless endeavour. The Christians alone took a nobler and evangelic revenge upon their suffering enemies. They were active in allaying those miseries of which they were the common victims. The ecclesiastical historian claims no exemption for the Christians from the general calamity, but honourably boasts that they alone displayed the offices of humanity and brotherhood. They were everywhere, tending the living, and burying the dead. They distributed bread; they visited the in-

to those bodies the decent honours of burial. The myriads who perished, and were perishing, in a state of absolute desertion, could not but acknowledge that Christianity was stronger than love of kindred. The fears and the gratitude of mankind were equally awakened in their

fected houses; they scared away the dogs which preyed, in open day, on the bodies in the streets, and rendered

favour: the fears which could not but conclude these calamities to be the vengeance of Heaven for the persecutions of its favoured people; the gratitude to those who thus repaid good for evil in the midst of a hostile and exasperated society.^m

Before we turn our attention to the West, and follow the victorious career of Constantine to the reconsolidation of the empire in his person, and the triumph of Christianity through his favour, it may be more consistent with the distinct view of these proceedings to violate in some degree the order of time, and follow to its close the history of the Christian persecutions in the East.

Maximin took the alarm, and endeavoured, too late, to retrace his steps. He issued an edict, in Maximin which he avowed the plain principles of tole-retracts his persecuting ration, and ascribed his departure from that edict. salutary policy to the importunate zeal of his capital and of other cities, which he could not treat with disrespect, but which had demanded the expulsion of the Christians from their respective territories. He commanded the suspension of all violent measures, and recommended only mild and persuasive means to win back these apostates to the religion of their forefathers. The Christians, who had once been deluded by a show of mercy, feared to reconstruct their fallen edifices, or to renew their public assemblies, and awaited, in trembling expectation, the issue of the approaching contest with Licinius."

The victory of Constantine over Maxentius had left him master of Rome. Constantine and Licinius reigned over all the European provinces; and the public edict for the toleration of Christianity, issued in the

n Euseb, viii, 14,

name of these two Emperors, announced the policy of

the Western Empire.

After the defeat of Maximin by Licinius, his obscure death gave ample scope for the credulous if not inventive malice of his enemies to ascribe to his last moments every excess of weakness and cruelty, as well as of suffering. He is said to have revenged his baffled hopes of victory on the Pagan priesthood, who had incited him to the war, by a promiscuous Death of massacre of all within his power. His last imperial act was the promulgation of another edict,o still more explicitly favourable to the Christians, in which he not merely proclaimed an unrestricted liberty of conscience, but restored the confiscated property of their churches. His bodily sufferings completed the dark catalogue of persecuting Emperors who had perished under the most excruciating torments; his body was slowly consumed by an internal fire.

With Maximin expired the last hope of Paganism to maintain itself by the authority of the Government. Though Licinius was only accidentally connected with the Christian party, and afterwards allied himself for a short time to the Pagan interest, at this juncture his enemies were those of Christianity; and his cruel triumph annihilated at once the adherents of Maximin, and those of the old religion. The new hierarchy fell at once; the chief magistrates of almost all the cities were executed; for even where they were not invested in the pontifical offices, it was under their authority that Paganism had renewed its more imposing form, and sank with them into the com-

Edict of toleration issued from Nicomedia, A.D. 313, 13th June.
 P Euseb. ix. 9.

mon ruin. The arts by which Theotecnus of Antioch, the chief adviser of Maximin, had imposed upon the populace of that city by mysterious wonders, were detected and exposed to public contempt, and the author put to death. Tyre, which had recommended itself to Maximin by the most violent hostility to the Christian name, was constrained to witness the reconstruction of the fallen church in far more than its original

the fallen church in far more than its original grandeur. Eusebius, afterwards the Bishop of of the church of Tyre.

nounced an inaugural discourse on its reconstruction. His description of the building is curious in itself, as the model of an Eastern church, and illustrates the power and opulence of the Christian party in a city which had taken the lead on the side of Paganism. Nor would the Christian orator venture greatly to exaggerate the splendour of a building which stood in the midst of, and provoked, as it were, a comparison with, temples of high antiquity and unquestioned magnificence.

The Christian church was built on the old site; for, though a more convenient and imposing space might have been found, the piety of the Christians clung with reverence to a spot consecrated by the most holy associations; and their pride, perhaps, was gratified in restoring to more than its former grandeur the edifice which had been destroyed by Pagan malice. The whole site was environed with a wall; a lofty propylæon, which faced the rising sun, commanded the attention of the passing Pagan, who could not but contrast the present splendour with the recent solitude of the place; and afforded an imposing glimpse of the magnificence within. The intermediate space between the propylæon and the church, was laid out in a cloister with four colonnades, enclosed with a palisade of wood. The

centre square was open to the sun and air, and two fountains sparkled in the midst, and reminded the worshipper, with their emblematic purity, of the necessity of sanctification. The uninitiate proceeded no farther than the cloister, but might behold at this modest distance the mysteries of the sanctuary. Several other vestibules, or propylæa, intervened between the cloister and the main building. The three gates of the church fronted the East, of which the central was the loftiest and most costly, "like a queen between her attendants." It was adorned with plates of brass and richly sculptured reliefs. Two colonnades, or aisles, ran along the main building, above which were windows, which lighted the edifice; other buildings for the use of the ministers adjoined. Unfortunately, the pompous eloquence of Eusebius would not condescend to the vulgar details of measurements, and dwells only in vague terms of wonder at the spaciousness, the heaven-soaring loftiness, the splendour of the interior. The roof was of beams from the cedars of Lebanon, the floor inlaid with marble. In the centre rose the altar, which had already obtained the name of the place of sacrifice; it was guarded from the approach of the profane by a trellis of the most slender and graceful workmanship. Lofty seats were prepared for the higher orders, and benches for those of lower rank were arranged with regularity throughout the building. Tyre, no doubt, did not stand alone in this splendid restoration of her Christian worship; and Christianity, even before her final triumph under Constantine, before the restitution of her endowments, and the munificent imperial gifts, possessed sufficient wealth at least to commence these costly undertakings.

BOOK III.

CHAPTER I.

Constantine.

THE reign of Constantine the Great forms one of the epochs in the history of the world. It is the Reign of Conæra of the dissolution of the Roman Empire: stantine. the commencement, or rather consolidation, of a kind of Eastern despotism, with a new capital, a new patriciate. a new constitution, a new financial system, a new, though as yet imperfect, jurisprudence, and, finally, a new religion. Already, in the time of Diocletian, Change in the Italy had sunk into a province; Rome into empire. one of the great cities of the empire. The declension of her importance had been gradual, but inevitable: her supremacy had been shaken by that slow succession of changes which had imperceptibly raised the relative weight and dignity of other parts of the empire, and of the empire itself, as a whole, until she ceased to be the central point of the administration of public affairs. Rome was no longer the heart of the social Degradation system, from which emanated all the life and of Rome. power which animated and regulated the vast and unwieldy body, and to which flowed in the wealth and the homage of the obedient world. The admission of the whole empire to the rights of Roman citizenship by Caracalla had dissolved the commanding spell which VOL. II.

centuries of glory and conquest had attached to the majesty of the Roman name. To be a Roman was no longer a privilege; it gave no distinctive rights, its exemptions were either taken away, or vulgarised by being made common to all except the servile order. The secret once betrayed that the imperial dignity might be conferred elsewhere than in the imperial city, lowered still more the pre-eminence of Rome. From that time, the seat of government was at the head of the army. If the Emperor, proclaimed in Syria, in Illyria, or in Britain, condescended, without much delay, to visit the ancient capital, the trembling senate had but to ratify the decree of the army, and the Roman people to welcome, with submissive acclamations, their new master.

Diocletian had consummated the degradation of Rome, by transferring the residence of the court to Nicomedia. He had commenced the work of reconstructing the empire upon a new basis. Some of his measures were vigorous, comprehensive, and tending to the strength and consolidation of the social edifice; but he had introduced a principle of disunion, more than powerful enough to counteract all the energy which he had infused into the executive government. His fatal policy of appointing co-ordinate sovereigns, two Augusti, with powers avowedly equal, and two Cæsars, with authority nominally subordinate, but which, in able hands, would not long have brooked inferiority, had nearly dismem-

Unity of the empire still preserved. bered the solid unity of the empire. As yet, the influence of the Roman name was commanding and awful; the provinces were accustomed to consider themselves as parts of one political confederacy; the armies marched still under the same banners, were united by discipline, and as yet by

the unforgotten inheritance of victory from their allsubduing ancestors. In all parts of the world, every vestige of civil independence had long been effaced; centuries of servitude had destroyed every dangerous memorial of ancient dynasties or republican constitutions. Hence, therefore, the more moderate ambition of erecting an independent kingdom never occurred to any of the rival Emperors; or, if the separation had been attempted, if a man of ability had endeavoured to partition off one great province, dependent upon its own resources, defended by its own legions, or by a wellorganised force of auxiliary barbarians, the age was not yet ripe for such a daring innovation. The whole empire would have resented the secession of any member from the ancient confederacy, and turned its concentrated force against the recreant apostate from the majestic unity of Imperial Rome. Yet, if this system had long prevailed, the disorganising must have finally triumphed over the associating principle: separate interests would have arisen; a gradual departure from the uniform order of administration must have taken place; a national character might have developed itself in different quarters; and the vast and harmonious edifice would have split asunder into distinct, and insulated, and at length hostile, kingdoms.

Nothing less than a sovereign whose comprehensive mind could discern the exigencies of this critical period, nothing less than a conqueror who rested on the strength of successive victories over his competitors for the supremacy, could have reunited, and in time, under one vigorous administration, the dissolving elements of the empire.

Such a conqueror was Constantine: but, reunited, the empire imperiously demanded a complete civil reorgan-

isation. It was not the foundation of the new capital which wrought the change in the state of the empire, it was the state of the empire which required a new capital. The ancient system of government, emanating entirely from Rome, and preserving, with sacred reverence, the old republican forms, had lost its awe; the world acknowledged the master wherever it felt the power. The possession of Rome added no great weight to the candidate for empire, while its pretensions embarrassed the ruling sovereign.^a The powerless senate, which still expected to ratify the imperial decrees; the patrician order, which had ceased to occupy the posts of honour, and danger, and distinction; the turbulent populace, and the prætorian soldiery, who still presumed to assert their superiority over the legions who were bravely contesting the German or the Persian frontier; the forms, the intrigues, the interests, the factions of such a city, would not be permitted by an Emperor accustomed to rule with absolute dominion in Treves, in Milan, or in Nicomedia, to clog the free movements of his administration. The dissolution of the prætorian bands by Constantine, on his victory over Maxentius, though necessary to the peace, was fatal to the power, of Rome. It cut off one of her great though dearly-purchased distinctions. Around the Asiatic, or the Illyrian, or the Gaulish court, had gradually arisen a new nobility, if not hitherto distinguished by title, yet, by service or by favour, possessing the marked and acknowledged confidence of the

hostile author of the De Mort. Perse- thority, threatened, after his flight invasion of Italy, and was unacquainted empire from Roman to Dacian - (c. with its immense magnitude. Galerius, xxvii.)

[·] Galerius (if we are to trust the | according to the same doubtful aucut.) had never seen Rome before his from Italy, to change the name of the

Emperor, and filling all offices of power and of dignitya nobility independent of patrician descent, or the tenure of property in Italy. Ability in the field or in the council, or even court intrigue, would triumph over the claims of hereditary descent; and all that remained was to decorate with title, and organise into a new aristocracy, those who already possessed the influence and the authority of rank. With Emperors of provincial or barbarous descent naturally arose a race of military or civil servants, strangers to Roman blood and to the Roman name. The will of the sovereign became the fountain of honour. New regulations of finance, and a jurisprudence, though adhering closely to the forms and the practice of the old institutions, new in its spirit and in the scope of many of its provisions, embraced the whole empire in its comprehensive sphere. It was no longer Rome which legislated for the world, but the legislation which comprehended Rome among the cities subject to its authority. The laws were neither issued nor ratified, they were only submitted to, by Rome.

The Roman religion sank with the Roman supremacy. The new empire welcomed the new religion as State of the its ally and associate in the government of the Rome. human mind. The empire lent its countenance, its sanction, at length its power, to Christianity. Christianity infused throughout the empire a secret principle of association, which, long after it had dissolved into separate and conflicting masses, held together, nevertheless, the loose and crumbling confederacy, and, at length, itself assuming the lost or abdicated sovereignty, compressed the whole into one system under a spiritual dominion. The Papal, after some interval of confusion and disorganisation, succeeded the Imperial autocracy over the European world.

Of all historical problems, none has been discussed with a stronger bias of opinion, of passion, and of prethe conversion of Con. judice, according to the age, the nation, the creed of the writer, than the conversion of Constantine, and the establishment of Christianity as the religion of the empire. Hypocrisy, policy, superstition, divine inspiration, have been in turn assigned as the sole or the predominant influence which, operating on the mind of the Emperor, decided at once the religious destiny of the empire. But there is nothing improbable in supposing that Constantine was actuated by concurrent, or even conflicting, motives; all of which united in enforcing the triumph of Christianity. There is nothing contradictory in the combination of the motives themselves, particularly if we consider them as operating with greater strength, or with successive paroxysms, as it were, of influence, during the different periods in the life of Constantine, on the soldier, the statesman, and The soldier, at a perilous crisis, might appeal, the man. without just notions of his nature, to the tutelary power of a deity to whom a considerable part of his subjects. and perhaps of his army, looked up with faith or with The statesman may have seen the absolute necessity of basing his new constitution on religion: he may have chosen Christianity as obviously possessing the strongest, and a still strengthening, hold upon the minds of his people. He might appreciate, with profound political sagacity, the moral influence of Christianity, as well as its tendency to enforce peaceful, if not passive, obedience to civil government. At a later period, particularly if the circumstances of his life threw him more into connexion with the Christian priesthood, he might gradually adopt as a religion that which had commanded his admiration as a political influence.

might embrace, with ardent attachment, yet, after all, by no means with distinct apprehension, or implicit obedience to all its ordinances, that faith which alone seemed to survive amid the wreck of all other religious systems.

A rapid but comprehensive survey of the state of Christianity at this momentous period will explain the position in which it stood in relation to the civil government, to the general population of the empire, and to the ancient religion; and throw a clear and steady light upon the manner in which it obtained its political as well as its spiritual dominion over the Roman world.

The third century of Christianity had been prolific in religious revolutions. In the East, the silent Revival of progress of the Gospel had been suddenly ism. arrested: Christianity had been thrown back with irresistible violence on the Roman territory. An ancient religion, connected with the great political changes in the sovereignty of the Persian kingdom, revived in all the vigour and enthusiasm of a new creed; it was received as the associate and main support of the state. A hierarchy, numerous, powerful, and opulent, with all the union and stability of a hereditary caste, strengthened by large landed possessions, was reinvested with an authority almost co-ordinate with that of the sovereign. The restoration of Zoroastrianism, as the established and influential religion of Persia, is perhaps the only instance of the vigorous revival of a Pagan religion. b Of the native religion of the Parthians,

restoration of the Persian religion are vesta, 3 vols.; the German translation chiefly derived from the following of Du Perron, by Kleuker, with very

b The materials for this view of the | rum; Anquetil du Perron; Zendasources :- Hyde, De Religione Persa- valuable volumes of appendix (An-

little, if anything, is known. They were a Scythian race, who overran and formed a ruling aristocracy over the remains of the older Persian, and the more modern Grecian civilisation. The Scythian, or Tartar, or Turcoman tribes, who have perpetually, from China westward, invaded and subdued the more polished nations. have never attempted to force their rude and shapeless deities, their more vulgar Shamanism, or even the Buddhism which in its simpler form has prevailed among them to a great extent, on the nations over which they have ruled. The ancient Magian priesthood remained, if with diminished power, in great numbers, and not without extensive possessions in the eastern provinces of the Parthian empire. The temples raised by the Greek successors of Alexander, whether to Grecian deities, or blended with the Tsabaism or the Natureworship of Babylonia or Syria, continued to possess their undiminished honours, with their ample endowments and their sacerdotal colleges. Some vestiges of the deification of the kings of the line of Arsaces seem to be discerned, but with doubtful certainty.

The earliest legendary history of Christianity assigns Parthia as the scene of Apostolic labours; it was the province of St. Thomas. But in the intermediate region, the great Babylonian province, there is the strongest evidence that Christianity had made an early, a rapid, and a successful progress. It was the residence. at least for a certain period, of the Apostle St. Peter.c With what success it conducted its contest with Judaism. it is impossible to conjecture; for Judaism, which, after

Some of these sources were not open

Creuzers Symbolik; Malcolm's History of Persia; Heeren, Ideen.

hang); De Guigniaut's Translation of | to Gibbon when he composed his brilliant chapter on this subject. c Compare note to vol. i. p. 63.

the second rebellion in the reign of Hadrian, maintained but a permissive and precarious existence in Palestine, flourished in the Babylonian province with something of a national and independent character. The Resch-Glutha, or Prince of the Captivity, far surpassed in the splendour of his court the Patriarch of Tiberias; and the activity of their schools of learning in Nahardea, in Sura, and in Pumbeditha, is attested by the vast compilation of the Babylonian Talmud.d Nor does the Christianity of this region appear to have suffered from the persecuting spirit of the Magian hierarchy during the earlier conflicts for the Mesopotamian provinces between the arms of Rome and Persia. one bishop ruled the united communities of Seleucia and Ctesiphon, the numbers of Christians in the rest of the province were probably far from inconsiderable.

It was in the ancient dominions of Darius and of Xerxes that the old religion of Zoroaster reassumed its power and authority. No sooner of Persian had Ardeschir Babhegan (the Artaxerxes of babhegan Babhegan (the Artaxerxes) the Greeks) destroyed the last remains of the of the reliforeign Parthian dynasty, and reorganised the gion of Zodominion of the native Persian kings, from

the borders of Charismia to the Tigris (the Persian writers assert to the Euphrates), than he hastened to environ his throne with the Magian hierarchy, and to re-establish the sacerdotal order in all its former dignity. But an ancient religion, which has sunk into obscurity. will not regain its full influence over the popular mind, unless reinvested with divine authority: intercourse with heaven must be renewed; the sanction and ratification of the deity must be public and acknowledged. Wonder

d See History of the Jews, ii. 485, &c.

[•] Malcolm's History of Persia, i. 72.

and miracle are as necessary to the revival of an old, as to the establishment of a new, religion. In the records of the Zoroastrian faith, which are preserved in the ancient language of the Zend, may be traced many singular provisions which bear the mark of great antiquity, and show the transition from a pastoral to an agricultural life. The cultivation of the soil; the propagation of fruit-trees, nowhere so luxuriant and various as in the districts which probably gave birth to the great religious legislator of the East, Balk, and the country of the modern Afghans, and the destruction of noxious animals, are among the primary obligations enforced on the followers of Zoroaster. A grateful people might look back with the deepest veneration on the author of a religious code so wisely beneficent; the tenth of the produce would be no disproportionate offering to the priesthood of a religion which had thus turned civilisation into a duty, and given a Divine sanction to the first principles of human wealth and happiness. But a new impulse was necessary to a people which had long passed this state of transition, and were only reassuming the possessions of their ancestors, and reconstructing their famous monarchy. Zoroastrianism, like all other religions, had split into numerous sects; and an authoritative exposition of the Living Word of Zoroaster could alone restore its power and its harmony to the re-established Magianism of the realm of Ardeschir. Erdiviraph was the Magian, designated, by his Erdiviraph. blameless innocence from his mother's womb, to renew the intercourse with the Divinity, and to unfold, on the authority of inspiration, the secrets of

f Compare Heeren, Ideen, and Rhode, die Heilige Sage des Zendvolks. But cited in Chap. L.

heaven and hell. Forty (according to one account, eighty thousand) of the Magian priesthood, the Archimage, who resided in Bactria, the Desters and the Mobeds. had assembled to witness and sanction the important ceremony. They were successively reduced to 40,000. to 4000, to 400, to 40, to 7: the acknowledged merit of Erdiviraph gave him the pre-eminence among the seven.g Having passed through the strictest ablutions, and drunk a powerful opiate, he was covered with a white linen, and laid to sleep. Watched by seven of the nobles, including the king, he slept for seven days and nights; and, on his reawakening, the whole nation listened with believing wonder to his exposition of the faith of Oromazd, which was carefully written down by an attendant scribe, for the benefit of posterity.h

A hierarchy which suddenly regains its power, after centuries of obscurity, perhaps of oppression, will not be scrupulous as to the means of of the Magian hierarchy. giving strength and permanence to its dominion. With Ardeschir, the restoration of the Persian people to their rank among the nations of the earth, by the reinfusion of a national spirit, was the noble object of ambition; the re-establishment of a national religion, as the strongest and most enduring bond of union, was an essential part of his great scheme; but a national religion, thus associated with the civil polity, is necessarily exclusive, and impatient of the rivalry of other creeds. Intolerance lies in the very nature of a religion

observed, are multiples of 40, the ine definite number throughout the East. (See Bredow's Dissertation, annexed to the new edition of Syncellus; Byzant, Hist. Bonn.) The recusants of Zoroas- | De Relig. Pers. p. 278 et seqq.

c All these numbers, it should be | trianism (vid. infrà) are in like manner reduced to seven, the sacred number with the Zoroastrian, as with the religion of the Old Testament.

h Hyde (from Persian authorities),

which dividing the whole world into the realm of two conflicting principles, raises one part of mankind into a privileged order, as followers of the Good principle, and condemns the other half as the irreclaimable slaves of the Evil One. The national worship is identified with that of Oromazd; and the kingdom of Oromazd must be purified from the intrusion of the followers of Ahriman. The foreign relations, so to speak, of the Persian monarchy, according to their old poetical history, are strongly coloured by their deep-rooted religious opinions. Their implacable enemies, the pastoral Tartar or Turcoman tribes, inhabit the realm of darkness, and at times invade and desolate the kingdom of light, till some mighty monarch, Kaiomers, or some redoubtable hero, Rustan, reasserts his majesty, and revenges the losses, of the kingdom of Oromazd. Iran and Turan are the representatives of the two conflicting worlds of light and darkness. In the same spirit, to expel, to persecute, the followers of other religions, was to expel, to trample on, the followers of Arhiman. This edict of Ardeschir closed all the temples but those of the fire-worshippers. -only eighty thousand followers of Ahriman, including the worshippers of foreign religions, and the less orthodox believers in Zoroastrianism, remained to infect the purified region of Oromazd. Of the loss sustained by Christianity during this conflict, in the proof Christianity in Persia, per dominions of Persia, and the number of churches which shared the fate of the Parthian and

i Gibbon, in his chapter on the restoration of the Persian monarchy and religion, has said that in this conflict "the sword of Aristotle (such was the name given by the Orientals to the Polytheism and philosophy of the Greeks) was easily broken." I suspect

this expression to be an anachronism; it is clearly post-Mohammedan and from a Mohammedan author. Gibbon has likewise quoted authorities for the persecution of Artaxerxes which relate to those of his descendants.

Grecian temples, there is no record. The persecutions by the followers of Zoroaster are to be traced, at a later period, only in Armenia and in the Babylonian province; but Persia, from this time until the fiercer persecutions of their own brethren forced the Nestorian Christians to overleap every obstacle, presented a stern and insuperable barrier to the progress of Christianity.k It cut off all connexion with the Christian communities (if communities there were) in the remoter East.^m

Ardeschir bequeathed to his royal descendants the solemn charge of maintaining the indissoluble Connexion union of the Magian religion with the state: of the throne and the "Never forget that, as a king, you are at once hierarchy. the protector of religion and of your country. Consider the altar and the throne as inseparable; they must always sustain each other. A sovereign without religion is a tyrant; and a people who have none, may be deemed the most monstrous of societies. Religion may exist without a state, but a state cannot exist without religion: it is by holy laws that a political association can alone be bound. You should be to your people an example of piety and virtue, but without pride or ostentation." The kings of the race of Sassan accepted and fulfilled the sacred trust; the Magian hierarchy encircled and supported the kingly power of Persia.

k Sozomen, indeed, asserts that Christianity was first introduced into the Persian dominions at a later period, from their intercourse with Osroene and Armenia. But it is very improbable that the active zeal of the Christians in the first ages of the religion should not have taken advantage of the mild and tolerant government of the Parthian kings. "Parthians and from Ferdusi.

Elamites," i. e. Jews inhabiting those countries, are mentioned as among the converts on the day of Pentecost. Sozomen, ii. 8.

m The date of the earliest Christian communities in India is judiciously discussed in Bohlen, Das alte Indien, 1. 369 to the end.

n Malcolm's Hist, of Persia, i. 74,

They formed the great council of the state. Foreign religions, if tolerated, were watched with jealous severity. Magianism was established at the point of the sword in those parts of Armenia which were subjugated by the Persian kings. When Mesopotamia was included within the pale of the Persian dominions, the Jews were, at times, exposed to the severest oppressions; the burial of the dead was peculiarly offensive to the usages of the fire-worshippers. Mani was alike rejected, and persecuted by the Christian and the Magian priesthood; and the barbarous execution of the Christian bishops, who ruled over the Babylonian sees, demanded at a later period the interference of Constantine.º

But while Persia thus fiercely repelled Christianity Armenia the from its frontier, upon that frontier arose a Ringdom. Christian state. Armenia was the first country which embraced Christianity as the religion of the king, the nobles, and the people. During the early ages of the empire, Armenia had been an object of open contention, or of political intrigue, between the conflicting powers of Parthia and Rome. The adoption of Christianity as the religion of the state, while it united the interests of the kingdom, by a closer bond, with the Christian empire of Rome (for it anticipated the honour of being the first Christian state by only a few years), added, to its perilous situation on the borders of the two empires, a new cause for the implacable hostility of Persia. Every successful invasion, and every subtle negotiation to establish the Persian predominance in Armenia, was marked by the most relentless and

these persecutions of the Christians, 292 et seq., with Assemanni, Act. Beau, Hist. des Empereurs, i. 76.

O Sozomen, ii. 9, 10. Compare, on | Martyr. Or. et Occid. Romæ, 1748. P St. Martin, Mémoires sur l'Ar-Kleuker, Anhang zum Zendavesta, p. ménie, i. 405, 406, &c. Notes to Le

sanguinary persecutions, which were endured with the combined dignity of Christian and patriotic heroism by the afflicted people. The Vartobed, or Patriarch, was always the first victim of Persian conquest, the first leader to raise the fallen standard of independence.

The Armenian histories, written, almost without exception, by the priesthood, in order to do honour to their native country by its early reception of Christianity, have included the Syrian kingdom of Edessa within its borders, and assigned a place to the celebrated Abgar in the line of their kings. The personal correspondence of Abgar with the Divine author of Christianity is, of course, incorporated in this early legend. But though, no doubt, Christianity had made considerable progress, at the commencement of the third century, the government of Armenia was still sternly and irreconcileably Pagan. Khosrov I. imitated the cruel and impious Pharaoh. He compelled the Christians, for a scanty stipend, to labour on the public works. Many obtained the glorious crown of martyrdom, a

Gregory the Illuminator was the Apostle of Armenia. The birth of Gregory was darkly connected Gregory the with the murder of the reigning king, the Illuminator. almost total extirpation of the royal race, and the subjugation of his country to a foreign yoke. He was the son of Anah, the assassin of his sovereign. The murder of Khosrov, the valiant and powerful king of Armenia, is attributed to the jealous ambition of Ardeschir, the first king of Persia. Anah, of a noble Armenian race, was bribed, by the promise of vast

q Father Chamich, History of Armenia, i. 154, and other aumenia, i. 153, translated by Avdall.

r Moses Choren. 64, 71; Chamich, l'Arménie, i. 303, &c.

wealth and the second place in the empire, to conspire against the life of Khosrov. Pretending to take refuge in the Armenian dominions from the persecution of King Ardeschir, he was hospitably received in the city of Valarshapat. He struck theking to the heart, and fled. The Armenian soldiery, in their fury, pursued the assassin, who was drowned, during his flight, in the river Araxes. The vengeance of the soldiers wreaked itself upon his innocent family; the infant Gregory alone was saved by a Christian nurse, who took refuge in Cæsarea. There the future Apostle was baptized, and (thus runs the legend) by divine revelation received the name of Gregory. Ardeschir reaped all the advantage of the treachery of Anah, and Armenia sank into a Persian province. The conqueror consummated the crime of his base instrument; the whole family of Khosrov was put to death, except Tiridates, who fled to the Roman dominions, and one sister. Khosrovedught, who was afterwards instrumental in the introduction of Christianity into the kingdom. Tiridates served with distinction in the Roman armies of Diocletian. and seized the favourable opportunity of reconquering his hereditary throne. The re-establishment of Armenia as a friendly power was an important event in the Eastern policy of Rome; the simultaneous conversion of the empire and its Eastern ally to the new religion strengthened the bonds of union by a common religious interest.

Gregory re-entered his native country in the train
Tiridates, of the victorious Tiridates. But Tiridates was
Armenia. a bigoted adherent to the ancient religion of
his country. This religion appears to have been a

According to St. Martin, two children of Anah were saved.

mingled form of corrupt Zoroastrianism and Grecian, or rather Oriental, Nature-worship, with some rites of Scythian origin. Their chief deity was Aramazd, the Ormuzd of the Magian system, but their temples were crowded with statues, and their altars reeked with animal sacrifices; usages revolting to the purer Magianism of Persia.^t The Babylonian impersonation of the female principle of generation, Anaitis or Anahid, was one of their most celebrated divinities; and at the funeral of their great King Artaces many persons had immolated themselves, after the Scythian or Getic custom, upon his body.

It was in the temple of Anaitis, in the province of Ekelias, that Tiridates offered the sacrifice of thanksgiving for his restoration to his hereditary throne. He commanded Gregory to assist in the idolatrous worship. The Christian resolutely refused, and endured, Persecution according to the Armenian history, twelve dif- of Gregory. ferent kinds of torture. It was disclosed to the exasperated monarch, that the apostate from the national religion was son to the assassin of his father. Gregory was plunged into a deep dungeon, where he languished for fourteen years, supported by the faithful charity of a Christian female. At the close of the fourteen years, a pestilence, attributed by the Christian party to the Divine vengeance, wasted the kingdom of Armenia. The virgin sister of Tiridates, Khosrovedught (the daughter of Khosrov) had embraced the faith of the Gospel. By Divine revelation (thus speaks the piety of the priestly historians), she advised the immediate release of Gregory. What Heaven had commanded, Heaven had approved by wonders. The King himself, afflicted by the malady.

S

^t Chamich, i. 145.

was healed by the Christian missionary. The pestilence conversion of ceased. The king, the nobles, the people, almost the King. Simultaneously submitted to baptism. Armenia became at once a Christian kingdom. Gregory took the highest rank, as Archbishop of the kingdom. Priests were invited from Greece and Syria; four hundred bishops were consecrated; churches and religious houses arose in every quarter; the Christian festivals and days of religious observance were established by law.

But the severe truth of history must make the melancholy acknowledgement that the Gospel did not finally triumph without a fierce and sanguinary strife. The province of Dara, the sacred region of the Armenians, crowded with their national temples, made a stern and Persecution determined resistance. The priests fought for by the Christians. their altars with desperate courage, and it was only with the sword that churches could be planted in that irreclaimable district. In the war waged by Maximin against Tiridates, in which the ultimate aim of the Roman Emperor, according to Eusebius, was the suppression of Christianity, he may have been invited and encouraged by the rebellious Paganism of the subjects of Tiridates.

Towards the close of the third century, while thereligion of the East was undergoing these signal revolutions, and the antagonistic creeds of Magianism and Christianity were growing up into power-

In the very curious extract from the contemporary Armenian historian Zenob, there is an account of this civil war. The following inscription commemorated the decisive battle:—

The first battle in which men bravely fought.

The leader of the armies was Argan, the chief of the Priesthood.

Who lies here in his grave, and with him 1038 men. And this battle we fought for the Godhead of Kisane and for Christ,

See Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, vol. i. 253, 378, et seqq.

ful and hostile systems, and assuming an important influence on the political affairs of Asia; while the East and the West thus began that strife of centuries which subsequently continued in a more fierce and implacable form in the conflict between Christianity and Mohammedanism: a bold and ambitious adventurer in the career of religious change x attempted to unite the conflicting elements; to reconcile the hostile genius of the East and of the West; to fuse together, in one comprehensive scheme, Christianity, Zoroastrianism, and apparently the Buddhism of India. It is singular to trace the doctrines of the most opposite systems and of remote regions assembled together and harmonised in the vast Eclecticism of Mani.y From his native Persia he derived his Dualism, his antagonistic sources of his doctrines. worlds of light and darkness; and from Magianism, likewise, his contempt of outward temple and splendid ceremonial. From Gnosticism, or rather from universal Orientalism, he drew the inseparable admixture of physical and moral notions, the eternal hostility

^{*} Besides the original authorities, I have consulted, for Mani and his doctrines, Beausobre, Hist. du Manichéisme; D'Herbelot, art. Mani; Lardner, Credibilityof Gospel History; Mosheim, De Reb. Christ. ante Const. Magnum; Matter, Hist. du Gnosticime, ii. 351. I had only seen Baur's able Manichaische Religions System, after this chapter was written. I had anticipated, though not followed out so closely, the relationship to Buddhism, much of which, however, is evidently the common groundwork of all Orientalism.

⁷ Augustine, in various passages, but most fully in what is given as an extract from the book of the Founda-

tion, De Nat. Boni, p. 515. Compare Beausobre, vol. ii. 386, who seems to consider it an abstract from some forged or spurious work. Probably much of Mani's system was allegorical, but how much, his disciples probably did not, and his adversaries would not, know. See also the most curious passage about the Manichean metempsychosis, in the statement of Tyrbo, in the Disputatio Archelai et Manetis, apud Routh, Reliquiæ Sacræ, vol. iv.

The most singular fact is that these obstinate idolaters were of Indian descent, and were distinguished by long hair.

between Mind and Matter, the rejection of Judaism, and the identification of the God of the Old Testament with the Evil Spirit, the distinction between Jesus and the Christ, with the Docetism, or the unreal death of the incorporeal Christ. From Cabalism, through Gnosticism, came the primal man, the Adam Cædmon of that system, and (if it be a genuine part of this system) the assumption of beautiful human forms, those of graceful boys and attractive virgins, by the powers of light, and their union with the male and female spirits of darkness. From India, he took the Emanation theory (all light was a part of the Deity, and in one sense the soul of the world), the metempsychosis, the triple division of human souls (the one the pure, which reascended at once, and was reunited to the primal light; the second the semi-pure, which, having passed through a purgatorial process, returned to earth, to pass through a second ordeal of life; the third, of obstinate and irreclaimable evil): from India, perhaps, came his Homophorus, as the Greeks called it, his Atlas, who supported the earth upon his shoulders, and his Splenditenens, the circumambient air. From Chaldea, he borrowed the power of astral influences; and he approximated to the solar worship of expiring Paganism: Christ, the Mediator, like the Mithra of his countryman, had his dwelling in the sun.z

From his native country Mani derived the simple diet of fruits and herbs; from the Buddhism of India. his respect for animal life, which was to be slain neither for food nor for sacrifice; a from all the anti-materialist

D'Herbelot, voc. "Mani."

a Ibid. Augustine says that they was a certain portion of life, which, wept when they plucked vegetables according to Mani, was a part of the

for food, for in them also there

sects or religions, the abhorrence of every sensual indulgence, even the bath as well as the banquet; the proscription, or, at least, the disparagement of marriage. And the whole of these foreign and extraneous tenets, his creative imagination blended with his own form of Christianity; for, so completely are they mingled, that it is difficult to decide whether Christianity or Magianism formed the groundwork of Mani's system. From Christianity he derived not, perhaps, a strictly Nicene, but more than an Arian, Trinity. His own system was the completion of the imperfect revelation of the Gospel. He was a man invested with a divine mission,—the Paraclete (for Mani appears to have distinguished between the Paraclete and the Holy Spirit), who was to consummate the great work auspiciously commenced, yet unfulfilled by the mission of Jesus.^b Mani had twelve apostles. His Ertang, or Gospel, was intended to supersede the four Christian Evangelists, whose works, though valuable, he averred had been interpolated with many Jewish fables. The Acts, Mani altogether rejected, as announcing the descent of the Paraclete on the Apostles.c On the writings of St. Paul he pronounced a more

Deity. "Dicitis enim dolorem sentire fructum, cum de arbore carpitur, sentire dum conciditur, cum teritur, cum coquitur, cum manditur. Cujus, porro dementiæ est, pios se videri velle, quod ab animalium interfectione se temperent, cum omnes suas escas easdem animas habere dicunt, quibus ut putant, viventibus, tanta vulnera et manibus et dentibus ingerant." Augustin. contra Faust., lib. vi. p. 205, 206. This is pure Buddhism.

b Lardner, following Beausobre, considers the account of Mani's predeces. Acts.

sors, Scythianus and Terebinthus, or Buddha, idle fictions. The virgin birth assigned to Buddha, which appears to harmonise with the great Indian Mythos of the origin of Buddhism, might warrant a conjecture that this is an Oriental tradition of the Indian origin of some of Mani's doctrines, dictated by Greek ignorance. I now find this conjecture followed out and illustrated with copious learning by Baur.

e Lardner (v. 11. 183) suggests other reasons for the rejection of the

favourable sentence. But his Ertang, it is said, was not merely the work of a prophet, but of a painter; for, among his various accomplishments, Maui excelled in

His paint- that art. It was richly illustrated by pictures, which commanded the wonder of the age; while his followers, in devout admiration, studied the tenets of their master in the splendid images, as well as in the sublime language, of the Marvellous Book. If this be true, since the speculative character of Mani's chief tenets, their theogonical, if it may be so said, extramundane character, lay beyond the proper province of the painter (the imitation of existing beings, and that idealism which, though elevating its objects to an unreal dignity or beauty, is nevertheless faithful to the truth of nature), this imagery, with which his book was illuminated, was probably a rich system of Oriental symbolism, which may have been transmuted by the blind zeal of his followers, or the misapprehension of his adversaries, into some of his more fanciful tenets. religion of Persia was fertile in these emblematic figures. if not their native source; and in the gorgeous illuminated manuscripts of the East, often full of allegorical devices, we may discover, perhaps, the antitypes of the Ertang of Mani.d

Mani (I blend together and harmonise as far as possible the conflicting accounts of the Greeks and Asiatics)

the "amatory song" (Contra Faust. xv. 5), with the twelve ages (the great cycle of 12,000 years) singing and casting flowers upon the everlasting sceptred king; the twelve gods (the signs of the zodiac), and the hosts of angels, is evidently the poetry, not the theology, of the system.

d It appears, I think, from Augustine, that all the splendid images of the sceptred king crowned with flowers, the Splenditenens and the Homophorus, were allegorically interpreted: "Si non sunt ænigmata rationis, phantasmata sunt cogitationis, aut vecordia furoris. Si veroænigmata esse dicuntur." Contra Faust. xv. p. 277. The extract from

was of Persian birth, of the sacred race of the Magi. He wore the dress of a Persian of distinction, the Life of Mani. lofty Babylonian sandals, the mantle of azure blue, the parti-coloured trousers, and he bore the ebony staff in his hand. He was a proficient in the learning of his age and country, a mathematician, and had made a globe; he was deeply skilled, as appears from his system, in the theogonical mysteries of the East, and so well versed in the Christian Scriptures that he was said to have been, and indeed he may at one time have been, a Christian priest, in the province of Ahoriaz that bordered on Babylonia. He began to propagate his doctrines during the reign of Shah-poor, but the son of Ardeshir would endure no invasion upon the established Magianism.h Mani fled from the wrath of his sovereign into Turkesthan; from thence he is said to have visited India, and even China. In Turkesthan, he withdrew himself from the society of men, like Mohammed in the cave of Hira, into a grotto, through which flowed a fountain of water, and in which provision for a vear had been secretly stored. His followers believed

[•] His birth is assigned by the Chronicle of Edessa to the year 239. Beausobre, i.

f Beausobre, who is inclined to admit the genuineness of this description, in the Acts of Archelaus, has taken pains to show that there was nothing differing from the ordinary Persian dress. Vol. i. p. 97, &c.

g In the Acts of Archelaus, he is called a barbarous Persian, who understood no Greek, but disputed in Syriac. c. 36.

h Malcolm, i. 79.

i Abulpharag, Dynast. p. 82. See religious legends.

Lardner, p. 167.

^{*} Lardner considers the story of the cave a later invention borrowed from Mohammed. The relation of this circumstance by Mohammedan authors leads me to the opposite conclusion. They would rather have avoided than invented points of similitude between their prophet and "the impious Sadducee," as he is called in the Koran. But see Baur's very ingenious and probable theory, which resolves it into a myth, and connects it with the Mithraic and still earlier astronomical or religious legends.

that he had ascended into heaven, to commune with the Deity. At the end of the year, he reappeared, and displayed his Ertang, embellished with its paintings, as the Divine revelation.^m

In the theory of Mani, the one Supreme, who hovered in inaccessible and uninfluential distance over the whole of the Gnostic systems, the Brahm of the Indians, and the more vague and abstract Zeruane Akerene of Zoroastrianism, holds no place. The groundwork of his system is an original and irreconcileable Dualism.ⁿ The two antagonistic worlds of light and darkness, of spirit and matter, existed from eternity, separate, unmingled, unapproaching, ignorant of each other's existence.º The kingdom of light was held by God the Father, who "rejoiced in his own proper eternity, and comprehended in himself wisdom and vitality:" his most glorious kingdom was founded in a light and blessed region, which could not be moved nor shaken. On one side of his most illustrious and holy territory was the land of darkness, of vast depth and extent,

m Beausobre (i. 191, 192) would find the Cascar at which, according to the extant, but much contested, report, the memorable conference between Archelaus and Mani was held, at Cashgar in Turkesthan. But, independent of the improbability of a Christian bishop settled in Turkesthan, the whole history is full of difficulties, and nothing is less likely than that the report of such a conference should reach the Greek or Syrian Christians through the hostile territory of Persia.

Epiphanius gives these words as the commencement of Mani's work (in twenty-two books) on the Mysteries:

THν Θεδς καὶ ὅλη, φῶς καὶ σκότος,

Manich. c. 13, n. 16.

άγαθον και κακόν, τοῖς πᾶσιν ἄκρως ἐναντία, ὡς κατὰ μηδὲν ἐπικοινοῦν θάτερον θατέρφ. Epiphan. Hærat. lxvi. 14.

[•] Hæ quidem in exordio fuerunt duæ substantiæ a sese diversæ. Et luminis quidem imperium tenebat Deus Pater, in suâ sanctâ stirpe perpetuus, in virtute magnificus, naturâ ipsâ verus, æternitate propriâ semper exsultans, continens apud se sapientiam et sensus vitales . . . Ita autem fundata sunt ejusdem splendidissima regna super lucidam et beatam terram, ut a nullo unquam aut moveri aut concuti possint. Apud August. contra Ep. Manich, c. 13, n. 16.

inhabited by fiery bodies, and pestiferous races of beings." Civil dissensions agitated the world of darkness; the defeated faction fled to the heights or to the extreme verge of their world. They beheld with amazement. and with envy, the beautiful and peaceful regions of light. They determined to invade the delightful realm; and the primal man, the archetypal Adam, was formed to defend the borders against this irruption of the hostile powers. He was armed with his five elements, opposed to those which formed the realm of darkness. primal man was in danger of discomfiture in the long and fearful strife, had not Oromazd, the great power of the world of light, sent the living spirit to his assistance.8 The powers of darkness retreated; but they bore away some particles of the divine light, and the extrication of these particles (portions of the Deity, according to the subtile materialism of the system) is the object of the long and almost interminable strife of the two principles. Thus, part of the Divinity was interfused through the whole of matter; light was, throughout all visible existence, commingled with darkness.t Mankind was the

around him, of whom he was the soul and the source. Ep. Fundament. ap. Augustin. cont. Manich. c. 14, n. 19.

P The realm of darkness was divided into five distinct circles, which may remind us of Dante's hell. 1. Of infinite darkness, perpetually emanating, and of inconceivable stench. 2. Beyond these, that of muddy and turbid waters, with their inhabitants; and 3. within, that of fierce and boisterous winds, with their prince and their parents. 4. A fiery but corruptible region (the region of destroying fire), with its leaders and nations. 5. In like manner, further within, a place full of smoke and thick gloom, in which dwelt the dreadful sovereign of the whole, with innumerable princes

⁴ The world of darkness, according to one statement, cleft the world of light like a wedge (Augustin. contr. Faust. iv. 2); according to another (Titus Bostrensis, i. 7), it occupied the southern quarter of the universe. This, as Baur observes, is Zoroastrianism. Bundehesch, part iii. p. 62.

^{*} Theodoret, Hæret, Fab. i. 26.

[•] Epiphan. Hæret. lxvi. 76. Titus Bostrensis, Augustin. de Hæret. c. 46.

t The celestial powers, during the long process of commixture, assumed

creation or the offspring of the great principle of darkness, after this stolen and ethereal light had become incorporated with his dark and material being. Man was formed in the image of the primal Adam; his nature was threefold, or perhaps dualistic; the body, the concupiscent or sensual soul (which may have been the influence of the body on the soul), and the pure, celestial, and intellectual spirit. Eve was of inferior, of darker, and more material origin; for the creating Archon, or spirit of evil, had expended all the light, or soul, upon man. Her beauty was the fatal tree of Paradise, for which Adam was content to fall. It was by this union that the sensual or concupiscent soul triumphed over the pure and divine spirit; u and it was by marriage, by sexual union, that the darkening race was propagated. The intermediate, the visible world, which became the habitation of man, was the creation of the principle of good, by his spirit. This primal principle subsisted in trinal unity (whether from eternity might, perhaps, have been as fiercely agitated in the Manichean as in the Christian schools); the Christ, the first efflux of the God of Light, would have been defined by the Manichean as in the Nicene Creed, as Light of Light; he was self-subsistent, endowed with all the perfect attributes of the Deity, and his dwelling was in

alternately the most beautiful forms of the masculine and feminine sex, and mingled with the powers of darkness, who likewise became boys and virgins; and from their conjunction proceeded the still commingling world. This is probably an allegory, perhaps a painting. There is another fanciful poetic image of considerable beauty, and, possibly, of the same allegoric character. The pure elementary spirits soared up-

wards in "their ships of light," in which they originally sailed through the stainless element; those which were of a hotter nature were dragged down to earth; those of a colder and more humid temperament were exhaled upwards to the elemental waters. The ships of light are, in another view, the celestial bodies.

^u De Mor. Manichæor. c. 19. Acta Archelai, c. 10.

the sun. * He was the Mithra of the Persian system; and the Manichean doctrine was Zoroastrianism under Christian appellations. There is an evident difference between the Jesus and the Christos throughout the system; the Jesus Patibilis seems to be the imprisoned and suffering light.

The Spirit, which made up the triple being of the primal principle of good, was an all-pervading æther. the source of life and being; which, continually stimulating the disseminated particles of light, was the animating principle of the worlds. He was the creator of the intermediate world, the scene of strife, in which the powers of light and darkness contested the dominion over man; the one assisting the triumph of the particle of light which formed the intellectual spirit, the other embruting and darkening the imprisoned light with the corruption and sensual pollutions of matter. But the powers of darkness obtained the mastery, and man was rapidly degenerating into the baser destiny; the Homophorus, the Atlas on whose shoulders the earth rests, began to tremble and totter under his increasing burden.2

^{*} According to the creed of Faustus, his virtue dwelt in the sun, his wisdom in the moon. Apud August. lib. xxx. p. 333.

⁷ The Manicheans were Trinitarians, or at least used Trinitarian language. Angustin. contra Faust, c. xx. "Nos Patris quidem Dei omnipotentis, et Christi filii ejus, et Spiritus Sancti unum idemque sub triplici appellatione colimus numen; sed Patrem quidem ipsum lucem incolere summam ac principalem, quam Paulus alias inaccessibilem vocat; Filium vero in hac secunda ac visibili luce consistere, qui quoniam sit et ipse geminus, ut eum

Apostolus novit, Christum dicens esse Dei virtutem et Dei sapientiam, virtutem quidem ejus in sole habitare credimus, sapientiam vero in luna: necnon et Spiritus Sancti, qui est majestas tertia, aëris hunc omnem ambitum sedem fatemur ac diversorium, cujus ex viribus ac spiritali profusione terram quoque concipientem, gignere patibilem Jesum, qui est vita et salus hominum, qui suspensus ex ligno."

^{*} Homophorus and his ally, the Splenditenens, who assists him in maintaining the earth in its equilibrium, is one of the most incongruous and least necessary parts of the Manichean system.

Then the Christ descended from his dwelling in the sun; assumed a form apparently human; the Jews, incited by the Prince of Darkness, crucified his phantom form; but He left behind his Gospel, which dimly and imperfectly taught what was now revealed in all its full efful-

gence by Mani the Persian.

The celestial bodies, which had been formed by the living spirit of the purer element, were the witnesses and co-operators in the great strife. To the sun, the dwelling of the Christ, were drawn up the purified souls, in which the principle of light had prevailed, and passed onward for ablution in the pure water, which forms the moon; and then, after fifteen days, returned to the source of light in the sun. The spirits of evil, on the creation of the visible world, lest they should fly away, and bear off into irrecoverable darkness the light which was still floating about, had been seized by the living spirit, and bound to the stars. Hence the malignant influences of the constellations; hence all the terrific and destructive fury of the elements. While the soft and refreshing and fertilising showers are the distillation

notion of supporters of the earth which are so common in the East? Are any of these fables older than the introduction of Manicheism? Is it the old Indian fable under another form? or is it the Greek Atlas? I am inclined to look to India for the origin.

Beausobre's objection, that such a fiction is inconsistent with Mani's mathematical knowledge, and his formation of a globe, is of no inconsiderable weight, if it is not mere poetry.

* Lardner has well expressed the Manichean notion of the formation of the celestial bodies, which were made,

Is the origin of these images the | the sun of the good fire, the moon of the good water. "In a word, not to be too minute, the Creator formed the sun and moon out of those parts of the light which had preserved their original purity. The visible or inferior heavens (for now we do not speak of the supreme heaven) and the rest of the planets were formed of those parts of light which were but little corrupted with matter. The rest he left in our world, which are no other than those parts of light which had suffered most by the contagion of matter." Lardner's Works, 4to ed., ii. 193.

of the celestial spirit, the thunders are the roarings, the lightning the flashing wrath, the hurricane the furious breath, the torrent and destructive rains the sweat, of the Dæmon of darkness. This wrath is peculiarly excited by the extrication of the passive Jesus, who was said to have been begotten upon the all-conceiving Earth, from his power, by the pure Spirit. The passive Jesus is an emblem, in one sense, it would seem, or type of mankind; more properly, in another, of the imprisoned deity or light. For gradually the souls of men were drawn upwards to the purifying sun; they passed through the twelve signs of the zodiac to the moon, whose waxing and waning was the reception and transmission of light to the sun, and from the sun to the Fountain of Light. Those which were less pure passed again through different bodies, gradually became defæcated, during this long metempsychosis; and there only remained a few obstinately and inveterately embrued in darkness, whom the final consummation of the visible world would leave in the irreclaimable society of the evil powers. At that consummation, the Homophorus would shake off his load; the world would be dissolved in fire: b the powers of darkness cast back for all eternity to their primæval state; the condemned souls would be kneaded up for ever in impenetrable matter, while the purified souls, in martial hosts, would surround the frontier of the region of light, and for ever prohibit any new irruption from the antagonistic world of darkness.

The worship of the Manicheans was simple: they built no altar, they raised no temple, they had no images, they had no imposing ceremonial. Pure and simple prayer was their only form of adoration; of they

Acta Disput. c. ii. Epiphan. c. 58.
 very finely: "Item Pagani aris, delu Faustus expresses this sentiment bris, simulacris, atque incenso Deum

did not celebrate the birth of Christ, for of his birth they denied the reality; their Paschal feast, as they equally disbelieved the reality of Christ's passion, though kept holy, had little of the Christian form. Prayers addressed to the sun, or at least with their faces directed to that tabernacle in which Christ dwelt-hymns to the great principle of light, exhortations to subdue the dark and sensual element within, and the study of the marvellous Book of Mani-constituted their devotion. They observed the Lord's day; they administered baptism, probably with oil; for they seem (though this point is obscure) to have rejected water-baptism; they celebrated the Eucharist; but as they abstained altogether from wine, they probably used pure water or water mingled with raisins.d Their manners were austere, and ascetic; they tolerated, but hardly tolerated, marriage, and that only among the inferior orders: the theatre. the banquet, even the bath, were severely proscribed. Their diet was of fruits and herbs; they shrank with abhorrence from animal food; and, with Buddhist nicety, would tremble at the guilt of having extinguished the principle of life, the spark, as it were, of celestial light, in the meanest creature. This involved them in the strangest absurdities and contradictions, which are pressed against them by their antagonists with unrelent-

colendum putant. Ego ab his in hoc quoque multum diversus incedo, qui ipsum me, si modo sim dignus, rationabile Dei templum puto. Vivum vivæ majestatis simulacrum Christum filium ejus accipio ; aram, mentem puris artibus et disciplinis imbutam. Honores quoque divinos ac sacrificia in solis orationibus, et ipsis puris et simplicibus pono." Faust. apud August. xx. 3.

They bitterly taunted the Catholics with their Paganism, their sacrifices, their agapæ, their idols, their martyrs, their Gentile holidays and rites. Ib.

d August. contra Faust. Disput. i. 2, 3.

e St. Augustine accuses them of breaking the Fifth Commandment. "Tu autem doctrinâ dæmoniacâ didicisti inimicos deputare parentes tuos, quod

ing logic. They admitted penitence for sin, and laid the fault of their delinquencies on the overpowering influence of matter.8

Mani suffered the fate of all who attempt to reconcile conflicting parties without power to enforce harmony between them. He was disclaimed and rejected with

te per concubitum in carne ligaverint, et hoc modo utique deo tuo immundas compedes imposuerint." Adv. Faust. lib. xv. p. 278. "Opinantur et prædicant diabolum fecisse atque junxisse masculam et feminam." Idem, lib. xix. p. 331, "Displicet 'crescite et multiplicamini,' ne Dei vestri multiplicentur ergastula," &c. Adv. Secundum, c. 21.

'Απέχεσθαι γάμων και άφροδισίων καί τεκνοποιίας, ໃνα μή ἐπιπλείον ή δύναμις ενοικήση τῆ ὅλη κατά τὴν τοῦ γένους διαδοχήν. Alexand. Lycop.

They asserted, indeed, that their doctrines went no farther in this respect than those of the Catholic Christians. Faustus, 30. c. 4. Their opposition to marriage is assigned as among the causes of the enmity of the Persian king. "Rex vero Persarum, cum vidisset tam Catholicos et Episcopos, quam Manichæos Manetis sectarios, a nuptiis abstinere, in Manichæos quidem sententiam mortis tulit. Ad Christianos vero idem edictum manavit. Quum igitur Christiani ad regem confugissent, jusstt ille discrimen quale inter utrosque esset, sibi exponi." Apud Asseman. Biblioth. Orient. vii. 220.

There were, however, very different rules of diet and of manners for the elect and the auditors, much resembling those of the monks and other

Christians among the Catholics. See quotations in Lardner, ii. 156.

^f St. Augustine's Treatise de Mor. Manichæor, is full of these extraordinary charges. In the Confessions (iii. 10), he says that the fig wept when it was plucked, and the parent tree poured forth tears of milk; "that particles of the true and Supreme God were imprisoned in an apple, and could not be set free but by the touch of one of the elect. If eaten, therefore, by one not a Manichean, it was a deadly sin; and hence they are charged with making it a sin to give any thing which had life to a poor man not a Manichean. They showed more compassion to the fruits of the earth than to human beings." They abhorred husbandry, it is said, as continually wounding life, even in clearing a field of thorns; "so much more were they friends of gourds than of men."

B An acknowledgement of the blamelessness of their manners is extorted from St. Augustine; at least he admits that, as far as his knowledge as a hearer, he can charge them with no immorality. Contr. Fortunat. in init. In other parts of his writings, especially in the tract De Morib. Manichæor., he is more unfavourable. But see the remarkable passage, Contra Faust. v. i., in which the Manichean contrasts his works with the faith of the orthodox Christian.

every mark of indignation and abhorrence by both. On his return from exile, indeed, he was received with respect and favour by the reigning sovereign, Hormouz, the son of Shahpoor, who bestowed upon him a castle named Arabion. In this point alone the Greek and Oriental accounts coincide. It was from his own castle that Mani attempted to propagate his doctrines among the Christians in the province of Babylonia. The fame of Marcellus, a noble Christian soldier, for his charitable acts in the redemption of hundreds of captives, designated him as a convert who might be of invaluable service to the cause of Manicheism. According to the Christian account, Mani experienced a signal discomfiture in his conference with Archelaus, bishop of Cascar.1 But his dispute with the Magian Hierarchy had a more fearful termination. It was an artifice of the new king Baharam to tempt the dangerous teacher from his castle. He was seized, flaved alive, and his skin, stuffed with straw, placed over the gate of the city of Shahpoor.

But wild as may appear the doctrines of Mani, they expired not with their author. The anniversary of his death was hallowed by his mourning disciples.^k The sect was organized upon the Christian model: he left

h According to Malcolm, he did not return till the reign of Baharam.

inprobably an improbably an improbable. Allow a city named Cascar; can we credit the choice of Greek, even Heathen, rhetoricians and grammarians as assessors in such a city and in such a contest? Archelaus, it must indeed be confessed, plays the sophist; and if Mani had been no more powerful as a reasoner, or as a speaker, he would

hardly have distracted the East and West with his doctrines. It is not improbably an imaginary dialogue in the form, though certainly not in the style, of Plato. See the best edition of it, in Routh's Reliquiæ Sacræ.

k Augustin, contr. Epist. Manichæi, c. 9. The day of Mani's death was kept holy by his followers, because he really died; the crucifixion neglected, because Christ had but seemingly expired on the cross.

his twelve apostles, his seventy-two bishops," his priesthood. His distinction between the Elect n or the Perfect, and the Hearers or Catechumens, offered an exact image of the orthodox Christian communities; and the latter were permitted to marry, to eat animal food, and to cultivate the earth.º In the East and in the West the doctrines spread with the utmost of his relirapidity; and the deep impression which they made upon the mind of man may be estimated by Manicheism having become, almost throughout Asia and Europe, a by-word of religious animosity. Mohammedan world the tenets of the Sadducean, the impious Mani, are branded as the worst and most awful impiety. In the West the progress of the believers in this most dangerous of Heresiarchs was so successful that the followers of Mani were condemned to the flames or to the mines, and the property of those who introduced the "execrable usages and foolish laws of the Persians" into the peaceful empire of Rome, confiscated to the imperial treasury. One of the edicts of Diocletian was aimed at their suppression. P St. Augustine

quorum nihil faciunt qui vocantur Electi. Augustin. Epist. ccxxxvii.

m Augustin. de Hæres. c. 46.

n The strangest notion was, that vegetables used for food were purified, that is, the divine principle of life and light separated from the material and impure, by passing through the bodies of the Elect. "Præbent alimenta electis suis, ut divina illa substantia in eorum ventre purgata, impetret eis veniam, quorum traditur oblatione purganda." Augustin. de Hæres, c. 46. It was a merit in the hearers to make these offerings. Compare Confess. iv. 1.

Auditores, qui appellantur apud eos, et carnibus vescuntur, et agros colunt, et si voluerint, uxores habent,

P See the edict in Routh, iv. p. 285. Some doubt has been thrown on its authenticity. It is questioned by S. Basnage and by Lardner, though admitted by Beausobre. I cannot think the ignorance which it betrays of the "true principles of the Manichees," the argument adduced by Lardner, of the least weight. Diocletian's predecessors were as little acquainted with the "true principles of Christianity," yet condemned them in their public proceedings.

himself q with difficulty escaped the trammels of their, creed, to become their most able antagonist; and in every century of Christianity, Manicheism, when its real nature was as much unknown as the Copernican system, was a proverb of reproach against all sectaries who

departed from the unity of the Church.

The extent of its success may be calculated by the implacable hostility of all other religions to the doctrines of Mani: the causes of that success are more difficult to conjecture. Manicheism would rally under its banner the scattered followers of the Gnostic sects: but Gnosticism was never, it would seem, popular; while Manicheism seems to have had the power of exciting a fanatic attachment to its tenets in the lower orders. The severe asceticism of their manners may have produced some effect; but in this respect they could not greatly have outdone monastic Christianity; and the distinct and definite impersonations of their creed, always acceptable to a rude and imaginative class, were encountered by formidable rivals in the demonology and the more complicated form of worship which was rapidly growing up among the Catholics."

q There is something very beautiful in the language of St. Augustine, and at the same time nothing can show more clearly the strong hold which Manicheism had obtained on the Christian world, "Illi in vos sæviant, qui nesciunt cum quo labore verum inveniatur, et quam difficile caveantur errores, Illi in vos sæviant qui nesciunt quam rarum et arduum sit carnalia phantasmata piæ mentis serenitate superare, . . . Illi in vos sæviant, qui nesciunt quibus suspiriis et gemitibus fiat, ut ex quantula-

cunque parte possit intelliga Deus. Prostremo illi in vos sæviant, qui nunquam tali errore decepti sint, quali vos deceptos vident." Contr. Epist. Manichæi, c. 2. But the spirit of controversy was too strong for the charity and justice of Augustine. The tract which appears to me to give the fairest view of the real controversy, is the Disputatio contra Fortunatum.

r The Manicheans were legally condemned under Valentinian and Valens. The houses in which they held their meetings were confiscated to the state

In the Eastern division of the Roman Empire, Christianity had obtained a signal victory. It had Triumph of subdued by patient endurance the violent hos- Christianity. tility of Galerius; it had equally defied the insidious policy of Maximin; it had twice engaged in a contest with the civil government, and twice come forth in triumph. The edict of toleration had been extorted from the dying Galerius; and the Pagan Hierarchy. and more splendid Pagan ceremonial, with which Maximin attempted to raise up a rival power, fell to the ground on his defeat by Licinius, which closely followed that of Maxentius by Constantine. The Christian communities had publicly reassembled; the churches were rising in statelier form in all the cities; the bishops had reassumed their authority over their scattered but undiminished flocks. Though, in the one case, indignant animosity and the desire of vindicating the severity of their measures against a sect dangerous for its numbers as well as its principles, in the other the glowing zeal of the martyr may be suspected of some exaggeration, yet when a public imperial edict, and the declarations of the Christians themselves, assert the numerical predominance of the Christian party, it is im- Numbers of possible to doubt that their numbers, as well tians. as their activity, were imposing and formidable. a rescript of Maximin, the Emperor states that it had been forced on the observation of his august fathers,

(Cod. Theodos. xvi. 3). By Theodosius, they were declared infamous, and incapable of inheriting by law, xvi. 17. The condemnation of the Manicheans in Rome, by Pope Leo I., the Great (The Manicheans in Sicily—Greg. M. Epist. iv. 6); their revival

in the Middle Ages, and their extensive dissemination, at least as to their leading principles; the undying obstinacy of their tenets—is one of the most curious chapters in Christian history. See Latin Christianity, i. 171, iv. 91, &c.

Diocletian and Maximian, that almost all mankind had abandoned the worship of their ancestors, and united themselves to the Christian sect; 8 and Lucianus, a presbyter of Antioch, who suffered martyrdom under Maximin, asserts in his last speech that the greater part of the world had rendered its allegiance to Christianity; entire cities, and even the rude inhabitants of country districts. These statements refer more particularly to

state of the East with re-

the East: and in the East various reasons would lead to the supposition that the Christians bore a larger proportion to the rest of propagation of Christian the population than in the other parts of the empire, except perhaps in Africa. The East

was the native country of the new religion; the substratum of Judaism, on which it rested, was broader; and Judaism had extended its own conquests much

liquiæ Sacræ, iii. 293. Gibbon has attempted to form a calculation of the relative numbers of the Christians (see ch. xv. vol. ii. p. 363, with my note); he is, perhaps, inclined to underrate the proportion which they bore to the Heathens, Yet, notwithstanding the quotations above, and the high authority of Porson and of Routh, I should venture to doubt their being the majority, except, possibly, in a few Eastern cities. In fact, in a population so fluctuating as that of the empire at this time, any accurate calculation would have been nearly impossible. M. Beugnot agrees very much with Gibbon; and, I should conceive, with regard to the West, is clearly right, though I shall allege presently some reasons for the more rapid progress of Christianity in the

Σγεδὸν ἄπαντας ἀνθρώπους, καταλειφθείσης της των θεών θρησκείας, τω έθνει των Χριστιανών συμμεμιχότας. Apud Euseb. Hist. Ec. ix. 9.

^t "Pars pœne mundi jam major huic veritati adstipulatur; urbes integræ; aut si in his aliquid suspectum videatur, contestatur de his etiam agrestis manus, ignara figmenti." This speech, it is true, is only contained in the Latin translation of Eusebius by Rufinus. But there is a calm character in its tone, which avouches its authenticity, The high authority of Porson and Dr. Routh requires the addition of the following note: "Præstitisse aliis multitudine his quoque temporibus Christianos, scriptum extat apud Porphyrium, qui eos alicubi nominavit Toùs πλείονας, ut me olim fecit certiorem eruditissimus Porsonus." Routh, Re- West of Europe.

farther by proselytism, and had thus prepared the way for Christianity. In Egypt and in the Asiatic provinces all the early modifications of Christian opinions, the Gnostic sects of all descriptions, had arisen; showing, as it were, by their fertility the exuberance of religious life and the congeniality of the soil to their prolific vegetation. The constitution of society was, in some respects, more favourable than in Italy to the development of the new religion. But it may be questioned whether the Western provinces did not at last offer the most open field for its free and undisputed course. the East, the civilisation was Greek, or, in the remoter regions, Asiatic. The Romans assumed the sovereignty. and the highest offices of the government were long held by men of Italian birth. Some of the richer patricians possessed extensive estates in the different provinces. but below this the native population retained its own habits and usages. Unless in the mercantile towns, which were crowded with foreign settlers from all quarters, who brought their manners, their customs, and their deities, the whole society was Greek, Syrian, or Egyptian. Above all, there was a native religion; and however this loose confederacy of religious republics, of independent colleges or fraternities of the local or the national priesthoods, might only be held together by the bond of common hostility to the new faith, yet everywhere this religion was ancient, established, conformed to the habits of the people, endeared by local vanity, strengthened by its connexion with municipal privileges, recognised by the homage and sanctioned by the worship of the civil authorities. The Roman prefect, or pro-consul, considered every form of Paganism as sufficiently identified with that of Rome to demand his respect and support: everywhere he found

deities with the same names or attributes as those of the imperial city; and everywhere, therefore, there was an alliance, seemingly close and intimate, between the

local religion and the civil government.

In the Western provinces, Gaul, Spain, and Britain, of the West. but more particularly in Gaul, the constitution of society was very different. It was Roman, formed by the influx of colonists from different quarters, and the gradual adoption of Roman manners by the natives. It had grown up on the wane of Paganism. There was no old, or established, or national religion. The ancient Druidism had been proscribed as a dark and inhuman superstition, or had gradually worn away before the progress of Roman civilisation. Out of Italy, the gods of Italy were, to a certain degree, strangers; the Romans, as a nation, built no temples in their conquered provinces: the munificence of an individual, sometimes, perhaps, of the reigning Cæsar, after having laid down the military road, built the aqueduct, or encircled the vast arena of the amphitheatre, might raise a fane to his own tutelary divinity." Of the foreign settlers, each brought his worship; each set up his gods; vestiges of every kind of religion, Greek, Asiatic, Mithraic, have been discovered in Gaul, but none was dominant or exclusive. This state of society would require or welcome, or at all events offer less resistance to the propagation of a new faith. After it had once passed the Alps,* Christianity made rapid progress; and the father of Constantine may have been guided no

Apollo; of one, "the most beautiful Cellarius. in the world," the site is unknown: Serius trans alpes religione Dei

[&]quot; Eumenius, in his panegyric on or Vienne; the other was at Autun. Constantine, mentious two temples of Eumen. Paneg. xxi., with the note of

it is supposed to have been at Lyons | susceptâ? Sulpic. Sever. H. E. lib. ii.

less by policy than humanity, in his reluctant and merciful execution of the persecuting edicts of Diocletian and Galerius.

Such was the position of Christianity when Constantine commenced his struggle for universal empire. In the East, though rejected by the ancient rival of Rome. the kingdom of Persia, it was acknowledged as the religion of the state by a neighbouring nation. In the Roman provinces, it was emerging victorious from a period of the darkest trial; and though still threatened by the hostility of Maximin, that hostility was constrained to wear an artful disguise, and, when it ventured to assume a more open form, was obliged to listen, at least with feigned respect, to the remonstrances of the victorious Constantine. In the North, at least in that part from which Constantine derived his main strength, it was respected and openly favoured by the Government. Another striking circumstance might influence the least superstitious mind, and is stated by the ecclesiastical historian not to have been without effect on Constantine himself. Of all the Emperors who had been invested with the purple, either as Augusti or Cæsars, during the persecution of the Christians, his father alone, the protector of Christianity, had gone down to an honoured and peaceful grave.y Diocletian, indeed, still lived,

ώς οὐδὲν ἄναντο οἱ περὶ Διοκλητιανὸν, περὶ τοὺς ἑλλήνων θεοὺς διακείμενοι, ἤυρισκεν τε ὡς ὁ αἴτου πατὴρ, Κονστάντιος, ἀποστραφείς τὰς Ἑλλήνων θρησκείας, εἰδαιμονέστερον τὸν βιὸν διήγαγεν. It was in this mood of mind that he saw the vision of the cross. Socr. Eccl. Hist. i. 2.

T Euseb. Vit. Const. i. 21; Socrat. & Colored Arbordan Socrates is remarkable. Constantine, he says, was meditating the liberation of the empire from its tyrants: καὶ ὡς την φοντίδι, ἐπενόει τίνα θεὸν επίκουρον πρὸς τὴν μάχην καλέσειε, κατὰ νοῦν δὲ ἐλάμβανεν, i. 2.

but in what, no doubt, appeared to most of his former subjects, an inglorious retirement. However End of the the philosophy of the abdicated Emperor might persecutors of Christianity. teach him to show the vegetables of his garden, as worthy of as much interest to a mind of real dignity as the distinctions of worldly honour; however he may have been solicited by a falling and desperate faction to resume the purple, his abdication was no doubt, in general, attributed to causes less dignified than the contempt of earthly grandeur. Conscious derangement of mind (a malady inseparably connected, according to the religious notions of Jew, Pagan, probably of Christian during that age, with the divine displeasure), or remorse of conscience, was reported to embitter the calm decline of Diocletian's life. Instead of an object of envy, no doubt, in the general sentiment of mankind, he was thought to merit only aversion or contempt. Maximian (Herculius), the colleague of Diocletian, after resuming the purple, engaging in base intrigues, or open warfare, against his son Maxentius, and afterwards against his protector Constantine, had anticipated the sentence of the executioner. Severus had been made prisoner, and forced to open his own veins. Galerius, the chief author of the persecution, had experienced the most miserable fate; he had wasted away with a slow and agonising and loathsome disease. Maximin alone remained, hereafter to perish in miserable obscurity. Nor should it be forgotten that the great persecutor of the Christians had been the jealous tyrant of Constantine's youth.

^{*} It is curious how undying are | only Pope who ever died in a state of Clement XIV. (Ganganelli) was the assertion is remarkable.

such prejudices. I remember that M. derangement (Boniface VIII.?). I Crétineau Joly somewhere asserts that doubt both his historical facts, but the

Constantine had preserved his liberty, perhaps his life. only by the boldness and rapidity of his flight from the court of Galerius.a

Under all these circumstances, Constantine was advancing against Rome. The battle of Verona War of had decided the fate of the empire: the vast constantine against forces of Maxentius had melted away before Maxentius. the sovereign of Gaul; but Rome, the capital, was still held with the obstinacy of despair by the voluptuous tyrant Maxentius. Constantine appeared on the banks of the Tiber, though invested with the Roman purple, yet a foreign conqueror. Many of his troops were Barbarians, Kelts, Germans, Britons; yet, in all probability, there were many of the Gaulish Christians in his army. Maxentius threw himself upon the gods, as well as upon the people of Rome; he attempted with desperate earnestness to rally the energy of Roman valour under the awfulness of the Roman religion.

During the early part of his reign, Maxentius, intent upon his pleasures, had treated the religious Religion of divisions of Rome with careless indifference, Maxentius. or had endeavoured to conciliate the Christian party by conniving at their security. His deification of Galerius had been, as it were, an advance to the side of Paganism. The rebellion of Africa, which he revenged by the devastation of Carthage, was likely to bring him into

Persia, Constantine himself acknowledges the influence of these motives γένος, τὰς ἐκείνων συμφορὰς ἀντ' σθαι, άλλ' ἐκείνους ἄπαντας τοιοῦτον

^{*} In his letter to Sapor, King of | τιμωρον τέλος κατανάλωσεν, ώς παν το μετ' εκείνους ανθρώπων on his mind: δν πολλοί των τήδε άλλου παραδείγματος, ἐπαράτους βασιλευσάντων, μανιώδεσι πλάναις τοῖς τὰ ὅμοια ζηλοῦσι τίθεσθαι. ὑπαχθέντες, ἐπεχείρησαν ἀρνήσα- Apud Theodoret. Ecc. Hist. i. c. 25.

hostile contact with the numerous Christians of that province. In Rome itself an event had occurred which, however darkly described, was connected with the antagonistic religious parties in the capital. A fire had broken out in the temple of the Fortune of Rome. The tutelary deity of the Roman greatness—an awful omen in this dark period of decline and dissolution!—was in danger. A soldier—it is difficult to ascribe such temerity to any but a Christian fanatic—uttered some words of insult against the revered, and it might be alienated, goddess. The indignant populace rushed upon the traitor to the majesty of Rome, and summoned the prætorian cohorts to wreak their vengeance on all who could be supposed to share in the sentiments of the apostate soldier. Maxentius is accused by one Christian and one Pagan historian of having instigated the tumult; by one Pagan he is said to have used his utmost exertions to allay its fury. Both statements may be true; though at first he may have given free scope to the massacre, at a later period he may have taken alarm, and attempted to restore the peace of the city.b Of the direct hostility of Maxentius to Christianity, the evidence is dubious and obscure. A Roman matron preferred the glory, or the crime, of suicide, rather than submit to his lustful embraces. But it was the beauty, no doubt, not the religion of Sophronia,

most serious and effective charges against the faith, its treacherous hostility to the greatness of Rome. The words of the Pagan Zosimus are very strong:— Βλάσφημα βήματα κατὰ τοῦ θείου στρατιωτῶν τις ἀφείς, καὶ τοῦ πλήθους διὰ τὴν πρὸς τὸ θείον εὐσέβειαν ἐπελθόντος ἀναιρεθείς. Zos. Hist. ii. 13.

which excited the passions of Maxentius, whose licentiousness comprehended almost all the noble families of Rome in its insulting range.° The Papal history, not improbably resting on more ancient authority, represents Maxentius as degrading the Pope Marcellus to the humble function of a groom. The predecessor of the Gregories and Innocents swept the Imperial stable.^d

The darkening and more earnest Paganism of Maxentius is more clearly disclosed by the circum-His Paganstances of his later history. He had ever ism. listened with trembling deference to the expounders of signs and omens. He had suspended his expedition against Carthage, because the signs were not propitious. Before the battle of Verona, he commanded the Sybilline books to be consulted. "The enemy of the Romans will perish," answered the prudent and ambiguous oracle; but who could be the enemy of Rome but the foreign Constantine, descending from his imperial residence at Treves, with troops levied in the barbarous provinces, and of whom the gods of Rome, though not yet declaredly hostile to their cause, might entertain a jealous suspicion?

On the advance of Constantine, Maxentius redoubled his religious activity. He paid his adoration at the altars of all the gods; he consulted all the diviners of future events. He had shut himself in his palace; the adverse signs made him take refuge in a private house. Darker rumours were propagated in the East: he is reported to have attempted to read the secrets of futurity

e Euseb. Vit. Const. i. 33, 34.

d Anastasius, Vit. Marcell.; Platina, Vit. Pontificum in Marcello.

e Zosimus, ii. 14.

f Euseb. Vit. Const. i. 21; speaks of his κακοτέχνους καλ γοητικάς μαγγανείας.

g Zosimus, ii, 14,

in the entrails of pregnant women; h to have sought an alliance with the infernal deities, and endeavoured by magical formularies to avert the impending danger. However the more enlightened Pagans might disclaim the weak, licentious, and sanguinary Maxentius, as the representative either of the Roman majesty or the Roman religion, in the popular mind, probably, an intimate connexion united the cause of the Italian sovereign with the fortunes and the gods of Rome. It is possible that Constantine might attempt to array against this imposing barrier of ancient superstition the power of the new and triumphant faith: he might appeal, as it were, to the God of the Christians against the gods of the capital. His small, though victorious, army might derive courage in their attack on the fate-hallowed city, from whose neighbourhood Galerius had so recently returned in discomfiture, from a vague notion that they were under the protection of a tutelar deity, of whose nature they were but imperfectly informed, and whose worshippers constituted no insignificant part of their barbarian army.

Up to this period all that we know of Constantine's religion would imply that he was outwardly, Constantine. and even zealously, Pagan. In a public oration his panegyrist extols the magnificence of his offerings to the gods. His victorious presence was not merely expected to restore more than their former splendour to the Gaulish cities, ruined by barbaric incursions, but sumptuous temples were to arise at his bidding, to propitiate the deities, particularly Apollo, his tutelary God.

h Euseb. Vit. Const. i. 36.

vocare ad se templa videntur, præci-Merito igitur augustissima illa pueque Apollo noster, cujus fervendelubra tantis donariis honorâsti, ut tibus aquis perjuria puniuntur, quæ jam vetera non quærant. Jam omnia te maxime oportet odisse. Nec magis

The medals struck for these victories are covered with the symbols of Paganism. Eusebius himself admits that Constantine was at this time in doubt which religion he should embrace, and, after his vision, required to be instructed in the doctrines of Christianity.¹

The scene in which the memorable vision of Constantine is laid varies widely in the different accounts. Several places in Gaul lay claim to the honour of this momentous event in Christian history. If we assume the most probable period for such an occurrence, whatever explanation we adopt of the vision itself, it would be at this awful crisis in the destiny of Constantine and of the world, before the walls of Rome; an instant when, if we could persuade ourselves that the Almighty Ruler, in such a manner, interposed to proclaim the fall of Paganism and the establishment of Christianity, it would have been a public and a solemn occasion, worthy of the Divine interference. Nowhere, on the other hand, was the high-wrought imagination of Constantine so likely to be seized with religious awe, and to transform some extraordinary appearance in the heavens into the sign of the prevailing Deity of Christ; nowhere, lastly, would policy more imperiously require some strong religious impulse to counterbalance the hostile terrors of Paganism, embattled against him.

Eusebius, m the Bishop of Cæsarea, asserts that Constantine himself made, and confirmed by an oath, the extraordinary statement, which was received with im-

Jovi Junonique recubantibus terra submisit, quam circa tua, Constantine, vestigia urbes et templa consurgunt. Eumenii Panegyr. cxxi.

κ Ἐννοεῖ δῆτα όποῖον δέοι θεὸν
 ἐπιγραψάσθαι βοηθὸν. Euseb. Vit.

Constant. c. 27-32.

^m Vit. Const. i. 28. The recent editor of Eusebius has well called the life of Constantine a Christian Cyropædia,

plicit veneration during many ages of Christianity, but which the severer judgement of modern historical inquiry has called in question, has investigated with the most searching accuracy, and almost universally destroyed its authority with rational men; yet, it must be admitted, found no satisfactory explanation of its origin. While Constantine was meditating in grave

n The silence, not only of all contemporary history (the legend of Artemius, abandoned even by Tillemont, does not deserve the name), but of Eusebius himself, in his Ecclesiastical History, gives a most dangerous advantage to those who altogether reject the story. But on whom is the invention of the story to be fathered? On Eusebius? who, although his conscience might not be delicately scrupulous on the subject of pious fraud, is charged with no more than the suppression of truth, not with the direct invention of falsehood. Or, on Constantine himself? Could it be with him a deliberate fiction to command the higher veneration of the Christian party? Or was his imagination at the time, or was his memory in his later days, deceived by some inexplicable illusion?

The first excursus of Heinichen, in his edition of Eusebius, contains the fullest, and, on the whole, the most temperate and judicious discussion of this subject, so inexhaustibly interesting, yet so inexplicable, to the historical inquirer. There are three leading theories, variously modified by their different partisans: 1. A real miracle. 2. A natural phænomenon, presented to the imagination of the Emperor. 3. A deliberate invention

on the part of the Emperor, or of Eusebius. The first has few partisans in the present day. "Ut enim miraculo Constantinum a superstitione gentili avocatum esse, nemo facile hac ætate adhuc credet," Heinichen. p. 522. Independent of all other objections, the moral difficulty in the text is to me conclusive. The third has its partisans, but appears to me to be absolutely incredible. But the general consent of the more learned and dispassionate writers seems in favour of the second, which was first, I believe, suggested by F. Albert Fabricius. In this concur Schroeck. the German Church historian, Neander, Manso, Heinichen, and, in short, all modern writers who have any claim to historical criticism.

The great difficulty which encumbers the theory which resolves it into a solar halo or some natural phenomenon is the legend $\ell\nu$ $\tau o \hat{\nu} \tau \varphi$ $\nu l \kappa \varphi$, which no optical illusion can well explain, if it be taken literally. The only rational theory is to suppose that this was the inference drawn by the mind of Constantine, and embodied in these words; which, from being inscribed on the Labarum, or on the arms or any other public monument, as commemorative of the event, gradually grew into an integral part of

earnestness the claims of the rival religions,—on one hand the awful fate of those who had persecuted Christianity, on the other the necessity of some divine assistance to counteract the magical incantations of his enemy,—he addressed his prayers to the One great Supreme. On a sudden, a short time after noon, appeared a bright cross in the heavens, just above the sun, with this inscription, "By this, conquer." Awe seized himself and the whole army, who were witnesses of the wonderful phænomenon. But of the signification of the vision Constantine was altogether ignorant. Sleep fell upon his harassed mind, and during his sleep Christ himself appeared, and enjoined him to make a banner in the shape of that celestial sign, under which his arms would be for ever crowned with victory.

Constantine immediately commanded the famous Labarum to be made,—the Labarum which for a long time was borne at the head of the Imperial armies, and venerated as a sacred relic at Constantinople. The shaft of this celebrated standard was cased with gold; above the transverse beam, which formed the cross, was wrought in a golden crown the monogram, or rather the device of two letters, which signified the name of Christ. And so for the first time the meek and peaceful Jesus became a God of battle, and the cross, the holy sign of Christian redemption, a banner of bloody strife.

the original vision.

The later and more poetic writers adorn the shields and the helmets of the whole army with the sign of the cross.

Testis Christicolæ ducis adventantis ad urbem Mulvius, exceptum Tiberina in stagna tyrannum Praccipitans, quanam victricia viderit Majestate regi, quod signum dextera vindex Prætulerit, quali radiarint stemmate pila. Christus purpureum, gemmanti textus in

auro, Signabat labarum, *clypeorum insignia Christus* Scripserat: ardebat summis crux addita

Prudent. in Symmachum, v. 482.

Euseb. Vit. Const. i. 28; H. E. ix. 9. Zosimus, ii. 15. Manso, Leben Constantins, p. 41, seqq.

This irreconcileable incongruity between the symbol of universal peace and the horrors of war, in my judgement, is conclusive against the miraculous or supernatural character of the transaction.º Yet the admission of Christianity, not merely as a controlling power, and the most effective auxiliary of civil government (an office not unbecoming its divine origin), but as the animating principle of barbarous warfare, argues at once the commanding influence which it had obtained over the human mind, as well as its degeneracy from its pure and spiritual origin. The unimpeached and unquestioned authority of this miracle during so many centuries, shows how completely, in the association which took place between Barbarism and Christianity, the former maintained its predominance. This was the first advance to the military Christianity of the Middle Ages, a modification of the pure religion of the Gospel, if directly opposed to its genuine principles, still apparently indispensable to the social progress of men; through which the Roman Empire and the barbarous nations, which were blended together in the vast European and Christian system, must necessarily have passed, before they could arrive at a higher civilisation and a purer Christianity.

[•] I was agreeably surprised to find that Mosheim concurred in these sentiments, for which I will readily encounter the charge of Quakerism.

[&]quot;Hæccine oratio servatori generis humani, qui peccata hominum morte suâ expiavit; hæccine oratio illo digna est, qui pacis auctor mortalibus est, et so hostibus ignoscere vult. Caveamus ne veterum Christianorum narrationibus de ætatis suæ miraculis acrius defendendis in ipsam majestatem other t

Dei, et sanctissimam religionem, quæ non hostes, sed non ipsos debellare docet, injurii simus." De Reb. ante Const. 985. When the Empress Helena, among the other treasures of the tomb of Christ, found the nails which fastened him to the cross, Constantine turned them into a helmet and bits for his warhorse. Socrates, i. 17. True or fabulous, the story is characteristic of the Christian sentiment then prevalent.

The fate of Rome and of Paganism was decided in the battle of the Milvian Bridge; the eventual result was the establishment of the Christian empire. But to Constantine himself, if at this time Christianity had obtained any hold upon his mind, it was now the Christianity of the warrior, as subsequently it was that of the statesman. It was the military commander who availed himself of the assistance of any tutelar divinity who might insure success to his daring enterprise.

Christianity, in its higher sense, appeared neither in the acts nor in the decrees of the victorious Conduct of Constantine after the defeat of Maxentius. Constantine Though his general conduct was tempered tory over with a wise clemency, yet the execution of his enemies and the barbarous death of the infant son of Maxentius, still showed the same relentless disposition which had exposed the barbarian chieftains, whom he had taken in his successful campaign beyond the Rhine, in the arena at Treves.^p The Emperor still maintained the same proud superiority over the conflicting religions of the empire, which afterwards appeared at the foundation of the new metropolis. Even in the Labarum, if the initiated eyes of the Christian soldiery could discern the sacred symbol of Christ indistinctly glittering above the cross, there appeared, either embossed on the beam below, or embroidered on the square purple banner which depended from it, the bust of the Emperor and those of his family, to whom the heathen part of his army might pay their homage of veneration. Constantine, though he does not appear to have ascended to

selected by the panegyrical orator as severitati, ad pœnas spectaculo dati, a topic of the highest praise. "Puberes, sævientes bestias multitudine sua fatiqui in manus venerunt et quorum nec garunt." Eumenii Panegr. c. xii.

P One of these barbarous acts was | perfidia erat apta militiæ nec ferocia

the Capitol, to pay his homage and to offer sacrifice q to Jupiter the best and greatest, and the other tutelary deities of Rome (in general the first act of a victorious emperor), yet did not decline to attend the sacred games." Among the acts of the conqueror in Rome, was the restoration of the Pagan temples; among his imperial titles he did not decline that of the Pontifex Maximus.s The province of Africa, in return for the bloody head of their oppressor Maxentius, was permitted to found a college of priests in honour of the Flavian family.

The first public edict of Constantine in favour of Christianity is lost; that issued at Milan in the Edict of joint names of Constantine and Licinius, is Constantine from Milan. the great charter of the liberties of Christianity.t But it is an edict of full and unlimited toleration, and no more. It recognises Christianity as one of the legal forms by which the Divinity may be worshipped." It

^q Euseb, Vit. Const. i. 51. Le Beau, Histoire du Bas Empire, l. ii. c. xvi.

^{*} Nec quidquam aliud homines, diebus munerum sacrorumque ludorum, quam te ipsum spectare potuerunt. Incert. Paneg. c. xix.

E Zosimus, iv. 36.

^{*} The edict, or rather the copy, sent by Licinius to the Prefect of Bithynia in Lactantius, De Mort. Pers. xlviii.

[&]quot; Decree of Milan, A.D. 313. "Hæc ordinanda esse credidimus, ut daremus et Christianis et omnibus liberam potestatem sequendi religionem quam quisque voluisset, quod quidem divinitas in sede cœlesti nobis atque omnibus qui sub potestate nostrâ sunt constituti, placata ac propitia possit

was that equivocal term for the Supreme Deity, admitted by the Pagan as well as the Christian. What Zosimus called τὸ θειὸν] etiam aliis religionis suæ vel observantiæ potestatem similiter apertam, et liberam, pro quiete temporis nostri esse concessam. ut in colendo quod quisque delegerit, habeat liberam facultatem, quia (nolumus detrahi) honori neque cuiquam religioni aliquid a nobis.

I will transcribe, however, the observations of Kestner on this point: "Multi merito observârunt, animum illud ostendere (sc. decretum Mediolense) ab antiqua religione minime alienum. Observandum vere, parum hoc decretum valere, ut veram Constantini mentem inde intelligamus. Non solus quippe illius auctor fuit, existere." [This divinitas, I conceive, sed Licinius quoque-Huic autem-

performs an act of justice in restoring all the public buildings and the property which had been confiscated by the persecuting edicts of former emperors. Where the churches or their sites remained in the possession of the imperial treasury, they were restored without any compensation; where they had been alienated, the grants were resumed; where they had been purchased, the possessors were offered an indemnity for their enforced and immediate surrender, from the state. The prefects were to see the restitution carried into execution without delay and without chicanery. But the same absolute freedom of worship was secured to all other religions; and this proud and equitable indifference is to secure the favour of the divinity to the reigning emperors. The whole tone of this edict is that of imperial clemency, which condescends to take under its protection an oppressed and injured class of subjects, rather than that of an awe-struck proselyte, esteeming Christianity the one true religion, and already determined to enthrone it as the dominant and established faith of the empire.

The earlier laws of Constantine, though in their effects favourable to Christianity, claimed some de-Earlier laws of Constantine. The ambiguity of their language, and the cautious terms in which they interfered with the liberty of Paganism. The rescript commanding the celebration of the Chris-

etsi iis (Christianis) non sincerus erat amicus, parcere debuit Constantinus; neque cæteris displicere voluit subditis, qui antiquam religionem profiterentur. Quamvis igitur etiam religionis indole plenius jam fuisset imbutus, ob rerum tamen, quæ id

temporis erant, conditionem, manifestare mentem non potuisset. Kestner, Disp. de commut. quam, Constant. M. auct. societas subiit Christiana. Compare Heinichen, Excurs. in Vit. Const. p. 513.

tian Sabbath, bears no allusion to its peculiar sanctity as a Christian institution. It is the day of the Sun, which is to be observed by the general veneration; the courts were to be closed, and the noise and tumult of public business and legal litigation were no longer to violate the repose of the sacred day. But the believer in the new Paganism, of which the solar worship was the characteristic, might acquiesce without scruple in the sanctity of the first day of the week. The genius of Christianity appears more manifestly in the single civil act, which was exempted from the general restriction on public business. The courts were to be open for the manumission of slaves on the hallowed day.x In the first aggression on the freedom of Paganism, though the earliest law speaks in a severe and vindictive tone, a second tempers the stern language of the former statute, and actually authorises the superstition against which it is directed, as far as it might be supposed beneficial to mankind. The itinerant soothsayers and diviners, who exercised their arts in private houses, formed no recognised part of the old religion. Their rites were supposed to be connected with all kinds of cruel and licentious practices—with magic and unlawful sacrifices. They performed their ceremonies at midnight among tombs, where they evoked the dead; or in dark chambers, where they made libations of the blood of the living. They were darkly rumoured not to abstain, on occasions, from human blood, to offer children on the altar, and to read the secrets of futurity in the palpitating entrails of human victims. These unholy practices were proscribed by the old Roman law and the old Roman religion.

^{*} Cod. Theodos. ii. viii. 1. Vit. Constant. iv. 18. Zosimus, i. 8.

This kind of magic was a capital offence by the laws of the Twelve Tables. Secret divinations had been interdicted by former emperors,-by Tiberius and by Diocletian.y The suppression of these rites by Constantine might appear no more than a strong regulation of police for the preservation of the public morals.2 The soothsayer who should presume to enter a private house to practise his unlawful art, was to be burned alive: those who received him were condemned to the forfeiture of their property and to exile. But in the public temple, according to the established rites, the priests and seers might still unfold the secrets of futurity; the people were recommended to apply to them rather than to the unauthorised diviners, and this permission was more explicitly guaranteed by a subsequent rescript.^a Those arts which professed to avert the thunder from the house, the hurricane and the desolating shower from the fruitful field, were expressly sanctioned as beneficial to the husbandman. Even in case of the royal palace being struck by lightning, the ancient ceremony of propitiating the Deity was to be practised, and the haruspices were to declare the meaning of the awful portent.b

Yet some acts of Constantine, even at this early period, might encourage the expanding hopes Constantine's of the Christians that they were destined encourage mentof Christianity.

Justice from the Emperor. His acts of liberality were

[&]quot; Haruspices secreto ac sine testibus consuli vetuit." Suetonius, Tib. c. 63. " Ars mathematica damnabilis est et interdicta omnino." Compare Beugnot, i. 79.

² It was addressed to Maximus, prefect of the city. Cod. Theod. xi. 8, 2.

^{*} Adite aras publicas atque delubra, et consuetudinis vestræ celebrate solemnia: nec enim prohibemus præteritæ usurpationis officia liberâ luce tractari. Cod. Theodos. xi. 16.

b Cod. Theodos. ix. 16, xvi. 10.

beyond those of a sovereign disposed to redress the wrongs of an oppressed class of his subjects; he not merely enforced by his edict the restoration of their churches and estates, he enabled them, by his own munificence—his gift of a large sum of money to the Christians of Africa—to rebuild their ruined edifices, and restore their sacred rites with decent solemnity.c Many of the churches in Rome claim the first Christian Emperor for their founder. most distinguished of these, and, at the same time, those which are best supported in their pretensions to antiquity, stood on the sites now occupied by the Lateran and by St. Peter's. If it could be ascertained at what period in the life of Constantine these churches were built, some light might be thrown on the history of his personal religion. For, the Lateran being an imperial palace, the grant of a basilica within its walls for the Christian worship (for such we may conjecture to have been the first church), was a kind of direct recognition, if not of his own regular personal attendance, at least of his admission of Christianity within his domestic circle.d The palace was afterwards granted to the Christians, the first patrimony of the Popes. The Vatican suburb seems to have been the favourite place for the settlement of foreign religions. It was thickly peopled with Jews from an early period; e and remarkable vestiges of the worship of Cybele, which appear to have flourished side by side, as it were, with that of Christianity, remained to the fourth, or the fifth, century. The site of

c See the original grant of 3000 | first synod held to decide on the Donatist schism. Optat. i. 23. Fausta may have been a Christian.

folles to Cæcilian, bishop of Carthage, in Eusebius, Eccl. Hist. x. 6.

d The Lateran was the residence of the Princess Fausta; it is called the Domus Faustæ in the account of the schreibung, i. p. 23.

e Basnage, vii. 210, Hist. of Jews. f Bunsen und Platner, Roms' Be-

St. Peter's Church was believed to occupy the spot hallowed by his martyrdom; and the Christians must have felt no unworthy pride in employing the materials of Nero's Circus, the scene of the sanguinary pleasures of the first persecutor, on a church dedicated to the memory of his now honoured, if not absolutely wor-

shipped, victim.

With the protection, the Emperor assumed the control over the affairs of the Christian communities: to the cares of the public administration was added a recognised supremacy over the Christian Church. The extent to which Christianity now prevailed is shown by the importance at once assumed by the Christian bishops, who brought not only their losses and their sufferings during the persecution of Diocletian, but, unhappily, likewise their quarrels, before the imperial tribunal. From his palace at Treves, Constantine had not only to assemble military councils to debate on the necessary measures for the protection of the German frontier and the maintenance of the imperial armies. and councils of finance to remodel and enforce the taxation of the different provinces, but likewise synods of Christian bishops to decide on the contests which had grown up in the remote and unruly province of Africa. The Emperor himself is said frequently to have appeared without his imperial state, and, with neither guards nor officers around him, to have mingled in the debate, and expressed his satisfaction at their unanimity, whenever that rare virtue adorned their counsels.g

For Constantine, though he could give protection,

[«] Euseb, Vit. Const. I. xliv. χαίροντα δεικνὺς ἐαυτὸν τῆ κοινῆ πάντων
δμονοία. Eusebius says too that he

β

μονοία.

could not give peace to Christianity. It is the nature of man, that whatever powerfully moves, agitates to excess the public mind. With new views of those subjects which make a deep and lasting impression, new passions awaken. The profound stagnation of the human mind during the government of the earlier Cæsars had been stirred in its inmost depths by the silent underworking of the new faith. Momentous questions, which, up to that time, had been entirely left to a small intellectual aristocracy, had been calmly debated in the villa of the Roman senator or the grove sacred to philosophy, or discussed by sophists whose frigid dialectics wearied without exciting the mind, had been gradually brought down to the common apprehension. The nature of the Deity; the state of the soul after death; the equality of mankind in the sight of the Deity-even questions which are beyond the verge of human intellect; the origin of evil; the connexion of the physical and moral world—had become general topics; they were, for the first time, the primary truths of a popular religion, and naturally could not withdraw themselves from the alliance with popular passions. These passions, as Christianity increased in power and influence, came into more active operation; as they seized on persons of different temperament, instead of being themselves subdued to Christian gentleness, they inflamed Christianity, as it appeared to the world, into a new and more indomitable principle of strife and animosity. Mankind, even within the sphere of Christianity, retrograded to the sterner Jewish character: and in its spirit, as well as in its language, the Old Testament began to dominate over the Gospel of Christ.

The first civil wars which divided Christianity were those of Donatism and the Trinitarian controversy.

The Gnostic sects, in their different varieties, and the Manichean, were rather rival religions than Dissensions Christian factions. Though the adherents of ity. these sects professed to be disciples of Christianity, yet they had their own separate constitutions, their own priesthood, their own ceremonial. Donatism was a fierce and implacable schism in an established community. It was embraced with all the wild ardour, and maintained with the blind obstinacy, of the African temperament. It originated in a disputed appointment to the episcopal dignity at Carthage. The Bishop of Carthage, if in name inferior (for everything connected with the ancient capital still maintained its superior dignity in the general estimation), stood higher, probably, in proportion to the extent of his influence and the relative numbers of his adherents, as compared with the Pagan population, than any Christian dignitary in the West. The African Churches had suffered more than usual oppression during the persecution of Diocletian, not improbably during the invasion of Maxentius. External force, which in other quarters compressed the body into closer and more compact unity, in Africa left behind it a fatal principle of disorganisation. These rival claims to the see of Carthage brought the opponent parties into inevitable collision.

The pontifical offices of Paganism, ministering in a ceremonial to which the people were either indifferent or bound only by habitual attachment, calmly descended in their hereditary course, were nominated by the municipal magistracy, or attached to the higher civil offices. They awoke no ambition, they caused no contention; they did not interest society enough to disturb it. But the growth of the sacerdotal power was a necessary consequence of the

development of Christianity. The hierarchy asserted (they were believed to possess) the power of sealing the eternal destiny of man. From a post of danger, which modest piety was compelled to assume by the unsought and unsolicited suffrages of the whole community, a bishopric had become an office of dignity, influence, and, at times, of wealth. The prelate ruled not now so much by his admitted superiority in Christian virtue, as by the inalienable authority of his office. He opened or closed the door of the church, which was tantamount to an admission or an exclusion from everlasting bliss; he uttered the sentence of excommunication, which cast back the trembling delinquent among the lost and perishing Heathen. He had his throne in the most distinguished part of the Christian temple; and though vet acting in the presence and in the name of his college of presbyters, yet he was the acknowledged head of a large community, over whose eternal destiny he held a vague, but not therefore less imposing and awful, dominion. Among the African Christians, perhaps by the commanding character of Cyprian, in his writings at least, the episcopal power is elevated to its utmost height. No wonder that, with the elements of strife fermenting in the society, and hostile parties already arrayed against each other, the contest for this commanding post should often be commenced with blind violence, and carried on with irreconcileable hostility.h

In every community, no doubt, had grown up a severer party, who were anxious to contract the pale of salvation to the narrowest compass; and a more liberal

h The principal source of informa- | subjoined to them; and for their later tion concerning the Donatist contro- history, various passages in the works

versy is the works of Optatus, with of St. Augustine. the valuable collection of documents

class, who were more lenient to the infirmities of their brethren, and would extend to the utmost limits the beneficial effects of the Redemption. The fiery ordeal of the persecution tried the Christians of Africa by the most searching test, and drew more strongly the line of demarcation. Among the summary proceedings of the persecution, which were carried into effect with unrelenting severity by Anulinus, the Prefect of Africa (the same who, by a singular vicissitude in political affairs, became the instrument of Constantine's munificent grants to the churches of his province), none was more painful to the feelings of the Christians than the demand of the unconditional surrender of the furniture of their sacred edifices, their chalices, their ornaments, above all, the sacred writings.k The bishop and his priests were made responsible for the full and unreserved delivery of these sacred possessions. Some from timidity, others considering that by such concessions it might be prudent to avert more dangerous trials, and that such treasures, sacred as they were, might be replaced in a more flourishing state of the church, complied with the demands of the magistrate; but, by their severer brethren, who, with more uncompromising courage, had refused the least departure from the tone of unqualified resistance, these men were branded with the The Tradiignominious name of Traditors.^m This became tors. the strong, the impassable, line of demarcation between

i See the grant of Constantine referred to above.

k There is a very curious and graphic account of the rigorous perquisition for the sacred books in the Gesta apud Zenophilum in Routh, vol. iv. p. 103. The codices appear to have been under the care of the readers, who were of

various ranks, mostly, however, in trade. There were a great number of codices, each probably containing one book of the Scriptures.

The Donatists invariably called the Catholic party the Traditors. See Sermo Donatista and the Acts of Donatist Martyr.

the contending factions. To the latest period of the conflict, the Donatists described the Catholic party by

that odious appellation.

The primacy of the African Church was the object of ambition to these two parties: an unfortunate vacancy at this time kindled the smouldering embers of strife. Mensurius had filled the see of Carthage with prudence and moderation during these days of emergency. He was accused by the sterner zeal of Donatus, a Numidian bishop, of countenancing at least the criminal concessions of the Traditors. It was said that he had deluded the Government by a subtle stratagem; he had substituted certain heretical writings for the genuine Scriptures; had connived at their seizure, and calmly seen them delivered to the flames. The Donatists either disbelieved, or despised as a paltry artifice, this attempt to elude the glorious danger of resistance. But, during the life of Mensurius, his character and station had overawed the hostile party. Mensurius was summoned to Rome, to answer on a charge of the concealment of the deacon Felix, accused of a political offence—the publication of a libel against the Emperor. On his departure, Mensurius entrusted to the deacons of the community the valuable vessels of gold and silver belonging to the church, of which he left an accurate inventory in the hands of a pious and aged woman. Mensurius died on his return to Carthage. Cæcilian, a deacon of the church, was raised by the unanimous suffrages of the clergy and people to the see of Carthage. He was consecrated by Felix, Bishop of Apthunga. His first step was to demand the vessels of By the advice of Botrus and Celeusius. two of the deacons, competitors it is said with Cæcilian for the see, they were refused to a bishop irregularly

elected, and consecrated by a notorious Traditor. A Spanish female, of noble birth and of opulence, accused of personal hostility to Cæcilian, animated the Carthaginian faction; but the whole province assumed the right of interference with the appointment to the primacy, and Donatus, Bishop of Casæ Nigræ, placed

himself at the head of the opponent party.

The commanding mind of Donatus swayed the countless hierarchy which crowded the different provinces of Africa. The Numidian bishops took the lead: Secundus, the primate of Numidia, at the summons of Donatus, appeared in Carthage at the head of seventy of his bishops. This self-installed Council of Appeal to Carthage proceeded to cite Cæcilian, who re-power. fused to recognise its authority. The Council declared his election void. The consecration by a bishop guilty of tradition, was the principal ground on which his election was annulled. But darker charges were openly advanced, or secretly murmured, against Cæcilian; charges which, if not entirely ungrounded, show that the question of tradition had, during the persecution, divided the Christians into fierce and hostile factions. He was said to have embittered the last hours of those whose more dauntless resistance put to shame the timorous compliance of Mensurius and his party. He had taken his station, with a body of armed men, and precluded the pious zeal of their adherents from obtaining access to the prison of those who had been seized by the Government; n he had prevented, not merely the consolatory and inspiriting visits of kinsmen and friends, but even the introduction of food and other comforts, in their state of starving destitution. The Carthaginian

n Optatus, i. 22.

faction proceeded to elect Majorinus to the vacant see. Both parties appealed to the civil power; and Anulinus, the Prefect of Africa, who during the reign of Diocletian had seen the Christians dragged before his tribunal, and whose authority they then disclaimed with uncompromising unanimity, now saw them crowding in hostile factions to demand his interference in their domestic discords.

The cause was referred to the imperial decision of Constantine. At a later period the Donatists, being worsted in the strife, bitterly reproached their adversaries with this appeal to the civil tribunal, "What have Christians to do with kings, or bishops with palaces?" o Their adversaries justly recriminated, that they had been as ready as themselves to request the intervention of the Government. Constantine delegated the judgement in their cause to the bishops of Gaul; but the first council was composed of a great majority of Italian bishops; and Rome, for the first time, witnessed Council of Rome. a public trial of a Christian cause before an assembly of bishops, presided over by her prelate. The Council was formed of the three Gallic bishops of Cologne, of Autun, and of Arles. The Italian bishops (we may conjecture that these were considered the more

o Optatus, 1. 22.

P Augustine, writing when the episcopal authority stood on a level nearer to or even higher than the throne, asserts that Constantine did not dare to assume a cognisance over the election of a bishop. "Constantinus non ausus est de causâ episcopi judicare." Epist. cv. n. 8. Natural equity as well as other reasons would induce Constantine to delegate the affair to a

Christian commission. The account of Optatus ascribes to Constantine speeches which it is difficult to reconcile with his public conduct as regards Christianity at this period of his life. The Council of Rome was held A.D. 313, 2nd October.

The decrees of the Council of Rome and of Arles, with other documents on the subject, may be found in the fourth volume of Routh.

important sees, or were filled by the most influential prelates) were those of Milan, Cesena, Quintiano, Rimini, Florence, Pisa, Faenza, Capua, Benevento, Terracina, Præneste, Tres Tabernæ, Ostia, Ursinum (Urbinum), Forum Claudii.

Cæcilian and Donatus appeared each at the head of ten bishops of his party. Both denounced their adversaries as guilty of the crime of tradition. The partisans of Donatus rested their appeal on the invalidity of an ordination by a bishop, Felix of Apthunga, who had been guilty of that delinquency. The party of Cæcilian accused almost the whole of the Numidian bishops, and Donatus himself, as involved in the same guilt. It was a wise and temperate policy in the Catholic party, to attempt to cancel all embittering recollections of the days of trial and infirmity; to abolish all distinctions, which on one part led to pride, on the other to degradation; to reconcile, in those halcyon days of prosperity. the whole Christian world in one harmonious confederacy. This policy was that of the Government. At this early period of his Christianity, if he might yet be called a Christian, Constantine was little likely to enter into the narrow and exclusive principles of the Donatists. As Emperor, Christianity was recommended to his favour by the harmonising and tranquillising influence which it exercised over a large body of the people. If it broke up into hostile feuds, it lost its value as an ally or an instrument of civil government. But it was exactly this levelling of all religious distinctions, this liberal and comprehensive spirit, that would annihilate the less important differences, which struck at the vital principle of Donatism. They had confronted all the malice of the persecutor, they had disdained to compromise any principle, to concede the minutest point; and were they to

abandon a superiority so hardly earned, and to acquiesce in the readmission of all those who had forfeited their Christian privileges to the same rank? Were they not to exercise the high function of readmission into the fold with proper severity? The decision of the Council was favourable to the cause of Cæcilian. Donatus appealed to the Emperor, who retained the heads of both parties in Italy, to allow time for the province to regain its quiet. In defiance of the Emperor, both the leaders fled back to Africa, to set themselves at the head of their respective factions. The patient Constantine summoned a new, a more remote council at Arles. Cæcilian and the African bishops were cited to appear in that distant province; public vehicles were furnished for their conveyance at the Emperor's charge; each bishop was attended by two of his inferior clergy, with three domestics. The Bishop of Arles presided in this Council, which confirmed the judgement of that in Rome.

A second Donatus now appeared upon the scene, of more vigorous and more persevering character, greater ability, and with all the energy and self-confidence which enabled him to hold together the faction. The party now assumed the name of Donatists. On the death of Majorinus, Donatus succeeded to the dignity of Anti-Bishop of Carthage: the whole African province continued to espouse the quarrel; the authority of the Government, which had been invoked by both parties, was scornfully rejected by that against which the award was made. Three times was the decision repeated in favour of the Catholic party, at Rome, at Arles, and at Milan;

each time was more strongly established the self-evident truth, which has been so late recognised by the Christian world, the incompetency of any

Council to reconcile religious differences. The suffrages of the many cannot bind the consciences, or enlighten the minds, or even overcome the obstinacy, of the few. Neither party can yield without abandoning the very principles by which they have been constituted a party.

A commission issued to Ælius, Prefect of the district. to examine the charge against Felix, Bishop of Apthunga, gave a favourable verdict.^q An imperial commission of two delegates to Carthage, ratified the decision of the former councils. At every turn the Donatists protested against the equity of the decrees; they loudly complained of the unjust and partial influence exercised by Osius, Bishop of Cordova, over the mind of the Emperor. At length the tardy indignation of the Government had recourse to violent measures. The Donatista Donatist bishops were driven into exile, their persecuted. churches destroyed or sold, and the property seized for the imperial revenue. The Donatists defied the armed interference, as they had disclaimed the authority, of the Government. This first development of the principles of Christian sectarianism was as stern, as inflexible, and as persevering, as in later times. The Donatists drew their narrow pale around their persecuted sect, and asserted themselves to be the only elect people of Christ; the only people whose clergy could claim an unbroken apostolical succession, vitiated in all other communities of Christians by the inexpiable crime of tradition. Wherever they obtained possession of a church they burned the altar; or, where wood was scarce, scraped off the infection of heretical communion; they melted the cups, and sold, it was said, the sanctified metal for profane, perhaps for Pagan; uses; they rebaptized all

⁹ See the Acta Purgationis Felicis, in Routh, iv. 71.

who joined their sect; they made the virgins renew their vows; they would not even permit the bodies of the Catholics to repose in peace, lest they should pollute the common cemeteries. The implacable faction darkened into a sanguinary feud. For the first time human blood was shed in conflicts between followers of the Prince of Peace. Each party recriminated on the other, but neither denies the barbarous scenes of massacre and licence which devastated the African cities. The Donatists boasted of their martyrs, and the cruelties of the Catholic party rest on their own admission: they deny not, they proudly vindicate their barbarities-" Is the vengeance of God to be defrauded of its victims?" r —and they appeal to the Old Testament to justify, by the examples of Moses, of Phineas, and of Elijah, the Christian duty of slaving by thousands the renegades, or the unbelievers.

In vain Constantine at length published an edict of peace: the afflicted province was rent asunder A.D. 321. till the close of his reign, and during that of his son, by this religious warfare. For, on the other hand, The Circum- the barbarous fanaticism of the Circumcellions involved the Donatist party in the guilt of insurrection, and connected them with revolting atrocities. which they were accused of countenancing, of exciting, if not actually sanctioning by their presence. That which in the opulent cities, or the well-ordered commu-

in the work of the Catholic Optatus: "Quasi omnino in vindictam Dei nullus mereatur occidi." Compare the whole chapter, iii. 6. An able writer (Mr. Bright) (History of the Church) has objected to his statement. I adhere to No. 258, in fine,

This damning passage is found it. There is a very strong description of the persecutions which they endured from the Catholics in the letter put in by the Donatist bishop Habet Deum in the conference held during the reign of Honorius. Apud Dupin,

nities, led to fierce and irreconcileable contention, grew up among the wild borderers on civilisation into fanatical frenzy. Where Christianity has outstripped civilisation, and has not had time to effect its beneficent and humanising change, whether in the bosom of an old society, or within the limits of savage life, it becomes, in times of violent excitement, instead of a pacific principle to assuage, a new element of ungovernable strife. The long peace which had been enjoyed by the province of Africa, and the flourishing corn-trade which it conducted as the granary of Rome and of the Italian provinces, had no doubt extended the pursuits of agriculture into the Numidian, Gætulian, and Mauritanian villages. The wild tribes had gradually become industrious peasants, and among them Christianity had found an open field for its exertions, and the increasing agricultural settlements had become Christian bishoprics. But the savage was yet only half-tamed; and no sooner had the flames of the Donatist conflict spread into these peaceful districts, than the genuine Christian was lost in the fiery marauding child of the desert. Maddened by oppression, wounded in his religious feelings by the expulsion and persecution of the bishops, from his old nature he resumed the fierce spirit of independence, the contempt for the laws of property, and the burning desire of revenge. Of his new religion he retained only the perverted language, or rather that of the Old Testament, with an implacable hatred of all hostile sects; a stern ascetic continence, which perpetually broke out into paroxysms of unbridled licentiousness; and a fanatic passion for martyrdom, which assumed the acts of a kind of methodical insanity.

The Circumcellions commenced their ravages during the reign of Constantine, and continued in arms during

that of his successor Constans. No sooner had the provincial authorities received instructions to reduce the province by force to religious unity, than the Circumcellions, who had at first confined their ravages to disorderly and hasty incursions, broke out into open revolt.^s They defeated one body of the imperial troops, and killed Ursacius, the Roman general. They abandoned, by a simultaneous impulse, their agricultural pursuits; they proclaimed themselves the instruments of Divine justice, and the protectors of the oppressed; they first asserted the wild theory of the civil equality of mankind, which has so often, in later periods of the world, become the animating principle of Christian fanaticism; they proclaimed the abolition of slavery; they thrust the proud and opulent master from his chariot, and made him walk by the side of his slave, who, in his turn, was placed in the stately vehicle: they cancelled all debts, and released the debtors; their most sanguinary acts were perpetrated in the name of religion, and Christian language was profaned by its association with their atrocities. Their leaders were the Captains of the Saints; the battle hymn, "Praise to God!" Their weapons were not swords, for Christ had forbidden the use of the sword to Peter, but huge and massy clubs, with which they beat their miserable victims to death." They were bound by vows of the severest continence, but the African temperament, in

^a The Circumcellions were unac- names which they assumed. Habet quainted with the Latin language, Deum appears among the Donatist and are said to have spoken only the bishops in a conference held with the Catholics at Carthage, A.D. 411. See t Augustine asserts that they were the report of the conference in the led by their clergy, v. zi. p. 575. Donatistan Monumenta collected by " The Donatists anticipated our Dupin, at the end of his edition of

Punic of the country.

Puritans in those strange religious Optatus.

its state of feverish excitement, was too strong for the bonds of fanatical restraint; the companies of the Saints not merely abused the privileges of war by the most licentious outrages on the females, but were attended by troops of drunken prostitutes whom they called their sacred virgins. But the most extraordinary development of their fanaticism, was their Passion for rage for martyrdom. When they could not martyrdom. obtain it from the sword of the enemy, they inflicted it upon themselves. The ambitious martyr declared himself a candidate for the crown of glory: he then gave himself up to every kind of revelry, pampering, as it were, and fattening the victim for sacrifice. When he had wrought himself to the pitch of frenzy, he rushed out, and, with a sword in one hand and money in the other, he threatened death and offered reward to the first comer who would satisfy his eager longings for the glorious crown. They leaped from precipices; they went into the Pagan temples to provoke the vengeance of the worshippers.

Such are the excesses to which Christianity is constantly liable, as the religion of a savage and uncivilised people; but, on the other hand, it must be laid down as a political axiom equally universal, that this fanaticism rarely bursts out into disorders dangerous to society, unless goaded and maddened by persecution.*

Donatism was the fatal schism of one province of Christendom: the few communities formed on these rigid principles in Spain and in Rome died away in neglect; but however diminished its influence, it distracted the African province for three centuries, and

^{*} Compare the persecution at the end of Dupin's edition of Optaus, Tillemont, vi. 147.

was only finally extirpated with Christianity itself, by the all-absorbing progress of Mohammedanism. one time Constantine resorted to milder measures, and issued an edict of toleration. But in the reign of Constans, the persecution was renewed with more unrelenting severity. Two imperial officers, Paul and Macurius, were sent to reduce the province to religious unity. The Circumcellions encountered them with obstinate valour, but were totally defeated in the sanguinary battle of Bagnia. In the later reigns, when the laws against heresy became more frequent and severe, the Donatists were named with marked reprobation in the condemnatory edicts. Yet, in the time of Honorius, they boasted, in a conference with the Catholics, that they equally divided at least the province of Numidia. and that the Catholics only obtained a majority of bishops by the unfair means of subdividing the sees. This conference was held in the vain, though then it might not appear ungrounded, hope of reuniting the great body of the Donatists with the Catholic communion. The Donatists, says Gibbon, with his usual sarcasm, and more than his usual truth, had received a practical lesson on the consequences of their own principles. A small sect, the Maximinians, had been formed within their body, who asserted themselves to be the only genuine Church of God, denied the efficacy of the sacraments, disclaimed the apostolic power of the clergy, and rigidly appropriated to their own narrow sect the merits of Christ, and the hopes of salvation. But neither this fatal warning, nor the eloquence of St. Augustine, wrought much effect on the Puritans of Africa; they still obstinately denied the legality of Cæcilian's ordination; still treated their adversaries as the dastardly traditors of the Sacred Writings; still

dwelt apart in the unquestioning conviction that they were the sole subjects of the kingdom of Heaven; that to them alone belonged the privilege of immortality through Christ, while the rest of the world, the unworthy followers of Christ, not less than the blind and unconverted Heathen, were perishing in their outcast and desperate state of condemnation.

⁷ Donatists are mentioned at the end of the sixth century (see Gregory the Great, Epist. i. 72-75, ii. 33), Greg. Epist. iii. 32-35, v. 63.

CHAPTER IL

Constantine becomes sole Emperor.

By the victory over Maxentius, Constantine had become master of half the Roman world. Christianity, if it had not contributed to the success, shared the advantage of the triumph. By the Edict of Milan the Christians had resumed all their former rights as citizens, their churches were reopened, their public services recommenced, and their silent work of aggression on the hostile Paganism began again under the most promising auspices. The equal favour with which they were beheld by the sovereign, appeared both to their enemies and to themselves an open declaration on their side. The public acts, the laws, and the medals of Constantine, a show how the lofty eclectic indifferentism of the Emperor, which extended impartial protection over all the conflicting faiths, or attempted to mingle together their least inharmonious elements, gradually but slowly gave place to the progressive influence of Christianity. Christian bishops appeared as regular attendants upon the court; the internal dissensions of Christianity became affairs of state. The Pagan

* Eckhel supposes that the Heathen | monetam, nunquam in ea aut Christi imaginem aut Constantini effigiem cruce insignem reperies In nonnullis jam monogramma Christi P P inscritur labaro aut vexillo, of this great authority on such sub- jam in area nummi solitariè excubat. jects: "Excute universam Constantini | jam aliis, ut patebit, comparet modis."

symbols disappeared from the coins of Constantine after his victory over Licinius. Doctr. Num. in Constant.

I may add here another observation

party saw, with increasing apprehension for their own authority and the fate of Rome, the period of the secular games, on the due celebration of which depended the duration of the Roman sovereignty, pass away unhonoured.b It was an extraordinary change in the constitution of the Western world, when the laws of the empire issued from the court of Treves, and Italy and Africa awaited the changes in their civil and religious constitution, from the seat of government on the barbarous German frontier. The munificent grant of Constantine for the restoration of the African churches, had appeared to commit him in favour of the Christian party, and had perhaps indirectly contributed to inflame the dissensions in that province.

A new law recognised the clerical order as a distinct and privileged class. It exempted them from Clerical order the onerous municipal offices, which had begun recognised by to press heavily upon the more opulent inhabitants of the towns. It is the surest sign of misgovernment, when the higher classes shrink from the posts of honour and of trust. During the more flourishing days of the empire, the Decurionate, the chief municipal dignity, had been the great object of provincial ambition. The Decurions formed the Senates of the towns; they supplied the magistrates from their body, and had the right of electing them.c

Under the new financial system introduced by Diocletian, the Decurions were made responsible for the full amount of taxation imposed by the cataster or assess-

b Zosimus, l. ii. c. 1.

Compare the whole book of the Theo- iii. 1-8. dosian Code. De Decurionibus. Per-

sons concealed their property to escape c Savigny Römische Recht, i. 18. serving the public offices. Cod. Theod.

ment on the town and district. As the payment became more burthensome or difficult, the tenants, or even the proprietors, either became insolvent or fled their country. But the inexorable revenue still exacted from the Decurions the whole sum assessed on their town or district. The office itself grew into disrepute, and the law was obliged to force that upon the reluctant citizen of wealth or character, which had before been an object of eager emulation and competition.d The Christians obtained the exemption of their ecclesiastical order from these civil offices. The exemption was grounded on the just plea of its incompatibility with their religious duties.^e The Emperor declared, in a letter to Cæcilian, Bishop of Carthage, that the Christian priesthood ought not to be withdrawn from the worship of God, which is the principal source of the prosperity of the empire. The effect of this immunity shows the oppressed and disorganised state of society.f Numbers of persons, in order to secure this exemption, rushed at once into the clerical order of the Christians; and this manifest abuse demanded an immediate modification of the law. None were to be admitted into the sacred order except on the vacancy of a religious charge, and then those only whose Exemption poverty exempted them from the municipal functions.g Those whose property imposed upon them the duty of the Decurionate, were ordered to

d See two dissertations of Savigny | or elders. Le Beau, 165. on the taxation of the empire, in the Transactions of the Berlin Academy, and translated in the Cambridge Classical Researches.

^e The officers of the royal household, and their descendants, had the same exemption, which was likewise extended to the Jewish archisynagogi

Theodos. xvi. 8, 2.

The priests and the Flamines, with the Decurions, were exempt from certain inferior offices, Cod. Theodos, xii. v. 2.

f See the various laws on this subject, Codex Theodos. xvi. 2, 3, 6-11.

g Cod. Theodos. xvi. 2, 17, 19.

abandon their religious profession. Such was the despotic power of the sovereign, to which the Christian Church still submitted, either on the principle of passive obedience, or in gratitude for the protection of the civil authority. The legislator interfered without scruple in the domestic administration of the Christian community, and the Christians received the Imperial edicts in silent submission. The appointment of a Christian, the celebrated Lactantius, to superintend the education of Crispin, the eldest son of the Emperor, was at once a most decisive and most influential step towards the public declaration of Christianity as the religion of the Imperial family. Another important law, the groundwork of the vast property obtained by the Church, gave it the fullest power to receive the bequests of the pious. Their right of holding property had been admitted apparently by Alexander Severus, annulled by Diocletian, and was now conceded in the most explicit terms by Constantine.h

But half the world remained still disunited from the dominion of Constantine and of Christianity. war with Licinius had been closed by the Wars with battles of Cibalæ and Mardia, and a new parti- Licinius. tion of the empire. It was succeeded by a hollow and treacherous peace of nine years. The favour shown by Constantine to his Christian subjects, seems to have thrown Licinius upon the opposite interest. The Edict of Milan had been issued in the joint names of the two

h Habeat unusquisque licentiam, | voluntatis, postquam aliud jam velle sanctissimo Catholicæ venerabilique concilio, decedens bonorum, quod placet, relinquere. Non sint cassa Th. xvi. 2, 4, De Episcopis. This law judicia. Nihil est, quod magis homi- is assigned to the year 321. nibus debetur, quam ut supremæ i 314 to 323.

Emperors. In his conflict with Maximin, Licinius had avenged the oppressions of Christianity on their most relentless adversary. But when the crisis approached which was to decide the fate of the whole empire, as Constantine had adopted every means of securing their cordial support, so Licinius repelled the allegiance of his Christian subjects by disfavour, by mistrust, by expulsion from offices of honour, by open persecution, till, in the language of the ecclesiastical historian, the world was divided into two regions, those of day and of night.k The vices as well as the policy of Licinius might disincline him to endure the importunate presence comes more decidedly of the Christian bishops in his court; but he might disguise his hostile disposition to the churchmen under his declared dislike of eunuchs and of courtiers, m—the vermin, as he called them, of the palace. The stern avarice of Licinius would be contrasted to his disadvantage with the profuse liberality of Constantine; his looser debaucheries with the severer morals of the Western Emperor. Licinius proceeded to purge his household troops of those whose inclination to his rival he might, not without reason, mistrust; none were permitted to retain their rank who refused to sacrifice. He prohibited the synods of the clergy, which he naturally apprehended might degenerate into conspiracies in favour of his rival. He confined the bishops to the care of their own dioceses." He affected, in his care for the public morals, to prohibit the promiscuous worship of men and women in the churches: and in-

Euseb. Vita Constant. i. 49.

m Spadonum et Aulicorum omnium vehemens domitor, tineas soricesque be instructed by the Deaconesses alone. palatii eos appellans. Aur. Vict. Epit. | Vit. Const. i. 53.

n Vit. Constant. i. 41.

o Vit. Constant. Women were to

sulted the sanctity of the Christian worship, by commanding that it should be celebrated in the open air. The edict prohibiting all access to the prisons, though a strong and unwilling testimony to the charitable exertions of the Christians, and by their writers represented as an act of wanton and unexampled inhumanity, was caused probably by a jealous policy, rather than by wanton cruelty of temper. It is quite clear that the prayers of the Christians, perhaps more worldly weapons, were armed in favour of Constantine. The Eastern Churches would be jealous of their happier Western brethren, and naturally would be eager to bask in the equal sunshine of Imperial favour. At length, either fearing the effect of their prayers with the Deity whom they addressed, or their influence in alienating the minds of their votaries from his own cause to that of him who, in the East, was considered the champion of the Christian cause, Licinius commanded the Christian churches in Pontus to be closed; he destroyed some of them, perhaps for defiance of his edicts. Some acts of persecution took place; the Christians fled again into the country, and began to conceal themselves in the woods and caves. Many instances of violence, some of martyrdom, occurred, particularly in Pontus. There

Licinio martyres faciant præterquam episcopos." Compare Ruinart. There is great difficulty about Basileus, Bishop of Amasa. He is generally reckoned by the Greek writers as a martyr (see Pagi ad an. 316, n. x.); but he is expressly stated by Philostorgius (lib. i.), confirmed by Athanasius (Orat. 1, contra Arianos), to have been present at the Council of Nicæa some years afterwards.

Συντελεῖσθαι γὰρ οὐκ ἡγεῖτο ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ τὰς εύχὰς, συνειδοτι φαύλφ τοῦτο λογιζόμενος, ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ τοῦ θεοφιλοῦς βασιλένως πάντα πράττειν ἡμᾶς καὶ τὸν θεὸν ἱλεοῦσθαι πέπειστο. Euseb. x. 8.

a Sozomen, H. E. j. 7, asserts that many of the clergy, as well as bishops, were martyred. Dodwell, however, observes (De Paucitate Martyrum, 91), are Caveant fabulatores ne quos alios sub

was a wide-spread apprehension that a new and general persecution was about to break out, when the Emperor of the West moved, in the language of the Christian historian, to rescue the whole of mankind from the tyranny of one."

Whether or not, in fact, Licinius avowed the imminent war to be a strife for mastery between the two religions, the decisive struggle between the ancient gods of Rome and the new divinity of the Christians; whether he actually led the chief officers and his most eminent political partisans into a beautiful consecrated grove, crowded with the images of the gods; and appealed, by the light of blazing torches, and amid the smoke of sacrifice, to the gods of their ancestors against his atheistic adversaries, the followers of a foreign and unknown deity, whose ignominious sign was displayed in the van of their armies; nevertheless, the propagation of such stories shows how completely, according to their own sentiments, the interests of Christianity were identified with the cause of Constantine, t On both sides were again marshalled all the supernatural terrors which religious hope or superstitious awe could summon. Diviners, soothsayers, and Egyptian magicians, animated the troops of Licinius." The Christians in the army of Constantine attributed all his success to the prayers of the pious bishops who accompanied his army, and especially to the holy Labarum, whose bearer passed unhurt among showers of fatal javelins.x

Sacrifices and divinations were re-

universal empire.

r Vit. Const. ii. 5.

^{*} Υπαχθείς τισίν ὑπισχνουμένοις άυτώ κρατήσειν, είς έλληνισμον ₹τράπη. Sozomen, i. 7.

t Vit. Constant, 1i. 4.

u Euseb. Vit. Constant. i. 49.

^{*} Eusebius declares that he heard this from the lips of Constantine himself. sorted to, and promised to Licinius One man, who in his panic gave up

The battle of Hadrianople, and the naval victory of Crispus, decided the fate of the world, and the Battle of Hadrianople. establishment of Christianity as the religion of A.D. 323. the empire. The death of Licinius reunited the whole Roman world under the sceptre of Constantine.

Eusebius ascribes to Constantine, during this battle, an act of Christian mercy, at least as unusual as the appearance of the banner of the cross at the head of the Roman army. He issued orders to spare the lives of his enemies, and offered rewards for all captives brought in alive. Even if this be not strictly true, its exaggeration or invention, or even its relation as a praiseworthy act, shows the new spirit which was working in the mind of man.

Among the first acts of the sole Emperor of the world. were the repeal of all the edicts of Licinius against the Christians, the release of all prisoners from the dungeon or the mine or the servile and humiliating occupations to which some had been contemptuously condemned in the manufactories conducted by women; the recall of all the exiles; the restoration of all who had been deprived of their rank in the army, or in the civil service; the restitution of all property of which they had been despoiled,—that of the martyrs to the legal heirs, where there were no heirs, to the Church. The property of the churches was not only restored, but the power to receive donations in land, already granted to the Western churches, was extended to the Eastern. The Emperor himself set the example of giving back all that had been confiscated to the state.

Constantine issued two edicts, recounting all these exemptions, restitutions, and privileges—one addressed

the cross to another, was immediately ally around the cross was wounded. transfixed in his flight. No one actu-

to the Churches, the other to the cities of the East; the latter alone is extant. Its tone might certainly indicate that Constantine considered the contest with Licinius as, in some degree, a war of religion. His own triumph and the fate of his enemies are adduced as unanswerable evidences to the superiority of that God whose followers had been so cruelly persecuted. The restoration of the Christians to all their property and immunities, was an act not merely of justice and humanity, but of gratitude to the Deity.

But Constantine now appeared more openly to the whole world as the head of the Christian community. He sat, not in the Roman senate deliberating on the affairs of the empire, but presiding in a council of

Christian bishops, summoned from all parts of the world, to decide, as of infinite importance to the Roman Empire, a contested point of the Christian faith. The council was held at Nicæa, one of the most ancient of the Eastern cities. The transactions of the Council, the questions which were agitated before it, and the decrees which it issued, will be postponed for the present, in order that this important controversy, which so long divided Christianity, may best be related in a continuous narrative: we pass to the following year.

Up to this period Christianity had seen much to conduct of admire, and little that it would venture to disapprove, in the public acts or in the domestic character of Constantine. His offences against the humanity of the Gospel would find palliation, or rather vindication and approval, in a warrior and a sovereign. The age was not yet so fully leavened with Christianity as to condemn the barbarity of that Roman pride which exposed without scruple the brave captive chieftains of the German tribes in the amphitheatre.

Again, after the triumph of Constantine over Maxentius. this bloody spectacle had been renewed at Treves, on a new victory of Constantine over the Barbarians. extirpation of the family of a competitor for the empire would pass as the usual, perhaps the necessary, policy of the times. The public hatred would applaud the death of the voluptuous Maxentius, and that of his family would be the inevitable consequences of his guilt. Licinius had provoked his own fate by resistance to the will of God and his persecution of the religion of Christ. Nor was the fall of Licinius followed by any general proscription; his son lived for a few years to be the undistinguished victim of a sentence which involved others in whom the public mind took far deeper interest. Licinius himself was permitted to live a short time at Thessalonica.² It is said by some that his life was guaranteed by a solemn oath, and that he was permitted to partake of the hospitality of the conqueror. Yet his death, though the brother-in-law of Constantine, was but an expected event.^b The tragedy which took place in the family of Constantine betrayed to the surprised and anxious world, that, if his outward demeanour showed

* Le Beau (Hist. du Bas Empire, | death by the laws of war, and openly πολέμου διακρίνας τῆ πρεπούση παρεδίδου τιμωρία . . . καὶ ἀπώλλυντο, την προσήκουσαν δπέχοντες δίκην, οί της θεομαχίας σύμβουλοι. Ηον singularly does this contrast with the passage above! See p. 319 (Vit. Const. ii. 13) bigotry and mercy advancing hand in hand-the sterner creed overpowering the Gospel.

i. 220) recites with great fairness approves of his execution and that of the varying accounts of the death of the other enemies of God. Νόμφ Licinius, and the motives which are said to have prompted it. But he proceeds to infer that Licinius must have been guilty of some new crime, to induce Constantine to violate his solemn oath.

a Contra religionem sacramenti Thessalonicæ privatus occisus est. Eutrop. lib. x.

b Eusebius says that he was put to

respect or veneration for Christianity, its milder doctrines had made little impression on the unsoftened

Paganism of his heart.

Crispus, the son of Constantine by Minervina, his first wife, was a youth of high and brilliant promise. In his early years his education had been entrusted to the celebrated Lactantius. and there is reason to suppose that he was imbued by his eloquent preceptor with the Christian doctrines; but the gentler sentiments instilled by the new faith had by no means unnerved the vigour or tamed the martial activity of youth. Had he been content with the calmer and more retiring virtues of the Christian, without displaying the dangerous qualifications of a warrior and a statesmen, he might have escaped the fatal jealousy of his father, and the arts which were no doubt employed for his ruin. In his campaign against the Barbarians, Crispus had shown himself a worthy son of Constantine, and his naval victory over the fleet of Licinius had completed the conquest of the empire. The conqueror of Maxentius and of Licinius, the undisputed master of the Roman world, might have been expected to stand superior to that common failing of weak monarchs, a jealous dread of the heir to their throne. The unworthy fears of Constantine were betrayed by an edict inconsistent with the early promise of his reign. He had endeavoured, soon after his accession, to repress the odious crime of delation; a rescript now appeared, inviting, by large reward and liberal promise of favour, those informations which he had before nobly disdained; and this edict seemed to betray the apprehensions of the Government, that some widely ramified and darkly organised conspiracy was afoot. But if such conspiracy existed, the Government

refused, by the secrecy of its own proceedings, to enlighten the public mind.

Rome itself, and the whole Roman world, heard with horror and amazement, that in the midst of the solemn festival, which was celebrating with the utmost Death of splendour the twentieth year of the Emperor's April, A.D. 326. Crispus. April, A.D. 326. and, either without trial, or after a hurried examination. had been transported to the shore of Istria, and had perished by an obscure death.c Nor did Crispus fall alone; the young Licinius, the nephew of Constantine. who had been spared after his father's death and vainly honoured with the title of Cæsar, shared his fate. sword of justice or of cruelty, once let loose, raged against those who were suspected as partisans of the dangerous Crispus, or as implicated in the wide-spread conspiracy, till the bold satire of an eminent officer of state did not scruple, in some lines privately circulated, to compare the splendid but bloody times with those of Nero.d

But this was only the first act of the domestic tragedy; the death of the Emperor's wife Fausta, the Death of partner of twenty years of wedlock, the mother Fausta. of his three surviving sons, increased the general horror. She was suffocated in a bath, which had been heated to an insupportable degree of temperature. Many rumours

lib. x. Zosimus, ii. c. 29. Sidonius, v. Epist. 8. Of the ecclesiastical historians, Philostorgius (lib. ii. 4) attributed the death of Crispus to the arts of his stepmother. He adds a strange story, that Constantine was poisoned by his brothers in revenge for the

c Vict. Epit. in Constantino. Eutrop. | death of Crispus. Sozomen, while he refutes the notion of the connexion of the death of Crispus with the conversion of Constantine, admits the fact, l. i. c. 5.

d The Consul Albinus,-

Saturni aurea sæcla quis requiret? Sunt hæc gemmea sed Neroniana. Sid. Apoll. v. 8.

were propagated throughout the empire concerning this dark transaction, of which the real secret was no doubt concealed, if not in the bosom, within the palace of Constantine. The awful crimes which had thrilled the scene of ancient tragedy, were said to have polluted the imperial chamber. The guilty step-mother had either, like Phædra, revenged the insensibility of the youthful Crispus by an accusation of incestuous violence, or the crime, actually perpetrated, had involved them both in the common guilt and ruin. In accordance with the former story, the miserable Constantine had discovered too late the machinations which had stained his hand with the blood of a guiltless son: in the agony of his remorse he had fasted forty days; he had abstained from the use of the bath; he had proclaimed his own guilty precipitancy, and the innocence of his son, by raising a golden statue of the murdered Crispus, with the simple but emphatic inscription, "To my unfortunate son." The Christian mother of Constantine, Helena, had been the principal agent in the detection of the wicked Fausta; it was added, that, besides her unnatural passion for her step-son, she was found to have demeaned herself to the embraces of a slave.

It is dangerous to attempt to reconcile with probability these extraordinary events, which so often surpass, in the strange reality of their circumstances, the wildest fictions. But, according to the ordinary course of things, Crispus would appear the victim of political rather than of domestic jealousy. The innocent Licinius might be an object of suspicion, as implicated in a conspiracy against the power but not against the honour of Constantine. The removal of Crispus opened the succession of the throne to the sons of Fausta. The passion of maternal ambition is much more consistent with human

nature than the incestuous love of a step-mother, advanced in life and with many children, towards her husband's son. The guilt of compassing the death of Crispus, whether by the atrocious accusations of a Phædra, or by the more vulgar arts of common court intrigue, might come to light at a later period; and the indignation of the Emperor at having been deluded into the execution of a gallant and blameless son, the desire of palliating to the world and to his own conscience his own criminal and precipitate weakness by the most unrelenting revenge on the subtlety with which he had been circumvented, might madden him to a second act of relentless barbarity.

But at all events the unanimous consent of the Pagan, and most of the Christian authorities, as well pagan account of this as the expressive silence of Eusebius, indicates event. the unfavourable impression made on the public mind by these household barbarities. But the most remarkable circumstance is, the advantage which was taken of this event by the Pagan party to throw a dark shade over the conversion of Constantine to the Christian religion. Zosimus has preserved this report; but there is good reason for supposing that it was a rumour, eagerly propagated at the time by the more desponding votaries of Paganism.f In the deep agony of remorse, Constantine eagerly inquired of the ministers of the ancient religions, whether their lustrations could purify the soul from the blood of a son. The unaccommodating priesthood acknowledged the inefficacy of their rites in a case of such inexpiable atrocity, and Constantine

e Gibbon has thrown doubts on the actual death of Fausta, vol. iii. p. 110.

f See Heyne's note on this passage narrative, as Heyne observes (note on

remained to struggle with the unappeased and unatoned horrors of conscience. An Egyptian, on his journey from Spain, passed through Rome, and, being admitted to the intimacy of some of the females about the court, explained to the Emperor that the religion of Christ possessed the power of cleansing the soul from all sin. From that time Constantine placed himself entirely in the hands of the Christians, and abandoned altogether the sacred rites of his ancestors.

If Constantine at this time had been long an avowed and sincere Christian, this story falls to the ground; but if, according to my view, there was still something of ambiguity in the favour shown by Constantine to Christianity, if it still had something rather of the sagacious statesman than of the serious proselyte, there may be some slight groundwork of truth in this fiction. Constantine may have relieved a large portion of his subjects from grievous oppression, and restored their plundered property: he may have made munificent donations for the maintenance of their ceremonial; he may have permitted the famous Labarum to exalt the courage of his Christian soldiery; he may have admitted their represen-

Zosimus, p. 552), proves that this story was not the invention of Zosimus, but rather the version of the event current in the Pagan world, it was not a Pagan priest, but a Platonic philosopher, named Sopater, who thus denied the efficacy of any rite or ceremony to wash the soul clean from filial blood. It is true that neither the legal ceremonial of Paganism, nor the principles of the later Platonism, could afford any hope or pardon to the murderer. Julian, speaking of Constantine (in Cæsar.), insinuates of its glorious promises to others,"

the facility with which Christianity admitted the μιαίφονος, as well as other atrocious delinquents, to the divine forgiveness.

The bitterness with which the Pagan party judged of the measures of Constantine, is shown in the turn which Zosimus gives to his edict discouraging divination: "Having availed himself of the advantages of divination, which had predicted his own splendid successes, he was jealous lest the prophetic art should be equally prodigal tatives to his court, endeavoured to allay their fierce feuds in Africa, and sanctioned by his presence the meeting of the Council of Nicæa to decide on the new controversy which began to distract the Christian world; he may have proclaimed himself, in short, the worshipper of the Christians' God, whose favourites seemed likewise to be those of fortune, and whose enemies were devoted to ignominy and disaster (such is his constant language): h but of the real character and the profounder truths of the religion he may still have been entirely, or, perhaps, in some degree disdainfully, ignorant; the lofty indifferentism of the Emperor predominated over the obedience of the convert towards the new faith.

But it was now the man, abased by remorse, by the terrors of conscience, it may be by superstitious horrors, who sought refuge against the divine Nemesis, the avenging Furies, which haunted his troubled spirit. It would be the duty as well as the interest of an influential Christian to seize on the mind of the royal proselyte, and, while it was thus prostrate in its weakness, to enforce more strongly the personal sense of religion upon the afflicted soul. And if the Emperor was understood to have derived the slightest consolation under this heavy burden of conscious guilt from the doctrines of Christianity—if his remorse and despair were allayed or assuaged-nothing was more likely than that Paganism. which constantly charged Christianity with receiving the lowest and most depraved of mankind among its

h It is remarkable in all the proclamations and documents which Eusebius assigns to Constantine, some even written by his own hand, how almost exclusively he dwells on this worldly superiority of the God adored | conclusive evidences of Christianity.

by the Christians over those of the Heathen, and the visible temporal advantages which attend on the worship of Christianity. His own victory and the disasters of his enemies are his

proselytes, should affect to assume the tone of superior moral dignity, to compare its more uncompromising moral austerity with the easier terms on which Christianity appeared to receive the repentant sinner. the bitterness of wounded pride and interest at the loss of an imperial worshipper, it would revenge itself by ascribing his change exclusively to the worst hour of his life, and to the least exalted motive. It is a greater difficulty, that, subsequent to this period, the mind of Constantine appears to have relapsed in some degree to its imperfectly unpaganised Christianity. His conduct became ambiguous as before, floating between a decided bias in favour of Christianity, and an apparent design to harmonise with it some of the less offensive parts of Heathenism. Yet it is by no means beyond the common inconsistency of human nature, that, with the garb and attitude, Constantine should throw off the submission of a penitent. His mind, released from its burthen, might resume its ancient vigour, and assert its haughty superiority over the religious, as well as over the civil allegiance of his subjects. A new object of ambition was dawning on his mind; a new and absorbing impulse was given to all his thoughts-the foundation of the second Rome, the new imperial city on the Bosphorus.

Nor was this sole and engrossing object altogether unconnected with the sentiments which arose out of this dark transaction. Rome had become hateful to Constantine; for, whether on this point identifying herself with the Pagan feeling, and taunting the crime of the Christian with partial acrimony, or pre-surmising the design of Constantine to reduce her to the second city of the empire, Rome assumed the unwonted liberty of insulting the Emperor. The pasquinade which com-

pared his days to those of Nero was affixed to the gates of the palace; and so galling was the insolence of the populace, that the Emperor is reported to have consulted his brothers on the expediency of calling out his guards for a general massacre. Milder councils prevailed; and Constantine took the more tardy, but more deep-felt, revenge of transferring the seat of empire from the banks of the Tiber to the shroes of the Bosphorus.

CHAPTER III.

Foundation of Constantinople.

THE foundation of Constantinople marks one of the great periods of change in the annals of the Foundation of Constantiworld. Both its immediate and its remoter connexion with the history of Christianity, are among those results which contributed to its influence on the destinies of mankind. The removal of the seat of empire from Rome might, indeed, at first appear to strengthen the decaying cause of Paganism. The senate became the sanctuary, the aristocracy of Rome, in general, the unshaken adherents of the ancient religion. But its more remote and eventual consequences were favourable to the consolidation and energy of the Christian power in the West. The absence of a secular competitor allowed the Papal authority to grow up and to develope its secret strength. By the side of the imperial power, perpetually contrasted with the pomp and majesty of the throne, constantly repressed in his slow but steady advancement to supremacy or obliged to contest every point with a domestic antagonist, the Pope would hardly have gained more political importance than the Patriarch of Constantinople. The extinction of the Western empire, which indeed had long held

a Constantine seized the property | so says Libanius. of some of the temples, for the expense of building Constantinople, but did | ἐκίνησεν οὐδὲ ἐν. vol. ii. p. 162. not change the established worship;

Της κατά νόμους δὲ θεραπείας

its court in Milan or Ravenna rather than in the ancient capital, its revival only beyond the Alps, left all the awe which attached to the old Roman name, or which followed the possession of the imperial city, to gather round the tiara of the Pontiff. In any other city the Pope would in vain have asserted his descent from St. Peter; the long habit of connecting together the name of Rome with supreme dominion, silently cooperated in establishing the spiritual despotism of the Papal See.

Even in its more immediate influence, the rise of Constantinople was favourable to the progress favourable to of Christianity. It removed the seat of govern-Christianity. ment from the presence of those awful temples to which ages of glory had attached an inalienable sanctity, and with which the piety of all the greater days of the Republic had associated the supreme dominion and the majesty of Rome. It broke the last link which combined the pontifical and the imperial character. The Emperor of Constantinople, even if he had remained a Pagan, would have lost that power which was obtained over men's minds by his appearing in the chief place in all the religious pomps and processions, some of which were as old as Rome itself. The senate, and even the people, might be transferred to the new city; the deities of Rome clung to their native home, and would have refused to abandon their ancient seats of honour and worship.

Constantinople arose, if not a Christian, certainly not a Pagan city. The new capital of the world Constantinate had no ancient deities, whose worship was in-Christian separably connected with her more majestic city. buildings and solemn customs. The temples of old Byzantium had fallen with the rest of the public edifices, when

Severus, in his vengeance, razed the rebellious city to the ground. Byzantium had resumed sufficient strength and importance to resist a siege by Constantine himself in the earlier part of his reign; and some temples had reappeared during the reconstruction of the city.^b The fanes of the Sun, of the Moon, and of Aphrodite, were permitted to stand in the Acropolis, though deprived of their revenues.^c That of Castor and Pollux formed part of the Hippodrome, and the statues of those deities who presided over the games stood undisturbed till the reign of Theodosius the Younger.d

Once determined to found a rival Rome on the shores of the Bosphorus, the ambition of Constantine was absorbed by this great object. No expense was spared to raise a city worthy of the seat of empireno art or influence to collect inhabitants worthy of such a city. Policy forbade any measure which would alienate the minds of any class or order who might add to the splendour or swell the population of Byzantium, and policy was the ruling principle of Constantine in the conduct of the whole transaction. It was the Emperor whose pride was now pledged to the accomplishment of his scheme with that magnificence which became the second founder of the empire, rather than the exclusive patron of one religious division of his subjects. Constantinople was not only to bear the name, it was to wear an exact resemblance of the elder Rome. The habitations of men, and the public buildings for business.

Hammer, says nothing of their conversion into churches by Constantine.

b There is a long list of these tem- | Paschal Chronicle, referred to by V. ples in V. Hammer's Constantinopel und die Bosporus, i. p. 189, &c. Many of them are named in Gyllius, but it does not seem clear at what period they ceased to exist. The

e Malala, Constantinus, x.

d Zosimus, ii. 31.

for convenience, for amusement, or for splendour, demanded the first care of the founder. The imperial palace arose, in its dimensions and magnificence equal to that in the older city. The skill of the architect was lavished on the patrician mansions, which were so faithfully to represent to the nobles, who obeyed the imperial invitation, the dwellings of their ancestors in the ancient Capitol, that their wondering eyes could scarcely believe their removal; their Penates might seem to have followed them.e The senate-house, the Augusteum, was prepared for their counsels. For the mass of the people. markets and fountains and aqueducts, theatres and hippodromes, porticoes, basilicæ, and forums, rose with the rapidity of enchantment. One class of buildings alone was wanting. If some temples were allowed to stand, it is clear that no new sacred ediffces were erected to excite and gratify the religious feelings of the Pagan party, and the building of the few churches which are ascribed to the pious munificence of Constantine, seems slowly to have followed the extraordinary celerity with which the city was crowded with civil edifices. A century after-a century during which Christianity had been

crating to individual saints was of a later period. Soz. ii. 3. The ancient Temple of Peace, which afterwards formed part of the Santa Sophia, was appropriately transformed into a Christian church. The Church of the Twelve Apostles appears, from Eusebius (Vit. Const. iv. 58), to have been built in the last year of Constantine's reign and of his life, as a burial place for himself and his family. Sozomen, indeed, says that Constantine embellished the city πολλοῖς και μεγίστοις εὐκτηρίοις οἴκοις.

Sozomen, ii. 3. In the next reign, however, Themistius admits the reluctance of the senators to remove: προτοῦ μὲν ὑπ' ἀνάγκης ἐτιμᾶτο ἡ γερουσία, καὶ ἡ τιμὴ τιμωρίας ἐδόκει μηδ'οτιοῦν διαφέρειν. Orat. Protrep. p. 57.

f Of the churches built by Constantine, one was dedicated to S. Sophia (the supreme Wisdom), the other to Eirene, Peace: a philosophic Pagan might have admitted the propriety of dedicating temples to each of these abstract names. The conse-

recognised as the religion of the empire—the metropolis contained only fourteen churches, one for each of its wards or divisions. Yet Constantine by no means neglected those measures which might connect the new city with the religious feelings of mankind. Heaven inspired, commanded, sanctified the foundation of the second Rome. The ancient ritual of Roman Paganism contained a solemn ceremony, which dedicated a new city

to the protection of the Deity.

An imperial edict announced to the world that Constantine, by the command of God, had founded of the founthe eternal city.^g When the Emperor walked, with a spear in his hand, in the front of the stately procession which was to trace the boundaries of Constantinople, the attendants followed in wonder his still advancing footsteps, which seemed as if they never would reach the appointed limit. One of them, at length, humbly inquired how much farther he proposed to advance. "When he that goes before me," replied the Emperor, "shall stop." But, however the Deity might have intimated his injunctions to commence the work, or whatever the nature of the invisible guide which, as he declared, thus directed his steps, this vague appeal to the Deity would impress with the same respect all his subjects, and by its impartial ambiguity offend none. In earlier times the Pagans would have bowed down in homage before this manifestation of the nameless tutelar deity of the new city; at the present period they had become familiarised, as it were, with the concentration of Olympus into one Supreme Being. h The Christians

a city, see Hartung, Religion der language had been adopted by the Römer, i. 114.

s On the old ceremony of founding | Zosimus shows how completely this Heathen: πας γαρ χρόνος τῷ θείφ h The expression of the Pagan βραχύς, αεί τε δυτι, καὶ ἐσομένφ.

would of course assert the exclusive right of the one true God to this appellation, and attribute to his inspiration and guidance every important act of the Christian Emperor.i

But if splendid temples were not erected to the decaying deities of Paganism, their images were set up, mingled indeed with other noble works of art, in all the public places of Constantinople. If the inhabitants were not encouraged, at least they were not forbidden, to pay divine honours to the immortal sculptures of Phidias and Praxiteles, which were brought from all quarters to adorn the squares and baths of Byzantium. The whole Roman world contributed to the splendour of Constantinople. The tutelar deities of all the cities of Greece (their influence of course much enfeebled by their removal from their local sanctuaries) were assembled: the Minerva of Lyndus, the Cybele of Mount Dindymus (which was said to have been placed there by the Argonauts), the Muses of Helicon, the Amphitrite of Rhodes, the Pan consecrated by united Greece after the defeat of the Persians, the Delphic Tripod. The Dioscuri overlooked the Hippodrome. At each end of the principal forum were two shrines, one of which held the statue of Cybele, but deprived of her lions and her hands, from the attitude of command distorted into that of a suppliant for the welfare of the city: in the other was the Fortune of Byzantium.k To some part of the

the Pagan party discovered a prediction of the future glory of Byzantium. One letter less would make it the sentence of a Christian appealing to pro-

¹ At a later period the Virgin Mary 30-62. Le Beau, i. 30.

He is speaking of an oracle, in which | obtained the honour of having inspired the foundation of Constantinople, of which she became the tutelary guardian, I had almost written, Deity.

k Euseb. Vit. Const. iii. 54. Sozomen, ii. 5. Codinus, de Orig. C. P.

Christian community this might appear to be leading, as it were, the gods of Paganism in triumph; the Pagans were shocked on their part by their violent removal from their native fanes, and their wanton mutilation. Yet the Christianity of that age, in full possession of the mind of Constantine, would sternly have interdicted the decoration of a Christian city with these *idols*; the workmanship of Phidias or of Lysippus would have found no favour, when lavished on images of the Dæmons of

Paganism.

The ceremonial of the dedication of the city m was attended by still more dubious circumstances. After a most splendid exhibition of chariot games in the Hippodrome, the Emperor moved in a magnificent car through the most public part of the city, encircled by all his guards in the attire of a religious ceremonial and bearing torches in their hands. The Emperor himself held a golden statue of the Fortune of the city in his hands. An imperial edict enacted the annual celebration of this rite. On the birthday of the city the gilded statue of himself, thus bearing the same golden image of Fortune, was annually to be led through the Hippodrome to the foot of the imperial throne, and to receive the adoration of the reigning Emperor. The lingering attachment of Constantine to the favourite superstition of his earlier days, may be traced on still better authority.

Eusebius would persuade his readers that these statues were set up in the public places to excite the general contempt. Zosimus admits with bitterness that they were mutilated from want of respect to the ancient religion, ii, 31, Compare Socr. Ec. Hist. 1-16.

Read, too (some lines are worth Bonn.

reading), the description by Christodorus of the statues in the public gymnasium of Zeuxippus. Deiphobus is fine. There are also, in strange assemblage, Venus (Cypris), Julius Cæsar, Plato, Hercules, and Homer. Antholog. Palat. i. 37.

m Paschal Chronicle, p. 529, edit.

The Grecian worship of Apollo had been exalted into the Oriental veneration of the Sun, as the visible representative of the Deity; and of all the statues which were introduced from different quarters, none were received with greater honour than those of Apollo. In one part of the city stood the Pythian, in the other the Sminthian deity. The Delphic Tripod, which, according to Zosimus, contained an image of the god, stood upon the column of the three twisted serpents, supposed to represent the mythic Python. But on a still loftier, the famous pillar of porphyry, stood an image Statue of in which (if we are to credit modern authority, Constantine. and the more modern our authority, the less likely is it to have invented so singular a statement) Constantine dared to mingle together the attributes of the Sun, of Christ, and of himself. According to one tradition, this pillar was based, as it were, on another superstition. The venerable Palladium itself, surreptitiously conveyed from Rome, was buried beneath it, and thus transferred the eternal destiny of the old to the new capital. The pillar, formed of marble and of porphyry, rose to the height of 120 feet. The colossal image on the top was that of Apollo, either from Phrygia or from Athens. But the head of Constantine had been substituted for that of the god. The sceptre proclaimed the dominion of the world, and it held in its hand the globe, emblematic of universal empire. Around the head, instead of rays, were fixed the nails of the true cross. Is this Paganism approximating to Christianity, or Christianity degenerating into Paganism? Thus Constantine, as

^e Euseb. Vit. Const. iii. 54.

stantinop. apud Banduri. See Von image. ii, 17. Hammer, Constantinopel und die Bos-

porus, i. 162. Philostorgius says • The author of the Antiq. Con- that the Christians worshipped this

founder of the new capital, might appear to some still to maintain the impartial dignity of Emperor of the world, presiding with serene indifference over the various nations, orders, and religious divisions which peopled his dominions; admitting to the privileges and advantages of citizens in the new Rome all who were tempted to

make their dwelling around her seat of empire.

Yet, even during the reign of Constantine, no doubt, the triumphant progress of Christianity tended to efface or to obscure these lingering vestiges of the ancient religion. If here and there remained a shrine or temple belonging to Polytheism, built in proportion to the narrow circuit and moderate population of old Byzantium, the Christian churches, though far from numerous, were gradually rising, in their dimensions more suited to the magnificence and populousness of the new city, and in form proclaiming the dominant faith of Constantinople. The Christians were most likely to crowd into a new city; probably their main strength still lay in the mercantile part of the community: interest and religion would combine in urging them to settle in this promising emporium of trade, where their religion, if it did not reign alone and exclusive, yet maintained an evident superiority over its decaying rival. Those of the old aristocracy who were inclined to Christianity, would be much more loosely attached to their Roman residences, and would be most inclined to obey the invitation of the Emperor, while the large class of the indifferent would follow at the same time the religious and political bias of the sovereign. Where the attachment to the old religion was so slight and feeble, it was a trifling sacrifice to ambition or interest to embrace the new; particularly where there was no splendid ceremonial, no connexion of the

priestly office with the higher dignity of the state: nothing, in short, which could enlist either old reverential feelings, or the imagination, in the cause of Polytheism. The sacred treasures, transferred from the Pagan temples to the Christian city, sank more and more into national monuments, or curious remains of antiquity; their religious significance was gradually forgotten; they became, in the natural process of things, a mere collection of works of art.

In other respects Constantinople was not a Roman city. An amphitheatre, built on the restoration The Amphiof the city after the siege of Severus, was per-theatre. mitted to remain, but it was restricted to exhibitions of wild beasts; the first Christian city was never disgraced by the bloody spectacle of gladiators.^p There were theatres indeed, but it may be doubted whether the noble religious drama of Greece ever obtained popularity in Constantinople. The chariot race was the amusement which absorbed all others; and to this, at first, as it was not necessarily connected with the Pagan worship, Christianity might be more indulgent. How this taste grew into a passion, and this passion into a frenzy, the later annals of Constantinople bear melancholy witness. Beset with powerful enemies without, oppressed by a tyrannous government within, the people of Constantinople thought of nothing but the colour of their faction in the Hippodrome, and these more engrossing and

P An edict of Constantine (Cod. | nals were to be sent to the mines. But it would seem that captives taken in war might still be exposed in the amphitheatre. In fact these bloody "Cruenta spectacula in otio civili, et dendibitions resisted some time longer domestica quiete non placent." Crimithe progress of Christian humanity.

Theod. xv. 12), if it did not altogether abolish these sanguinary shows, restricted them to particular occasions.

maddening contentions even silenced the animosity of

religious dispute.

During the foundation of Constantinople, the Emperor might appear to the Christians to have relapsed from the head of the Christian division of his subjects, into the common sovereign of the Roman world. In this respect, his conduct did not ratify the promise of his earlier acts in the East. He had not only restored Christianity, depressed first by the cruelties of Maximin, and afterwards by the violence of Licinius, but in many cases he had lent his countenance, or his more active assistance, to the rebuilding their churches on a more imposing plan. Yet, to all outward appearance, the world was still Pagan: every city seemed still to repose under the tutelary gods of the ancient religion; everywhere the temples rose above the buildings of men: if here and there a Christian church, in its magnitude, or in the splendour of its architecture, might compete with the solid and elegant fanes of antiquity, the Christians had neither ventured to expel them from their place of honour, or to appropriate to their own use those which were falling into neglect or decay. As yet there had been no invasion but on the opinions and moral influence of Polytheism.

The temples, indeed, of Pagan worship, though subsequently, in some instances, converted to Christian uses, were not altogether suited to the ceremonial of Christianity. The Christians might look on their stateliest buildings with jealousy-hardly with envy. Whether raised on the huge substructures, and in the

M. Quatremère de Quincy on the Hope on Architecture. means of lighting the ancient temples

⁴ Compare an excellent memoir by (Mem. de l'Institut, iii. 171), and

immense masses of the older Asiatic style, as at Baalbec, or the original Temple at Jerusalem; whether built on the principles of Grecian art, when the secret of vaulting over a vast building seems to have been unknown; or, after the general introduction of the arch by the Romans had allowed the roof to spread out to ampler extent,-still the actual enclosed temple was rarely of great dimensions. The largest among the Greeks were hypæthral, open to the sky.s If we judge from the temples crowded together about the Forum, those in Rome contributed to the splendour of the city rather by their number than by their size. The rites of Polytheism, in fact, collected together their vast assemblages. rather as spectators than as worshippers.t The altar itself, in general, stood in the open air, in the court before the temple, where the smoke might find free vent, and rise in its grateful odour to the heavenly dwelling of the gods. The body of the worshippers, therefore, stood in the courts, or the surrounding porticoes. They might approach individually, and make their separate libation or offering, and then retire to a convenient distance, where they might watch the movements of the ministering priest, receive his announcement of the favourable or sinister signs discovered in the victim, or listen to the hymn, which was the only usual form of adoration or prayer. However Chris-

^{*} M. Quatremère de Quincy gives the size of some of the ancient temples: Juno at Agrigentum, 116 (Paris) feet; Concord, 120; Pæstum, 110; Theseus, 100; Jupiter at Olympia, or Minerva at Athens, 220-230; Jupiter at Agrigentum, 322; Selinus, 320; Ephesus, 350; Apollo Dindymus at Miletus, 360. p. 195.

The real hypæthral temples were to particular divinities: Jupiter Fulgurator, Cœlum, Sol, Luna.

t Eleusis, the scene of the mysteries, of all the ancient temples had the largest nave; it was "turbæ theatralis capacissimum." Vitruv. vii. $^{\bullet}O\chi\lambda o\nu$ $\theta\epsilon d\tau\rho\sigma v$ $\delta\epsilon\xi a\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ $\delta\nu\nu d\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$. Strabo.

tianity might admit gradations in its several classes of worshippers, and assign its separate station according to the sex, or the degree of advancement in the religious initiation: however the penitents might be forbidden, until reconciled with the Church, or the catechumens before they were initiated into the community, to penetrate beyond the outer portico, or the first inner division in the church; yet the great mass of a Christian congregation must be received within the walls of the building; and the service consisting not merely in ceremonies performed by the priesthood, but in prayers, to which all present were expected to respond, and in oral instruction, the actual edifice therefore required more ample dimensions.

In many towns there was another public building, the Basilica, or Hall of Justice, u singularly adapted for the Christian worship. This was a large chamber, of an oblong form, with a plain flat exterior wall. The pillars, which in the temples were without, stood within the basilica; and the porch, or that which in the temple was an outward portico, was contained within the basilica. This hall was thus divided by two rows of columns into a central avenue, with two side aisles. The outward wall was easily pierced for windows, without damaging the symmetry or order of the architecture. In the one the male, in the other the female, appellants to justice waited their turn.* The three longitudinal avenues

[&]quot; Le Basilique fut l'édifice des devint la place des celebrans, et du anciens, qui convint à la célébration de ses mystères. La vaste capacité de son intérieur, les divisions de son plan, les grandes ouvertures, qui introduisaient de toutes parts la lumière

chœur, tout se trouva en rapport avec les pratiques du nouveau culte. Q. de Quincy, p. 173. See Hope on Architecture, p. 87.

^{*} According to Bingham (lviii, c. 3), dans son enceinte, le tribunal qui the women occupied galleries in each

were crossed by one in a transverse direction, elevated a few steps, and occupied by the advocates, notaries, and others employed in the public business. At the farther end, opposite to the central avenue, the building swelled out into a semicircular recess, with a ceiling rounded off; it was called absis in the Greek, and in Latin tribunal. Here sat the magistrate with his assessors, and hence courts of justice were called tribunals.

The arrangement of this building coincided with remarkable propriety with the distribution of a Christian congregation.y The sexes retained their separate places in the aisles; the central avenue became the nave, so called from the fanciful analogy of the church to the ship of St. Peter. The transept, the $B\hat{\eta}\mu a$, or choros, was occupied by the inferior clergy and the singers.2 The bishop took the throne of the magistrate, and the superior clergy ranged on each side on the seats of the assessors.

Before the throne of the bishop, either within or on the verge of the recess, stood the altar. This was divided from the nave by the cancelli, or rails, from whence hung curtains, which, during the celebration of the communion, separated the participants from the rest of the congregation.

As these buildings were numerous, and attached to every imperial residence, they might be bestowed at once on the Christians, without either interfering with the course of justice, or bringing the religious feelings of the hostile parties into collision. Two, the Sessorian

aisle above the men. This sort of octagonal form; some in that of a separation may have been borrowed from the synagogue; probably the practice was not uniform.

cross. See Bingham, l. viii. c. 3.

² Apost. Const. l. ii. c. 57.

^{*} There were eighteen at Rome: 7 Some few churches were of an many of these basilicæ had become

and the Lateran, were granted to the Roman Christians by Constantine. And the basilica appears to have been the usual form of building in the West, though, besides the porch, connected with, or rather included within, the building, which became the Narthex, and was occupied by the catechumens and the penitents, and in which stood the piscina, or font of baptism—there was in general an outer open court, surrounded with colonnades. This, as we have seen in the description of the church at Tyre, was general in the East, where the churches retained probably more of the templar form; while in Constantinople, where they were buildings raised from the ground, Constantine appears to have followed the form of the basilica.

By the consecration of these basilicas to the purposes of Christian worship, and the gradual erection of large churches in many of the Eastern cities, Chris-Relative position of Christianity tianity began to assume an outward form and and Pagandignity commensurate with its secret moral influence. In imposing magnitude, if not in the grace and magnificence of its architecture, it rivalled the temples of antiquity. But as yet it had neither the power, nor, probably, the inclination, to array itself in the spoils of Paganism. Its aggression was still rather that of fair competition than of hostile destruction. It was content to behold the silent courts of the Pagan fanes untrodden but by a few casual worshippers; altars without victims; thin wreaths of smoke rising where the air used to be clouded with the reek of hecatombs;

exchanges, or places for general business. Among the Roman basilicæ P. Victor reckons, the Basilicæ Argentariorum. Ciampini, tom. i. p. 8.

Some basilicæ were of a very large

size. One is described by the younger Pliny, in which 180 judges were seated. with a vast multitude of advocates and auditors. Plin. Epist. vi. 33.

the priesthood murmuring in bitter envy at the throngs which passed by the porticoes of their temples towards the Christian church. The direct interference with the freedom of Pagan worship seems to have been confined to the suppression of those Eastern rites which were offensive to public morals. Some of the Syrian temples retained the obscene ceremonial of the older Natureworship. Religious prostitution, and other monstrous enormities, appeared under the form of divine adoration. The same rites which had endangered the fidelity of the ancient Israelites shocked the severe purity of the Christians. A temple in Syria of the Temples female principle of generation, which the later suppressed. Greeks identified with their Aphrodite, was defiled by these unspeakable pollutions; it was levelled to the ground by the Emperor's command; the recesses of the sacred grove laid open to the day, and the rites interdicted. A temple of Æsculapius at Ægæ, in Cilicia, fell under the same proscription. The miraculous cures, pretended to be wrought in this temple, where the suppliants passed the night, appear to have excited the jealousy of the Christians; and this was, perhaps, the first overt act of hostility against the established Paganism.^c In many other places the frauds of the priesthood were detected by the zealous incredulity of the Christians; and Polytheism, feebly defended by its own party, at least left to its fate by the Government, assailed on all quarters by an active and persevering enemy, endured affront, exposure, neglect, if not with the dignified patience of martyrdom, with the sullen equanimity of indifference.

Palestine itself, and its capital, Jerusalem, was an

b Euseb, Vit. Const. iii, 55,

c Ibid. iii. 56.

open province, of which Christianity took entire and almost undisputed possession. Paganism, in the adjacent regions, had built some of its most splendid temples; the later Roman architecture at Gerasa, at Petra, and at Baalbec, appears built on the massive and enormous foundations of the older native structures. But in Palestine Proper it had made no strong settlement. Temples had been raised by Hadrian, in his new city, on the site of Jerusalem. One dedicated to Aphrodite occupied the spot which Christian tradition or later invention asserted to be the sepulchre of Christ.d The Christianity at Jerusalem. prohibition issued by Hadrick and City, doubtprohibition issued by Hadrian against the adless was no longer enforced; but, though not forcibly depressed by public authority, Judaism itself waned, in its own native territory, before the ascendancy of Christianity.

It was in Palestine that the change which had been slowly working into Christianity itself, began to assume a more definite and apparent form. The religion reissued as it were from its cradle, in a character, if foreign to its original simplicity, singularly adapted to achieve and maintain its triumph over the human mind. It no longer confined itself to its purer moral influence; it was no more a simple, spiritual faith, despising all those accessories which captivate the senses, and feed the imagination with new excitement. It no longer disdained the local sanctuary, nor stood independent of those associations with place, which beseemed an universal and spiritual religion. It began to

d This temple was improbably said to have been built on this spot by Hadrian to insult the Christians; but the tribularian to insult the Christians; but the tribularian to insult the Christians.

have its hero-worship, its mythology; it began to crowd the mind with images of a secondary degree of sanctity, but which enthralled and kept in captivity those who were not ripe for the pure moral conception of the Deity, and the impersonation of the Godhead in Jesus Christ. It was, as might not unreasonably be anticipated, a female, the Empress Helena, the mother of Constantine, who gave, as it were, this new colouring to Christian devotion. In Palestine, indeed, where her pious activity was chiefly employed, it was the memory of the Redeemer himself which hallowed the scenes of his life and death to the imagination of the believer. Splendid churches arose over the place of his birth at Bethlehem; that of his burial, near the supposed Calvary; that of his ascension, on the Mount of Olives. So far the most spiritual piety could not hesitate to proceed; to such natural and irresistible claims upon its veneration no Christian heart could refuse to yield. The cemeteries of their brethren had, from the commencement of Christianity, exercised a strong influence over the imagination. They had frequently, in times of trial, been the only places of religious assemblage. When hallowed to the feelings by the remains of friends, of bishops, of martyrs, it was impossible to approach them without the profoundest reverence; and the transition from reverence to veneration—to adoration—was too easy and imperceptible to awaken the jealousy of that exclusive devotion due to God and the Redeemer. The sanctity of the place where the Redeemer was supposed to have been laid in the sepulchre, was still more naturally and intimately associated with the purest sentiments of devotion.

But the next step, the discovery of the true cross, was more important. It materialised, at once, the spiritual

worship of Christianity. It was reported throughout wondering Christendom, that tradition, or a vision, having revealed the place of the Holy Sepulchre, the fane of Venus had been thrown down by the Imperial command, excavations had been made, the Holy Sepulchre had come to light, and with the Sepulchre three crosses, with the inscription originally written by Pilate in three languages over that of Jesus. As it was doubtful to which of the crosses the tablet with the inscription belonged, a miracle decided to the perplexed believers the claims of the genuine cross.^e The precious treasure was divided; part, enshrined in a silver case, remained at Jerusalem, from whence pilgrims constantly bore fragments of the still vegetating wood to the West. till enough was accumulated in the different churches to build a ship of war. Part was sent to Constantinople: the nails of the passion of Christ were turned into a bit for the war-horse of the Emperor, or, according to another account, represented the rays of the sun around the head of his statue.

A magnificent church, called at first the Church of the Resurrection (Anastasis), afterwards that of the Churches Holy Sepulchre, rose on the sacred spot hallowed by this discovery, in which from that time a large part of the Christian world has addressed its unquestioning orisons. It stood in a large open court. with porticoes on each side, with the usual porch, nave.

mind, and the tendency to this matewith which they entertained the improbable notion that the crosses were

e The excited state of the Christian | that on which He suffered, but those of the two thieves also. From the rialisation of Christianity, may be simple account of the burial in the estimated by the undoubting credulity Gospels, how singular a change to that of the discovery of the cross in the ecclesiastical historians! Socrates, i. buried with our Saviour, not only 17. Sozomen, ii. 1. Theodoret, i. 18.

and choir. The nave was inlaid with precious marbles; and the roof, overlaid with gold, showered down a flood of light over the whole building; the roofs of the aisles were likewise overlaid with gold. At the farther end arose a dome supported by twelve pillars, in commemoration of the Twelve Apostles; the capitals of these were silver vases. Within the church was another court, at the extremity of which stood the Chapel of the Holy Sepulchre, lavishly adorned with gold and precious stones, as it were to perpetuate the angelic glory which streamed forth on the day of the Resurrection.

Another sacred place was purified by the command of Constantine, and dedicated to Christian worship, Near Hebron g there was the celebrated oak or terebinth tree of Mamre, which tradition pointed out as the spot where the angels appeared to Abraham. It is singular that the Heathen are said to have celebrated religious rites at this place, and to have worshipped the celestial visitants of Abraham. It was likewise, as usual in the East, a celebrated emporium of commerce. The worship may have been like that at the Caaba of Mecca before the appearance of Mohammed, for the fame of Abraham seems to have been preserved among the Syrian and Arabian tribes, as well as the Jews. It is remarkable that, at a later period, the Jews and Christians are said to have met in amicable devotion, and offered their common incense and suspended their lights in the church erected over this spot by the Christian Emperor.h

f Eusebius, Vit. Constant. iii. 29, et seq.; this seems to be the sense of the author.

g On Hebron, read Dr. Stanley's Heinick most interesting account of his visit iii. 53.

to the tomb of Abraham with H.R.H. the Prince of Wales.

h Antoninus in Itinerario. See Heinichen, Note on Euseb. Vit. Const. iii. 53.

CHAPTER IV.

Trinitarian Controversy.

But it was as arbiter of religious differences, as presiding in their solemn councils, that Constancontroversy. tine appeared to the Christians the avowed and ostensible head of their community. Immediately after his victory over Licinius, Constantine had found the East, no less than the West, agitated by the dissensions of his Christian subjects. He had hoped to allay the flames of the Donatist schism, by the consentient and impartial authority of the Western Churches. A more extensive, if as yet less fiercely agitated, contest disturbed the Eastern provinces. Outward peace seemed to be restored only to give place to intestine dissension. I must reascend the course of Christian History for several years, in order to trace in one continuous narrative the rise and progress of the Trinitarian Controversy. This dissension had broken out soon after Constantine's subjugation of the East; already, before the building of Constantinople, it had obtained full possession of the public mind, and the great Council of Nicæa, the first real senate of Christendom, had passed its solemn decree. The Donatist schism was but a local dissension: it raged, indeed, with fatal and implacable fury; but it was almost entirely confined to the limits of a single province. The Trinitarian controversy was the first dissension which rent asunder the whole body of the Christians, arrayed in almost every part of the

world two hostile parties in implacable opposition, and, at a later period, exercised a powerful political influence on the affairs of the world. How singular an illustration of the change already wrought in the mind of man by the introduction of Christianity! Questions which, if they had arisen in the earlier period of the world, would have been limited to a priestly caste-if in Greece, would have been confined to the less frequented schools of Athens or Alexandria, and might have produced some intellectual excitement among the few who were conversant with the higher philosophy-now agitated the populace of great cities, occupied the councils of princes, and, at a later period, determined the fate of kingdoms and the sovereignty of great part of Europe. It appears still more extraordinary, since this controversy related to a purely speculative tenet. The disputants of either party might possibly have asserted the superior tendency of each system to enforce the severity of Christian morals, or to excite the ardour of Christian piety; but they appear to have dwelt little. if at all, on the practical effects of the conflicting opinions. In morals, in manners, in habits, in usages, in Church government, in religious ceremonial, there was no distinction between the parties which divided Christendom. The Gnostic sects inculcated a severer asceticism, and differed, in many of their usages, from the general body of the Christians. The Donatist factions commenced at least with a question of Church discipline, and almost grew into a strife for political ascendancy. The Arians and Athanasians first divided the world on a pure question of faith. From this

^{*} For instance, when the savage | more refined Arianism of the Visigoths orthodoxy of the Franks made the | a pretext for hostile invasion.

period we may date the introduction of rigorous articles of belief, which required the submissive assent of the mind to every word and letter of an established creed, and which raised the slightest heresy of opinion into a more fatal offence against God, and a more odious crime in the estimation of man, than the worst moral delinquency or the most flagrant deviation from

the spirit of Christianity.

The Trinitarian controversy was the natural, though Origin of the tardy, growth of the Gnostic opinions; it could controversy. scarcely be avoided when the exquisite distinctness and subtlety of the Greek language were applied to religious opinions of an Oriental origin. Even the Greek of the New Testament retained something of the significant and reverential vagueness of Eastern expression. This vagueness, even philosophically speaking, may better convey to the mind those mysterious conceptions of the Deity which are beyond the province of reason than the anatomical precision of philosophic Greek. The first Christians were content to worship, with undefined fervour, the Deity as revealed in the Gospel. They assented to, and repeated with devout adoration, the words of the Sacred Writings, or those which had been made use of from the Apostolic age; but they did not decompose them, or, with nice and scrupulous accuracy, appropriate peculiar terms to each manifestation of the Godhead. It was the great characteristic of the Oriental theologies, as described in a former chapter, to preserve the primal and parental Deity at the greatest possible distance from the material creation. This originated in the elementary tenet of the irreclaimable evil of matter. In the present day, the more rational believer labours under the constant dread, if not of materialising, of humanising too

much the Great Supreme. A certain degree of indistinctness appears inseparable from that vastness of conception, which arises out of the more extended knowledge of the works of the Creator. A more expanding and comprehensive philosophy increases the distance between the Omnific First Cause and the race of man. All that defines seems to limit and circumscribe the Deity. Yet in thus reverentially repelling Constant the Deity into an unapproachable sphere, and investing him, as it were, in a nature absolutely unimaginable by the mind; in thus the Deity. secluding him from the degradation of being vulgarised. if the expression may be ventured, by profane familiarity, or circumscribed by the narrowness of the human intellect, God is gradually subtilised and sublimated into a being beyond the reach of devotional feelings, almost superior to adoration. There is in mankind, and in the individual man, on the one hand, an intellectual tendency to refine the Deity into a mental conception; and, on the other, an instinctive counter-tendency to impersonate him into a material, and, when the mind is ruder and less intellectual, a mere human being. Among the causes which have contributed to the successful promulgation of Christianity and the maintenance of its influence over the mind of man, was the singular beauty and felicity with which its theory of the conjunction of the divine and human nature, each preserving its separate attributes, on the one hand, enabled the mind to preserve inviolate the pure conception of the Deity, on the other, to approximate it, as it were, to human interests and sympathies. But this is done rather by a process of instinctive feeling than by strict logical reasoning. Even here, there is a perpetual strife between the intel-VOL. II.

lect, which guards with jealousy the divine conception of the Redeemer's nature; and the sentiment, or even the passion, which so draws down the general notion to its own capacities, so approximates and assimilates it to its own ordinary sympathies, as to absorb the Godhead in the human nature.

The Gnostic systems had universally admitted the seclusion of the primal Deity from all intercourse with matter; that intercourse had taken place, through a derivative and intermediate being, more or less remotely proceeding from the sole fountain of Godhead. This, however, was not the part of Gnosticism which was chiefly obnoxious to the general sentiments of the Christian body. Their theories about the malignant nature of the Creator; the identification of the God of the Jews with this hostile being; the Docetism which asserted the unreality of the Redeemer—these points, with their whole system of the origin of the worlds and of mankind, excited the most vigorous and active resistance. But when the wilder theories of Gnosticism began to die away, or to rank themselves under the hostile standard of Manicheism; when their curious cosmogonical notions were dismissed, and the greater part of the Christian world began to agree in the plain doctrines of the eternal supremacy of God; the birth, the death, the resurrection of Christ as the Son of God; the effusion of the Holy Spirit, -questions began to arise as to the peculiar nature and relation between the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost. In all the systems a binary, in most a triple, modification of the Deity was The Logos, the Divine Word or Reason, might differ, in the various schemes, in his relation to the parental Divinity and to the universe; but there was this distinctive and ineffaceable character, that he

was the Mediator, the connecting link between the unseen and unapproachable world and that of man. This Platonism, if it may be so called, was universal. It differed, indeed, widely in most systems from the original philosophy of the Athenian sage; it had acquired a more Oriental and imaginative cast. Plato's poetry of words had been expanded into the poetry of conceptions. It may be doubted whether Plato himself impersonated the Logos, the Word or Reason, of the Deity; with him it was rather an attribute of the Godhead. In one sense it was the chief of these archetypal ideas, according to which the Creator framed the universe; in another, the principle of life, motion, and harmony which pervaded all things. This Platonism had gradually absorbed all the more intellectual class: it hovered over, as it were, and gathered under its wings all the religions of the world. It had already modified Judaism; it had allied itself with the Syrian and Mithriac worship of the Sun, the visible Mediator, the emblem of the Word; it was part of the general Nature worship; it was attempting to renew Paganism, and was the recognised and leading tenet in the higher Mysteries. Disputes on the nature of Christ were indeed coeval with the promulgation of Christianity. Some of the Jewish converts had never attained to the sublimer notion of his mediatorial character; but this disparaging notion, adverse to the ardent zeal of the rest of the Christian world, had isolated this sect. The imperfect Christianity of the Ebionites had long ago expired in an obscure corner of Palestine. In all the other divisions of Christianity, the Christ had more or less approximated to the office and character of this Being which connected mankind with the Eternal Father.

2 A 2

Alexandria, the fatal and prolific soil of speculative controversy, where speculative controversy was Controversy most likely to madden into furious and lasting commences at Alexanhostility, gave birth to this new element of disunion in the Christian world. The Trinitarian question, indeed, had already been agitated within a less extensive sphere. Noetus, an Asiatic, either of Smyrna or Noetus. Ephesus, had dwelt with such exclusive zeal on the unity of the Godhead, as to absorb, as it were, the whole Trinity into one undivided and undistinguished Being. The one supreme and impassible Father united to himself the man Jesus, whom he had created, by so intimate a conjunction, that the divine unity was not destroyed. His adversaries drew the conclusion, that, according to this blaspheming theory, the Father must have suffered on the cross, and the ignominious name of Patripassians adhered to the few followers of this unprosperous sect.b

Sabellianism had excited more attention. Sabellius was an African of the Cyrenaic province. According to his system it was the same Deity, under different forms, who existed in the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. A more modest and unoffending Sabellianism might, perhaps, be imagined in accordance with modern philosophy. The manifestations of the same Deity, or rather of his attributes, through which alone the Godhead becomes comprehensible to the human mind, may have been thus successively made in condescension to our weakness of intellect. It would be the same Deity, assuming, as it

b I have not thought it necessary at Rome, on which the Philosophamena to enter into the various shades of Monarchianism, especially in the Church

were, an objective form, so as to come within the scope of the human mind; a real difference, as regards the conception of man, perfect unity in its subjective existence. This, however, though some of its terms may appear the same with the Sabellianism of antiquity, would be the Trinitarianism of a philosophy unknown at this period. The language of the Sabellians implied, to the jealous ears of their opponents, that the distinction between the persons of the Trinity was altogether unreal. While the Sabellian party charged their adversaries with a Heathen Tritheistic worship, they retorted by accusing Sabellianism of annihilating the separate existence of the Son and the Holy Ghost. But Sabellianism had not divided Christianity into two irreconcileable parties. Even now, but for the commanding characters of the champions who espoused each party, the Trinitarian controversy might have been limited to a few provinces, and become extinct in some years. But it arose, not merely under the banners of men endowed with those abilities which command the multitude; it not merely called into action the energies of successive disputants, the masters of the intellectual attainments of the age,—it appeared at a critical period, when the rewards of success were more splendid, the penalty upon failure proportionately more severe. The contest was now not merely for a superiority over a few scattered and obscure communities, it was agitated on a vaster theatre, that of the Roman world; the proselytes whom it disputed were sovereigns; it contested the supremacy of the human mind, which was now bending to the voke of Christianity. It is but judging on the common principles of human nature to conclude, that the grandeur of the prize supported the ambition and inflamed the passions of the contending parties, that

human motives of political power and aggrandisement mingled with the more spiritual influences of the love of

truth, and zeal for the purity of religion.

The doctrine of the Trinity, that is, the divine nature of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, was acknowledged by all. To each of these distinct and separate beings, both parties ascribed the attributes of the Godhead, with the exception of selfexistence, which was restricted by the Arians to the Father. Both admitted the anti-mundane Being of the Son and the Holy Spirit. But, according to the Arian, there was a time, before the commencement of the ages, when the Parent Deity dwelt alone in undeveloped. undivided unity. At this time, immeasurably, incalculably, inconceivably remote, the majestic solitude ceased, the divine unity was broken by an act of the sovereign Will; and the only begotten Son, the image of the Father, the Vicegerent of all the divine power, the intermediate Agent in all the long subsequent work of creation, began to be.d

Such was the question which led to all the evils of human strife—hatred, persecution, bloodshed. But, however profoundly humiliating this fact in the history of mankind, and in the history of Christianity an epoch of complete revolution from its genuine spirit, it may fairly be inquired, whether this was not an object more generous, more unselfish, and at least as wise, as many of those motives of personal and national advantage and aggrandisement, or many of those magic words, which, embraced by two parties with blind and unintelligent fury, have led to the most disastrous and sanguinary

[°] Compare Cyril. Alex. Epist. i. d Compare the letter of Arius, in 7; Labbe, p. 26. Theodoret, lib. i. c. v.

events in the annals of man. It might, indeed, have been supposed that a profound metaphysical question of this kind would have been far removed from the passions of the multitude; but with the multitude, and that multitude often comprehends nearly the whole of society, it is the passion which seeks the object, not the object which, of its own exciting influence, inflames the passion. In fact religion was become the one dominant passion of the whole Christian world; and everything allied to it, or rather, in this case, which seemed to concern its very essence, could no longer be agitated with tranquillity, or debated with indifference. The Pagan party, miscalculating the inherent strength of the Christian system. saw, no doubt, in these disputes, the seeds of the destruction of Christianity. The contest was brought on the stage at Alexandria; but there was no Aristophanes. or rather the serious and unpoetic time could not have produced an Aristophanes, who might at once show that he understood, while he broadly ridiculed, the follies of his adversaries. The days even of a Lucian were past. Discord, which at times is fatal to a nation or to a sect, seems at others, by the animating excitement of rivalry, the stirring collision of hostile energy, to favour the development of moral strength. The Christian republic. like Rome when rent asunder by domestic factions, calmly proceeded in her conquest of the world.

The plain and intelligible principle which united the opponents of Arius was, no doubt, a vague, and, however perhaps overstrained, neither ungenerous nor unnatural jealousy, lest the dignity of the Redeemer, the object of their grateful adoration, might in some way be lowered

f The Philopatris, of whatever age these questions.

[•] Euseb. Vit. Constant. ii. 61; it may be, is clearly not Lucian's; and, at most, only slightly touches

by the new hypothesis. The divinity of the Saviour seemed inseparably connected with his co-equality with the Father; it was endangered by the slightest concession on this point. It was their argument, that if the Son was not coeval in existence with the Father, he must have been created, and created out of that which was not pre-existent. But a created being must be liable to mutability; and it was asserted in the public address of the Patriarch of Alexandria, that this fatal consequence had been extorted from an unguarded Arian, if not from Arius himself,—that it was possible that the Son might have fallen, like the great rebellious angel.g

The patriarch of this important see, the metropolis of Egypt, was named Alexander. It was said that Arius, a presbyter of acute powers of reasoning, popular address, and blameless character, had declined that episcopal dignity.h The person of Ariusi was tall and graceful; his countenance calm. pale, and subdued; his manners engaging; his conversation fluent and persuasive. He was well acquainted with human sciences; as a disputant subtle, ingenious, and fertile in resources. His enemies add to this character, which themselves have preserved, that this humble and mortified exterior concealed unmeasured ambition; that his

simplicity, frankness, and honesty only veiled his craft

^{723-727.}

h See Philostorgius (the Arian writer). Theodoret, on the other band, says, that he brought forward his opinions from envy at the promotion of Alexander, i. 2. See the Epistle of Alexander, in Socrat. Hist. Eccl. 1. 6.

Epiphan. Hær. 69, tom. i. p. | Arius is said, in his early life, to have been implicated in the sect of the Meletians, which seems to have been rather a party than a sect. They were the followers of Meletius, Bishop of Lycopolis, who had been deposed for having sacrificed during the persecution. Yet this sect or party lasted for more than a century.

and love of intrigue; that he appeared to stand aloof from all party, merely that he might guide his cabal with more perfect command, and agitate and govern the hearts of men. Alexander was accustomed, whether for the instruction of the people, or the display of his own powers, to debate in public these solemn questions on the nature of the Deity, and the relation of the Son and the Holy Spirit to the Father. According to the judgement of Arius, Alexander fell inadvertently into the heresy of Sabellianism, and was guilty of confounding in the simple unity of the Godhead the existence of the Son and of the Holy Ghost.^k

The intemperate indignation of Alexander at the objections of Arius, betrayed more of the baffled disputant, or the wounded pride of the dignitary, than the serenity of the philosopher, or the meekness of the Christian. He armed himself ere long in all the terrors of his office, and promulgated his anathema in terms full of exaggeration and violence. "The impious Arius, the forerunner of Antichrist, had dared to utter his blasphemies against the divine Redeemer." Arius, expelled from Alexandria, not indeed before his opinions had spread through the whole of Egypt and Libya, "retired to the more congenial atmosphere of Syria." There, his

^{*} Socrates, i. 5, 6.

m The account of Sozomen says, that Alexander at first vacillated, but that he afterwards commanded Arius to adopt his opinions: τὸν Ἦρειον ὁμοίως φρονεῖν ἐκέλευσε. Sozomen acknowledges the high character of many of the Arian bishops; πλείστους ἀγαθοῦ βίου προσχήματι σεμνούς, καὶ πιθανότητι λόγου δεινοὺς, συλλαμβανομένους τοῖς ἀμφὶ τὸν Ἦρειον.

n It was during his retreat that he wrote his famous Thalia; the gay and convivial title of which is singularly out of keeping with the grave and serious questions then in agitation. His adversaries represent this as a poem full of profane wit, and even of indecency. It was written in the same measure, and to the same air, with the Sotadic verses, which were proverbial for their grossness even among the Greeks. It is difficult to

vague theory caught the less severely reasoning, and more imaginative minds of the Syrian bishops: o the lingering Orientalism prepared them for this kindred hypothesis. The most learned, the most pious, the most influential, united themselves to his party. The chief of these were the two prelates named Eusebius,—one the ecclesiastical historian, the other, bishop of the important city of Nicomedia. Throughout the East, the controversy was propagated with earnest rapidity. It was not repressed by the attempts of Licinius to interrupt the free intercourse between the Christian communities, and his prohibition of the ecclesiastical synods. The ill-smothered flame burst into tenfold fury on the re-union of the East to the empire of Constantine. The interference of the Emperor was loudly

with the subtle and politic character which his enemies attribute to Arius, still less to the protection of such men as Eusebius of Nicomedia, and the other Syrian prelates. Arius, likewise, composed hymns, in accordance with his opinions, to be chanted by sailors, those who worked at the mill, or travellers. Songs of this kind abounded in the Greek poetry: each art and trade had its song;1 and Arius may have intended no more than to turn this popular practice in favour of Christianity, by substituting sacred for profane songs, which, of course, would be embued with his own opinions. Might not the Thalia have been written in the same vein, and something in the same spirit with which a celebrated modern humorist

reconcile this account of the Thalia | and preacher adapted hymns to some of the most popular airs, and declared that the devil ought not to have all the best tunes? The general style of Arius is said to have been soft, effeminate, and popular. The specimen from the Thalia (in Athanas, Or. i. Cont. Ar. c. 5) is very loose and feeble Greek. Yet it is admitted that Arius was an expert dialectician; and no weak orator would have maintained such a contest so long.

o The bishops of Ptolemais, in the Pentapolis, and Theonas of Marmarica, joined his party. The females were inclined to his side. Seven hundred virgins of Alexandria, and of the Mareotic nome, owned him for their spiritual teacher. Compare the letter of Alexander in Theodoret. ch. iv.

¹ Ilgen, de Scoliorum Poesi, p. xiii

demanded to allay the strife which distracted the Christendom of the East. The behaviour of Constantine was regulated by the most perfect equanimity, or, more probably, guided by some counsellor of mild and more humane Christianity: his letter of peace was, Letter of in its spirit, a model of temper and concilia- Constantine. tion.p With profound sorrow he had heard that his designs for the unity of the empire, achieved by his victory over Licinius, as well as for the unity of the faith, had been disturbed by this unexpected contest. His impartial rebuke condemned Alexander for unnecessarily agitating such frivolous and unimportant questions, and Arius for not suppressing, in prudent and respectful silence, his objections to the doctrine of the Patriarch. It recommended the judicious reserve of the philosophers, who had never debated such subjects before an ignorant and uneducated audience, and who differed without acrimony on such profound questions. entreated them, by the unanimous suppression of all feelings of unhallowed animosity, to restore his cheerful days and undisturbed nights. Of the same faith, the same form of worship, they ought to meet in amicable synod, to adore their common God in peaceful harmony, and not fall into discord as to accuracy of expression on these most minute of questions; to enjoy and allow freedom in the sanctuary of their own minds, but to remain united in the common bonds of Christian love.q

It is probable that the hand of Hosius, bishop of

P See the letter in Euseb. Vit. Con- | φέρησθε, μένειν είσω λογισμοῦ προσήκει, τώ της διανοίας αποβρήτω 9 A δ΄ ύπερ των ελαχίστων τούτων | τηρούμενοι. Euseb. Vit. Constant.

stant. ii. 64-72.

⁽ητήσεων εν άλληλοις ακριβολογεί- ii. 71. σθε, κάν μη πρός μιάν γνώμην συμ-

Cordova in Spain, is to be traced in that royal and Christian letter. The influence of Hosius was uniformly exercised in this manner. Wherever the edicts of the government were mild, conciliating, and humane, we find the Bishop of Cordova. It is by no means an improbable conjecture of Tillemont, that he was the Spaniard who afterwards, in the hour of mental agony and remorse, administered to the Emperor the balm of Christian penitence.

Hosius was sent to Egypt, as the imperial Commissioner, to assuage the animosity of the distracted church. But religious strife, in Egypt more particularly, its natural and prolific soil, refused to listen to the admonitions of Christian wisdom or imperial authority. Eusebius compares the fierce conflict of parties—bishops with bishops, people with people—to the collision of the Symplegades. From the mouths of the Nile to the Cataracts, the divided population tumultuously disputed the nature of the divine unity.

A general Council of the heads of the various Christian communities throughout the Roman empire was summoned by the imperial mandate, to establish, on the consentient authority of assembled Christendom, the true doctrine on these contested points, and to allay for ever this propensity to hostile Controversy about keeping the Easter. Was to settle definitively another subordinate question, relating to the time of keeping the Easter festival. Many of the Eastern communities shocked their more scrupulous brethren by following the calculations, and observing the same sacred days with the impious

 [▼] Vit. Const. iii 4.
 « Σριδες ἐν ἐκάστη πόλει καὶ μάτων ἐγίγνοντο. Theodoret. i. 6.

and abhorred Jews; for the further we advance in the Christian history, the estrangement of the Christians from the Jews darkens more and more into absolute antipathy.

In the month of May or June (the 20th t) in the year 325, met the great council of Nicæa. Not half a century before, the Christian bishops even in that city had been only marked as the objects of the most cruel insult and persecution. They had been chosen, on account of their eminence in their own communities, as the peculiar victims of the stern policy of the government. They had been driven into exile, set to work in the mines, exposed to every kind of humiliation and suffering, from which some had in mercy been released by death. They now assembled, under the imperial sanction, a religious senate from all parts at least of the eastern world; for Italy was represented only by two presbyters of Rome; Hosius appeared for Spain, Gaul, and Britain. The spectacle was altogether new to the world. No wide-ruling sovereign would ever have thought of summoning a conclave of the sacerdotal orders of the different religions; a synod of philosophers to debate some grave metaphysical or even political question was equally inconsistent with the ordinary usages and sentiments of Grecian or Roman society.

The public establishment of post-horses was commanded to afford every facility, and that gratuitously, for the journey of the assembling bishops." Vehicles or mules were to be provided, as though the assembly were an affair of state, at the public charge. At a later period, when councils became more frequent, the

^{*}One of these dates rests on the 282. Compare Pagi, p. 404. authority of Socrates, xiii. 26; the u Euseb. Vit. Const. iii. 6; Theoother on the Paschal Chronicle, p. doret, i. 7.

Heathen historian complains, that the public service was impeded, and the post-horses harassed and exhausted, by the incessant journeying to and fro of the Christian delegates to their councils. They were sumptuously maintained during the sitting at the public

charge.x

Above three hundred bishops were present, presbyters, Number of deacons, acolyths without number, a considerable body of laity: but it was the presence of the Emperor himself which gave its chief weight and dignity to the assembly. Nothing could so much confirm the Christians in the opinion of their altered position, or declare to the world at large the growing power of Christianity, as this avowed interest taken in their domestic concerns; or so tend to raise the importance attached even to the more remote and speculative doctrines of the new faith, as this unprecedented condescension, so it would seem to the Heathen, on the part of the Emperor. The Council met, proings of the bably, in a spacious basilica.² Eusebius describes the scene as himself deeply impressed with its solemnity. The assembly sate in profound silence; while the great officers of state and other dignified persons (there was no armed guard) entered the hall, and awaited in proud and trembling expectation the

v Amm. Marcellinus, xvi. 16. Read in Stanley's Eastern Church the gathering and the names and characters of the assembled bishops, p. 109, et seqq.

^{*} Euseb. iii. 9.

[&]quot;There was one bishop from Persia, one from Scythia. Eusebius states the number at 250; that in the text is on the authority of Theodoret, and of the numbers said to have signed the creed.

² There is a long note in Heinichen's Eusebius to prove that they did not meet in the palace, but in a church; as though the authority of their proceedings depended upon their place of assembly. It was probably a basilica, or hall of justice; the kind of building usually made over by the government for the purposes of Christian worship; and, in general, the model of the earliest Christian edifices.

appearance of the Emperor of the world in a Christian council. Constantine at length entered; he was splendidly attired; the eyes of the bishops were dazzled by the gold and precious stones upon his raiment. The majesty of his person and the modest dignity of his demeanour heightened the effect: the whole assembly rose to do him honour; he advanced to a low golden seat prepared for him, and did not take his seat (it is difficult not to suspect Eusebius of highly colouring the deference of the Emperor), till a sign of permission had been given by the bishops. One of the leading prelates (probably Eusebius the historian) commenced the proceedings with a short address, and a hymn to Almighty God. Constantine then delivered an exhortation to unity in the Latin language, which was interpreted to the Greek bishops. His admonition seems at first to have produced no great effect. Mutual accusation, defence, and recrimination, prolonged the debate.b Constantine seems to have been present during Behaviour of the greater part of the sittings, listening with Constantine. patience, softening asperities, countenancing those whose language tended to peace and union, and conversing familiarly, in the best Greek he could command, with the different prelates. The courtly flattery of the council might attribute to Constantine himself what was secretly suggested by the Bishop of Cordova. For powerful and comprehensive as his mind may have been, it is incredible that a man so educated, and engaged during the early period of his life with military

which the bishops had presented arose out of private animosities. Socrates, i. 6.

[·] Οὐ πρότερον ή τοὺς ἐπισκόπους ἐπινεῦσαι. See also Socrates, i. 8. against each other. Many of these In Theodoret (i. 7), this has grown (the ecclesiastical historian intimates) into his humbly asking permission to sit down.

b Constantine burned the libels

and civil affairs, could have entered, particularly being imperfectly acquainted with the Greek language, into these discussions on religious metaphysics.

The Council sate for rather more than two months.c Towards the close, Constantine, on the occasion of the commencement of the twentieth year of his reign,d condescended to invite the bishops to a sumptuous banquet. All attended; and, as they passed through the imperial guard, treated with every mark of respect, they could not but call to mind the total revolution in their circumstances. Eusebius betrays his transport by the acknowledgment that they could scarcely believe that it was a reality, not a vision; to the grosser conception of those who had not purified their minds from the millennial notions, the banquet seemed the actual commencement of the kingdom of Christ.

The Nicene creed was the result of the solemn deliberation of the assembly. It was conceived with some degree of Oriental indefiniteness. harmonised with Grecian subtlety of expression. The vague and somewhat imaginative fulness of its original eastern terms was not too severely limited by the fine precision of its definitions. One fatal word broke the harmony of assent with which it was received by the whole council. Christ was declared Homoousios, of the same substance with the Father, e and the undeniable, if

c According to some, two months and eleven days, to others, two months and six days.

d This seems to reconcile the difficulty started by Heinichen. The 20th year of Constantine's reign began the 8th Cal. Aug. A.D. 325. Eusebius uses the inaccurate word ἐπληροῦτο. Vit. Const. iii. 14.

the bishops who deposed Paul of Samosata, were justified in rejecting the word δμοούσιον, because they understood it in a material or corporeal sense. But the privilege allowed to those who had died in orthodox reputation was denied to the Arians. and semi-Arians: de Synodis, Athannas. Oper. i. p. 759. It is impos · Athanasius himself allowed that sible to read some pages of this trea-

perhaps inevitable, ambiguity of this single term, involved Christianity in centuries of hostility. To one party it implied absolute identity, and was therefore only ill-disguised Sabellianism; to the other it was essential to the co-equal and co-eval dignity of the three persons in the Godhead. To some of the Syrian bishops it implied or countenanced the material notion of the Deity. It was, it is said by one ecclesiastical historian, a battle in the night, in which neither party could see the meaning of the other.

Three hundred and eighteen bishops confirmed this creed by their signatures; five alone still con-Five recutested the single expression, the Homoousion: sants.

Eusebius of Nicomedia, Theognis of Nicæa, Theonas of Marmarica, Maris of Chalcedon, and Eusebius of Cæsarea.

tise without the unpleasant conviction, that Athanasius was determined to make out the Arians to be in the wrong.

^f Μήτε γὰρ δύνασθαι τὴν αἔλον καὶ νοέραν καὶ ἀσώματον φύσιν, σωμάτικόν τι πάθος ὑφίστασθαι. This is the language of Eusebius.

Φασὶ δὲ δμως περὶ τούτου, ώς άρα θέλων δ Θεός την γεννητην κτίσαι φύσιν, ἐπειδὴ ἐώρα μὴ δυναμένην αὐτὴν μετασχείν τῆς τοῦ πατρδς ἀκράτου, καὶ τῆς παρ' ωὐτοῦ δημιουργίας, ποιεί και κτίζει πρώτως μόνος μόνον ένα, καλ καλεί τοῦτον υίον και λόγον. Ίνα τούτου μέσου γενομένου, ούτως λοιπόν και τὰ πάντα δὶ αὐτοῦ γενέσθαι δυνηθῆ. ταῦτα οὐ μόνον εἰρήκασιν, ἀλλὰ καλ γράψαι τετολμήκασιν Εὐσέβιός τε, καὶ "Αρειος καὶ ὁ θύσας 'Αστέotos. Athan, Orat. ii. c. 24. Compare Möhler (a learned and strongly orthodox Roman Catholic writer), Athanasius der Grösse, b. i. p. 195. Möhler but dimly sees the Gnostic or Oriental origin of this notion, which lies at the bottom of Arianism.

g This remarkable sentence does credit to the judgement and impartiality of Socrates: Νυκτομαχίας δε οὐδὲν ἀπεῖχε τὰ γιγνομένα, οὐτὲ γάρ ἀλλήλους ἐφαίνοντο νοοῦντες, ἀφ' ὧν ἀλλήλους βλασφημείν ύπελάμβανον οἱ μὲν γὰρ τοῦ όμοουσίου την λέξιν ἐκκλίνοντες την Σαβελλίου καὶ Μοντανοῦ δόξαν εἰσηγείσθαι άυτην τούς προσδεχομένους ένόμιζον και διά τοῦτο βλασφήμους έκάλουν, ως αναιρούντες την υπαρξιν τοῦ νίοῦ τοῦ Θεοῦ οἱ δὲ πάλιν τώ δμοουσίφ προσκείμενοι πολυθείαν είσάγειν τοὺς ἐτέρους νομίζοντες, ὡς Ελληνισμόν είσαγόντας έξετράπον-70. C. 23. Add to these, above all, the decisive words of Arius himself, quoted in Latin Christianity, i. 131.

Eusebius of Nicomedia and Theognis were banished. Eusebius of Cæsarea, after much hesitation, consented to subscribe; but sent the creed into his diocese with a comment, explanatory of the sense in which he understood the contested word. His chief care was to guard against giving the slightest countenance to the material conception of the Deity. Two only withstood with uncompromising resistance the decree of the council. The solemn anathema of this Christian senate was pronounced against Arius and his adherents; they were banished by the civil power; and they were especially interdicted from disturbing the peace of Alexandria by their presence.

Peace might seem to be restored; the important question set at rest by the united authority of the Emperor, and a representative body which might fairly presume to deliver the sentiments of the whole Christian world. But the Arians were condemned, not convinced; discomfited, not subdued. Rather more than two years elapsed, eventful in the private life of Constantine, but tranquil in the history of the Christian church. The imperial assessor in the Christian council had appeared in the West under a different character, as the murderer of his son and of his wife. He returned to the East, determined no more to visit the imperial city of the West; where, instead of the humble deference with which all parties courted his approbation, he had been unable

Oper. 1.)

h In one passage in the De Synodis, Athanasius accused not only the Arian but the semi-Arian party, Eusebius as well as Arius, of something like Socinianism.

^{&#}x27;Ως ξστιν νίδς δμοιος πάτρι, ἀλλὰ the concealment α διὰ τὴν συμφωνίαν δόγματων και works was made τῆς διδασκαλίας. (p. 766, Athan. Ε. Η. Lib. i. c. 21.

¹ The writings of Arius and his followers were condemned to be burned. If we are to believe Sozomen (which, I confess, that I am disinclined to do), the concealment of such heretical works was made a capital offence! E. H. Lib. i. c. 21.

to close his ears against the audacious and bitter pasquinade which arraigned his cruelty to his own family. His return to the East, instead of overawing the contending factions into that unity, which he declared to be the dearest wish of his heart, by his own sudden change of conduct, was the signal for the revival of the fiercest The Christian community was Change in the contentions. now to pay a heavy penalty for the pride and constantine. triumph with which they had hailed the interference of the Emperor in their religious questions. The imperial decisions had been admitted by the dominant party when on their own side, to add weight to the decree of the Council. At least they had applauded the sentence of banishment pronounced by the civil power against their antagonists; that authority now assumed a different tone, and was almost warranted, by their own admission. in expecting the same prompt obedience. The power which had exiled, might restore the heretic to his place and station. Court influence, however obtained through court intrigue, or from the caprice of the ruling sovereign, by this fatal, perhaps inevitable step, became the arbiter of the most vital questions of Christian faith and discipline; and thus the first precedent of a temporal punishment for an ecclesiastical offence was a dark prognostic, and an example, of the difficulties which would arise during the whole history of Christianity, when the communities, so distinctly two when they were separate and adverse, became one by the identification of the Church and the State. The restoration of a banished man to the privileges of a citizen by the civil power, seemed to command his restoration to religious privileges by the ecclesiastical authority.k

k Socr. i. 25, 26; Soz. ii. 27.

The Arian party gradually grew into favour. A presbyter of Arian sentiments had obtained complete command over the mind of Constantia, the sister of Constantine. On her dying bed she entreated the Emperor to reconsider the justice of the sentence against that innocent, as she declared, and misrepresented man. Arius could not believe the sudden reverse of fortune; and not till he received a pressing letter from Constantine himself, did he venture to leave his place of exile. A person of still greater importance was at the same time reinstated in the imperial favour. Among the adherents of the Arian form, perhaps the most important was Eusebius, Bishop of Nicomedia. A dangerous suspicion that he had been too closely connected with the interests of Licinius during the recent struggle for empire, had alienated the mind of Constantine, and deprived Eusebius of that respectful attention which he might have commanded

by his station, ability, and experience. With Theognis, Bishop of Nicæa, his faithful adherent in opinion and in fortune, he had been sent into exile; it is remarkable that the prelates of these two sees, the most important in that part of Asia, should have concurred in these views. The exiled prelates, in their petition for reinstatement in their dioceses, declared and (notwithstanding the charge of falsehood which their opponents to the present day do not scruple to make, would they have ventured in a public document addressed to Constantine to misstate a fact so notorious?) they solemnly protested that they had not refused their signatures to the Nicene creed, but only to the anathema pronounced against Arius and his followers. "Their obstinacy arose not from want of faith, but from excess of charity." They returned in triumph to their dioceses, and ejected the bishops who had been appointed in their place. No resistance appears to have been made.

But the Arians were not content with their peaceable re-establishment in their former station. they might attempt to harmonise their doctrines with the belief of their adversaries, by their vindictive aggression on the opposite party, they belied their pretensions to moderation and the love of peace. Eusebius, whom Constantine had before publicly denounced in no measured terms, grew rapidly into favour. The complete dominion, which from this time he appears to have exercised over the mind of Constantine, confirms the natural suspicion that the opinions of the Emperorwere by no means formed by his own independent judgment, but entirely governed by the Christian teacher who might obtain his favour. Eusebius seems to have succeeded to the influence exercised with so much wisdom and temper by Hosius of Cordova. He became Bishop of Constantinople, and was the companion of Constantine in his visits to Jerusalem; m and the high estimation in which the Emperor held also Eusebius of Cæsarea, according to the statements made, and the documents ostentatiously preserved by that writer in his ecclesiastical history, could not but contribute to the growing ascendancy of Arianism. They were in possession of some of the most important dioceses in Asia; they were ambitious of establishing their supremacy in Antioch.

The suspicious brevity with which Eusebius glides over the early part of this transaction, which his personal vanity could not allow him to omit, confirms the state-

m Theodoret, i. 2.

ment of their adversaries, as to the unjustifiable means employed by the Arians to attain this object. A.D. 328. Conduct of Eusebius of Nicomedia and Theognis passed the Arian prelates in through Antioch on their way to Jerusalem. Antioch. On their return, they summoned Eustathius, the Bishop of Antioch, whose character had hitherto been blameless, to answer before a hastily assembled council of bishops, on two distinct charges of immorality and The unseemly practice of bringing forward women of disreputable character to charge men of high station in the church with incontinency, formerly employed by the Heathens to calumniate the Christians, was now adopted by the reckless hostility of Christian faction. The accusation of a prostitute against Eustathius, of having been the father of her child, is said afterwards to have been completely disproved. heresy with which Eustathius was charged, was that of Sabellianism, the usual imputation of the Arians against the Trinitarians of the opposite creed. Two Arian bishops having occupied the see of Antioch, but for a very short time, an attempt was made to remove Eusebius of Cæsarea to that diocese, no doubt to overawe by the high reputation of his talents, or to conciliate the Eustathian party. Eusebius, with the flattering approbation of the Emperor, declined the dangerous post. Eustathius was deposed, and banished, by the imperial edict, to Thrace; but the attachment, at least of a large part, of the Christian population of Antioch refused to acknowledge the authority of the tribunal, or the justice The city was divided into two fierce of the sentence. and hostile factions—they were on the verge of civil war; and Antioch, where the Christians had first formed themselves into a separate community, but for the vigorous interference of the civil power and the timely

appearance of an imperial commissioner, might have witnessed the first blood shed, at least in the East, in a Christian quarrel.

It is impossible to calculate how far the authority and influence of the Syrian bishops, with the avowed countenance of the Emperor (for Constantius, the son of Constantine, was an adherent of the Arian opinions), might have subdued the zeal of the orthodox party. It is possible that, but for the rise of one inflexible and indomitable antagonist, the question might either have sunk to rest, or the Christian world acquiesced, at least the East, in a vague and mitigated Arianism.

Athanasius had been raised by the discernment of Alexander to a station of confidence and dignity. He had filled the office of secretary to the Alexandrian prelate. In the Council of Nicæa he had borne a distinguished part, and his zeal and talents designated him at once as the head of the Trinitarian party. On the death of Alexander, the universal voice of the predominant anti-Arians demanded the elevation of Athanasius. In vain he attempted to conceal himself, and to escape the dangerous honour. At thirty years of age, Athanasius was placed on the episcopal throne of the see, which ranked with Antioch, and afterwards with Constantinople, as the most important spiritual charge in the East.

The imperial mandate was issued to receive Arius and his followers within the pale of the Christian communion. But Constantine found, to his astonishment, that an imperial edict, which would have been obeyed

<sup>n The Arians asserted this election to have been carried by the irregular violence of a few bishops, contrary to
i. 22.</sup>

in trembling submission from one end of the Roman empire to the other, even if it had enacted a complete political revolution, or endangered the property and privileges of thousands, was received with deliberate and steady disregard by a single Christian bishop. During two reigns, Athanasius contested the authority of the Emperor. He endured persecution, calumny, exile; his life was frequently endangered in defence of one single tenet; and that, it may be permitted to say, the most purely intellectual, and apparently the most remote from the ordinary passions of man: he confronted martyrdom, not for the broad and palpable Athanasius. distinction between Christianity and Heathenism, but for fine and subtle expressions of the Christian creed.^p He began and continued the contest not for the toleration, but for the supremacy, of his own opinions.

Neither party, in truth, could now yield without the humiliating acknowledgment that all their contest had been on unimportant and unessential points. The passions and the interests, as well as the conscience, were committed in the strife. The severe and uncompromising temper of Athanasius, no doubt, gave some advantage to his jealous and watchful antagonists. Criminal charges began to multiply against a prelate who was thus fallen in the imperial favour.⁴ They

P I am not persuaded, either by the powerful eloquence of Athanasius himself, or by his able modern apologist, Möhler, that the opinions, at least, of the Syrian semi-Arians, were so utterly irreconcileable with the orthodoxy of Athanasius, or likely to produce such fatal consequences to the general system of Christianity as are extorted from them by the keen theo-

logical precision of Athanasius.

q Theodoret mentions one of these customary charges of licentiousness, in which a woman of bad character accused Athanasius of violating her chastity. Athanasius was silent; while one of his friends, with assumed indignation demanded, "Do you accuse me of this crime?" "Yes," replied the woman, supposing him to be

were assiduously instilled into the ears of Constantine: yet the extreme frivolousness of some of these accusations, and the triumphant refutation of the more material charges, before a tribunal of his enemies, establish, undeniably, the unblemished virtue of Athanasius. He was charged with taxing the city to provide linen vestments for the clergy; and with treasonable correspondence with an enemy of the Emperor. Upon this accusation he was summoned to Nicomedia, and acquitted by the Emperor himself. He was charged, as having authorised the profanation of the holy vessels, and the sacred books, in a church in the Mareotis, a part of his diocese. A certain Ischyras had assumed the office of presbyter, without ordination. Macarius, who was sent by Athanasius to prohibit his officiating in his usurped dignity, was accused by Ischyras of overthrowing the altar, breaking the cup, and burning the Scriptures. It is not impossible that the indiscreet zeal of an inferior may have thought it right to destroy sacred vessels thus profaned by unhallowed hands. But from Athanasius himself the charge recoiled without the least injury. But a darker charge remained behindcomprehending two crimes, probably in those days

Athanasius, of whose person she was | in custodia recludebant, aliquos vero ignorant, "you were the violator of verberibus flagellisque vexabant, my chastity." L. i. c. 30.

It is remarkable, how little stress is laid on the persecutions which Athanasius is accused of having carried on through the civil authority. Accusatus præterea est de injuriis, violentia, cæde, atque ipsa episcoporum - Sardica, in Hilarii Oper. Hist. Fragm. internecione. Quique etiam diebus iii. c. 6. See also the accusations of sacratissimis paschæ tyrannico more sæviens, Ducibus atque Comitibus Ibid. 8. junctus: quique propter ipsam aliquos

cæteros diversis tormentis ad communionem ejus sacrilegam adigebant. These charges neither seem to have been pressed nor refuted, as half so important as the act of sacrilege. See the protest of the Arian bishops at violence on his return to Alexandria.

looked upon with equal abhorrence—magic and murder. The enemies of Athanasius produced a human hand said to be that of Arsenius, a bishop attached to the Meletian heresy, who had disappeared from Egypt in a suspicious manner. The hand of the murdered bishop had been kept by Athanasius for unhallowed purposes of witchcraft. In vain the emissaries of Athanasius sought for Arsenius in Egypt, though he was known to be concealed in that country; but the superior and one of the monks of a monastery were seized, and compelled to confess that he was still living, and had lain hid in their sanctuary. Yet the charge was not abandoned: it impended for more than two years over the head of Athanasius.

A council, chiefly formed of the enemies of Athanasius, was summoned at Tyre. It was intimated to the Alexandrian prelate, that, if he refused to appear before the tribunal, he would be brought by force. Athanasius stood before the tribunal. He was arraigned on this charge; the hand was produced. To the astonishment of the court, Athanasius calmly demanded whether those present were acquainted with the person of Arsenius? He had been well known to many. A man was suddenly brought into the court with his whole person folded in his mantle. Athanasius uncovered the head of the witness. He was at once recognised as the murdered Arsenius. Still the severed hand lay before them, and the adversaries of Athanasius expected to convict him of having mutilated the victim of his jealousy. Athanasius lifted up the mantle on one side, and showed the right hand; he lifted up the other. and showed the left. In a calm tone of sarcasm he observed, that the Creator had bestowed two hands on man; it was for his enemies to explain how Arsenius had possessed a third.^s A fortunate accident had brought Arsenius to Tyre; he had been discovered by the friends of Athanasius. Though he denied his name, he was known by the bishop of Tyre; and this dramatic scene had been arranged as the most effective means of exposing the malice of the prelate's enemies. His discomfited accusers fled in the confusion.

The implacable enemies of Athanasius were constrained to fall back upon the other exploded charge, the profanation of the sacred vessels by Macarius. A commission of inquiry had been issued, who conducted themselves, according to the statement of the friends of Athanasius, with the utmost violence and partiality. On their report, the bishop of the important city of Alexandria was deposed from his dignity. But Athanasius bowed not beneath the storm. He appears to have been a master in what may be called, without disrespect, theatrical effect. As the Emperor rode through the city of Constantinople, he was arrested by those those those through the city of Constantinople. the sudden appearance of a train of ecclesiastics, in the midst of which was Athanasius. The offended Emperor, with a look of silent contempt, urged his horse onward. "God," said the prelate, with a loud voice, "shall judge between thee and me, since thou thus espousest the cause of my calumniators. I demand only that my enemies be summoned and my cause heard in the imperial presence." The Emperor admitted the justice of his petition; the accusers of Athanasius were commanded to appear in Constantinople. Six of them. including the two Eusebii, obeyed the mandate.

But a new charge, on a subject skilfully chosen to awaken the jealousy of the Emperor, counteracted the

^{*} Theodoret, i. 30,

influence which might have been obtained by the eloquence or the guiltlessness of Athanasius. It is remarkable that an accusation of a very similar nature should have caused the capital punishment of the most distinguished among the Heathen philosophic party, and the exile of the most eminent Christian prelate. Constantinople entirely depended for the supply of corn upon foreign importation. One-half of Africa, including Egypt, was assigned to the maintenance of the new capital, while the Western division alone remained for Rome. At some period during the philosopher. later years of Constantine, the adverse winds detained the Alexandrian fleet, and famine began to afflict the inhabitants of the city. The populace was in tumult; the government looked anxiously for means to allay the dangerous ferment. The Christian party had seen with jealousy and alarm the influence which a Heathen philosopher, named Sopater, had obtained over the mind of Constantine.t Sopater was a native of Apamea, the scholar of Iamblichus. The Emperor took great delight in his society, and was thus in danger of being perverted, if not to Heathenism, to that high Platonic indifferentism, which would leave the two religions on terms of perfect equality. Sopater was seen seated on public occasions by the Emperor's side; and boasted, it was said, that the dissolution of Heathen-

Eunap. in Ædes. p. 21-25; edit. δ και ακοῦσαι και ίδειν ἄπιστον οί Boissonade. Suidas, voc. Σώπατρος. δὲ παραδυναστεύοντες (the Chris-If we are to believe Eunapius, the | tians, a remarkable admission of their Christians might reasonably take alarm at the intimacy of Constantine προς βασιλείαν άρτι φιλοσοφείν with Sopater: δ μεν βασιλεύς έαλώκει μεταμανθάνουσαν, p. 21. τε ύπ' αὐτῷ καὶ δημοσία σύνεδρον

^{*} Zosimus, ii. 40; Sozom. 1-5; είχεν, είς τον δεξιον καθίζων τοπον. influence!) δηγνύμενοι τῶ Φθόνω

ism would be arrested by his authority. During the famine the Emperor entered the theatre; instead of the usual acclamations, he was received with a dull and melancholy silence. The enemies of Sopater seized the opportunity of accusing the philosopher of magic: his unlawful arts had bound the winds in the adverse quarter. If the Emperor did not, the populace would readily, believe him to be the cause of all their calamities. He was sacrificed to the popularity of the Emperor; the order for his decapitation was hastily issued, and promptly executed.

In the same spirit which caused the death of the Heathen philosopher, Athanasius was accused of threatening to force the Emperor to his own measures, by stopping the supplies of corn from the port of Alexandria. Constantine listened with jealous credulity to the charge. The danger of leaving the power of starving the capital in the hands of one who might become hostile to the government, touched the pride ADD 336. February. Banisbment of Athanasius was banished to the remote city of to Treves.

But neither the exile of Athanasits, nor the unqualified—his enemies of course asserted insincere or hypocritical—acceptance of the Nicene creed by Arius himself, allayed the differences. The presence of Arius in Alexandria had been the cause of new dissensions. He was recalled to Constantinople, where a Arius in Concouncil had been held, in which the Arian stantinople. Party maintained and abused their predominance. But Alexander, the Bishop of Constantinople, still firmly resisted the reception of Arius into the orthodox communion. Affairs were hastening to a crisis. The Arians, with the authority of the Emperor on their side, threat-

ened to force their way into the church, and to compel the admission of their champion. The Catholics, the weaker party, had recourse to prayer; the Arians already raised the voice of triumph. While Alexander was prostrate at the altar, Arius was borne through the wondering city in a kind of ovation, surrounded by his friends, and welcomed with loud acclamations by his own party. As he passed the porphyry column, he was forced to retire into a house to relieve his natural wants. His return was anxiously expected, but in vain; he was found dead, as his antagonists declared, his bowels had burst out, and relieved the church from the presence of the obstinate heretic. We cannot wonder that, at such a period of excitement, the Catholics, in that well-timed incident, recognised a direct providential interference in their favour. It was ascribed to the prevailing prayers of Alexander and his clergy. Under the specious pretext of a thanksgiving for the deliverance of the church from the imminent peril of external violence, the Bishop prepared a solemn service. Athanasius, in a public epistle, alludes to the fate of Judas. which had befallen the traitor to the coequal dignity of His hollow charity ill disguises his secret the Son. triumph.u

Whatever effect the death of Arius might produce upon the mind of Constantine, it caused no mitigation in his unfavourable opinion of Athanasius. He contemptuously rejected the petitions which were sent from Alexandria to solicit his re-instatement; he refused to

Athanasius, that the death of Arius ή περί τοῦ θανάτου 'Αρέιου γενοwas a sufficient refutation of his μένη παρά τοῦ κυρίου κρίσις. Ded.

Είς γάρ τελείαν κατάγνωσιν της 344.

[&]quot; It was a standing argument of | αίρέσεως των 'Αρειανών, αὐτάρκης Epist. ad Monachos, 3. Op. v. i.

recall that "proud, turbulent, obstinate, and intractable," prelate. It was not till he was on his death-bed that his consent was hardly extorted for this act of mercy, or rather of justice.

The Baptism of Constantine on his death-bed is one of those questions which has involved ecclesias-Beptism of tical historians in inextricable embarrassment. Constantine. The fact is indisputable, it rests on the united authority of the Greek and Latin writers. Though he had so openly espoused the cause of Christianity-though he had involved himself so deeply in the interests of the Christian community, attended on their worship, presided, or at least sanctioned their councils with his presence, and had been constantly surrounded by the Christian clergy, the Emperor had still deferred till the very close of his life his formal reception into the Christian church, the ablution of his sins, the admission to the privileges and hopes of the Christian, by that indispensable rite of Baptism.y There seems but one plain solution of this difficulty. The Emperor constantly maintained a kind of superiority over the Christian part

tianæ imprimis, parum sana et propius à Græcorum et Romanorum opinione remota notio. Nescius enim salutis et beneficiorum à Christo humano generi partorum, Christum Deum esse putabat, qui cultorum suorum fidem et diligentiam felicitate hujus vitæ, rebusque secundis comparare, hostes vero et contemptores mox pænis, malisque omnis generis afficere potuit. . . . Ita sensim de vera religionis Christianæ indole . . . edoctus stultitiam et deformitatem antiquarum superstitionum clarius perspiciebat, et Christo uni sincere nomen dabat." p. 977, 978.

was a preacher of Christianity—a preacher on some of its most profound and mysterious doctrines. I cannot help suspecting that the Bishop has transferred some of his own sermons to the Emperor. V. C. iv. 29. Compare Stanley, p. 233.

⁷ Mosheim's observations on the Christianity of Constantine are characterised by his usual good sense and judgment. De rebus Christ. antè Const. Magnum. p. 965. I extract only a few sentences. "Erat primis post victum Maxentium annis in animo ejus cum omnis religionis, tum Christo ur 977, 978,

of his subjects. It was still rather the lofty and impartial condescension of a protector, than the spiritual equality of the proselyte. He still asserted, and in many cases exercised, the privilege of that high indifferentism, which ruled his conduct by his own will or judgement, rather than by the precepts of a severe and definite religion. He was reluctant, though generally convinced of the truth, and disposed to recognise the superiority of the Christian religion, to commit himself by the irrevocable act of initiation. He may have been still more unwilling to sever himself entirely from the Heathen majority of his subjects, lest by such a step, in some sudden vet always possible crisis, he might shake their allegiance. In short, he would not surrender any part of his dignity as Emperor of the world, especially as he might suppose that, even if necessary to his salvation as a Christian, he could command at any time the advan-

tages of baptism. On the other hand, the Chris-A.D. 337. tians, then far more pliant than when their undisputed authority ruled the minds of monarchs with absolute sway, hardly emerged from persecution, struggling for a still contested supremacy, divided among themselves, and each section courting the favour of the Emperor, were glad to obtain an imperial convert on his own terms. In constant hope that the Emperor himself would take this decisive step, they were too prudent or too cautious to urge it with imperious or unnecessary vehemence. He was not so entirely their own, but that he might still be estranged by indiscretion or intemperance; he would gradually become more enlightened. and they were content to wait in humble patience till Providence, who had raised up this powerful protector, should render him fully, and exclusively, and openly, their own.

If it be difficult to determine the extent to which Constantine proceeded in the establishment of Extent to Christianity, it is even more perplexing to which Paganestimate how far he exerted the imperial pressed. authority in the abolition of Paganism. Conflicting evidence encounters us at every point. Eusebius, in three distinct passages in his 'Life of Constantine,' asserts that he prohibited sacrifice; that he issued two laws to prohibit, both in the city and in the country. the pollutions of the old idolatry, the setting up of statues, divinations, and other unlawful practices; and to command the total abolition of sacrifice; a that throughout the Roman empire the "doors of idolatry" were closed to the people and to the army, and every kind of sacrifice was prohibited.b Theodoret assertsc that Constantine prohibited sacrifice, and, though he did not destroy, shut up all the temples. In a passage of his Panegyric, d Eusebius asserts that the Emperor sent two officers into every part of the empire, who forced the priests to surrender up the statues of their gods, which, having been despoiled of their ornaments, were melted or destroyed. These strong assertions of Eusebius are, to a certain extent, confirmed by expressions in the laws of Constantine's successors, especially one of Constans, which

^{*} Θύειν ἀπείρητο, ii. 44.

[■] Δύο κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ ἐπέμποντο νόμοι ὁ μὲν εἴργων τὰ μυσαρὰ τῆς κατὰ πόλεις καὶ χώρας τὸ παλαιὸν συντελουμένης εἰδωλολατρίας, ὡς μήτε ἐγέρσεις ξοάνων ποιεῖσθαι τολμὰν, μήτε μαντείαις καὶ ταῖς ἄλλαις περιεργίαις ἐπιχειρεῖν, μήτε μὴν θύειν καθόλου μηδὲνα. ii. 45.

δ Καθόλου, δε τοῖς ὑπὸ τῆ 'Ρω-

μαλων ἀρχῷ δήμοις τε καὶ στρατιωτικοῖς, πύλαι ἀπεκλείοντο εἰδωλολατρὶας, θυσίας τε τρόπος ἀπηγορεύετο πᾶς. iv. 23. διεκωλύετο μὲν θύειν εἰδωλοις. ibid. 25. δήμοις may mean the magistracy, the public ceremonial.

c Theodoret, vi. 21. Compare Sozomen, iii. 17; Orosius, vii. 28.

d De Laudib. Constant. i. 8.

appeals to an edict of his father Constantine, which prohibited sacrifice.º

On the other hand, Eusebius himself inserts, and ascribes to a date posterior to some of these laws, documents, which he professes to have seen in Constantine's own hand, proclaiming the most impartial toleration to the Pagans, and deprecating compulsion in religious matters. "Let all enjoy the same peace; let no one disturb another in his religious worship; let each act as he thinks fit: let those who withhold their obedience from Thee (it is an address to the Deity), have their temples of falsehood if they think right." f He exhorts to mutual charity, and declares, "It is a very different thing willingly to submit to trials for the sake of immortal life, and to force others by penalties to embrace one faith." g These generous sentiments, if Constantine were issuing edicts to close the temples, and prohibiting the sacred rites of his Pagan subjects, had been the grossest hypocrisy. The laws against the soothsayers spoke, as was before shown, the same tolerant language with regard to the public ceremony of the religion.h

e "Cesset superstitio, sacrificiorum aboleatur insania. Nam quicunque contra legem divi Principis, parentis nostri, et hanc nostræ mansuetudinis jussionem ausus fuerit sacrificia celebrare, competens in eum vindicta, et præsens sententia exseratur." Cod. Theodos. xvi. 10. 2. See likewise the note of Godefroy.

f 'Oμοίαν τοις πιστεύουσιν οί πλανώμενοι χαίροντες λαμβανέτωσαν είρηνης τε καλ ήσυχίας ἀπόλαυσιν Μηδείς τον έτερον παρε-

βούλεται τοῦτο καὶ πραττέτω . . . Οἱ δ΄ ἐαυτοὺς ἀφέλκοντες, ἐχόντων βουλόμενοι τὰ τῆς ψευδολογίας τεμένη. Vit. Const. ii. 26.

ε Αλλο γάρ έστι, τον ύπερ άθανασίας άθλον έκουσίως έπαναιρείσθαι, άλλο τὸ μετά τιμωρίας ἐπαναγκά-ÇELV. C. 60.

h "Qui vero id vobis existimatis conducere, adite aras publicas atque delubra et consuetudinis vestræ celebrate solemnia; nec enim prohibemus præteritæ usurpationis officia libera τω νοχλεί εκαστος δπερ ή ψυχή luce tractari." Cod. Theodos. xvi. 10

Can the victory over Licinius so entirely have changed the policy of Constantine, as to have induced him to prohibit altogether rites, which but a few years before he had sanctioned by his authority?

The Pagan writers, who are not scrupulous in their charges against the memory of Constantine, and dwell with bitter resentment on all his overt acts of hostility to the ancient religion, do not accuse him of these direct encroachments on Paganism. Neither Julian nor Zosimus lay this to his charge. Libanius distinctly asserts that the temples were left open and undisturbed during his reign, and that Paganism remained unchanged.

All historical records strongly confirm the opinion that Paganism was openly professed; its temples restored; its rites celebrated; neither was its priesthood degraded from their immunities, nor the estates belonging to the temples generally alienated; in short, that it was the public religion of a great part of the empire; and still confronted Christianity, if not on equal terms, still with pertinacious resistance, down to the reign of Theodosius, and even that of his sons. Constantine himself, though he neither offered sacrifices, nor consulted the Sibylline books, nor would go up to the temple of the Capitoline Jupiter with the senate and the people,

¹ Τῆς κατὰ νόμου δὲ θεραπείας ἐκίνησεν οὐδὲ ἔν. Pro Templis, vol. ii. p. 162.

Libanius adds that Constantius, on a certain change of circumstances, first prohibited sacrifice. Compare also Orat. 26, Julian Orat. vii. p. 424.

k See, in Gruter, p. 100. n. 6, the inscription on the restoration of the Temple of Concord, during the consulship of Paulinus (A. C. 331, 332), by

the authority of the præfect of the city, and S. P. Q. R. Altars were erected to other Pagan gods. Compare Beugnot, i. 106.

M. Beugnot, in his Destruction du Paganisme en Occident, has collected with great industry the proofs of this fact, from inscriptions, medals, and other of the more minute contemporary memorials.

performed, nevertheless, some of the functions, at least did not disdain the appellation, of Supreme Pontiff.1

Perhaps we may safely adopt the following conclusions. There were two kinds of sacrifices abolished by Constantine. I. The private sacrifices, connected with unlawful acts of theurgy and of magic; those midnight offerings to the powers of darkness, which, in themselves, were illegal, and led to scenes of unhallowed licence." II. Those which might be considered the state sacrifices offered by the Emperor himself, or by his representatives in his name, either in the cities or in the army. Though Constantine advanced many Christians to offices of trust, and no doubt many who were ambitious of such offices conformed to the religion of the Emperor, probably most of the high dignities of the state were held by Pagans. An edict might be required to induce them to depart from the customary usage of sacrifice, which with the Christian officers would quietly fall into desuetude.ⁿ But still, the sacrifices made by the priesthood, at the expense of the sacerdotal establishments, and out of their own estates—though in some instances these

stantine as Supreme Pontiff,

m See the laws relating to divination, above, p. 292.

M. la Bastie and M. Beugnot, would consider the terms tà μυσαρά της είδωλολατριαs, in the rescript of Constantine, and the "insana superstitio" of the law of Constans, to refer exclusively to these nocturnal and forbidden sacrifices. M. Beugnot has observed, that Constantine always uses respectful and courteous language concerning Paganism. Vetus observantia, vetus consuetudo; templorum

¹ There is a medal extant of Conantine as Supreme Pontiff, solemnitas. The laws of the later emperors employ very different terms. Error; dementia; error veterum; profanus ritus; sacrilegus ritus; nefarius ritus; superstitio Pagana, damnabilis, damnata, deterrima, impia; funestæ superstitionis errores; stolidus Paganorum error. Cod. Theodos. t. v. p. 255. Beugnot, tom. i. p. 80.

n The prohibition to the δήμοι and στρατιωτικοί (see quotation above from Eusebius) refers, I conceive, to

estates were seized by Constantine, and the sacerdotal colleges reduced to poverty—and the *public* sacrifices, offered by the piety of distinguished individuals, would be made as usual. In the capital there can be little doubt that sacrifices were offered, in the name of the senate and people of Rome, till a much later period.

Christianity may now be said to have ascended the imperial throne: with the single exception of Legal establishment of Julian, from this period the monarchs of the Christianity. Roman empire professed the religion of the Gospel. This important crisis in the history of Christianity almost forcibly arrests the attention to contemplate the change wrought in Christianity by its advancement into a dominant power in the state; and the change in the condition of mankind up to this period, attributable to the direct authority or indirect influence of the new Effects of religion. By ceasing to exist as a separate this on the religion. community, and by advancing its pretensions to influence the general government of mankind, Christianity, to a certain extent, forfeited its independence. It could not but submit to these laws, framed, as it might seem, with its own concurrent voice. It was no longer a republic, governed exclusively—as far at least as its religious concerns—by its own internal polity. The interference of the civil power in some of its most private affairs, the promulgation of its canons, and even in some cases the election of its bishops, by the state, was the price which it must inevitably pay for its association with the ruling power. The natural satisfaction, the more than pardonable triumph, in seeing the Emperor of the world a suppliant with themselves at the foot of the cross, would blind the Christian world, in general, to these consequences of their more exalted position. The more ardent and unworldly would fondly suppose that a Christian

emperor would always be actuated by Christian motives, and that the imperial authority, instead of making aggressions on Christian independence, would rather bow in humble submission to its acknowledged dominion. His main object would be to develope the energies of the new religion in the amplest freedom, and allow them

full scope in the subjugation of the world.

The Emperor as little anticipated that he was introducing as an antagonistic power, an inextin-On the civil guishable principle of liberty, into the administration of human affairs. This liberty was based on deeper foundations than the hereditary freedom of the ancient republics. It appealed to a tribunal higher than any which could exist upon earth. This antagonistic principle of independence, however, at times apparently crushed, and submitting to voluntary slavery, or even lending itself to be the instrument of arbitrary despotism, was inherent in the new religion, and would not cease till it had asserted and, for a considerable period, exercised an authority superior to that of the civil government. Already in Athanasius might be seen the one subject of Constantine who dared to resist his will. From Athanasius, who owned himself a subject, but with inflexible adherence to his own opinions, to Ambrose, who rebuked the great Theodosius, and from Ambrose up to the Pope who set his foot on the neck of the prostrate Emperor, the progress was slow, but natural and In this profound prostration of the human mind and the total extinction of the old sentiments of Roman liberty, in the adumbration of the world by what assumed the pomp and the language of an Asiatic despotism, it is impossible to calculate the latent as well as open effect of this moral resistance. In Constantinople, indeed, and in the East, the clergy never obtained sufficient power to be formidable to the civil authority; their feuds too often brought them in a sort of moral servitude to the foot of the throne; still the Christian, and the Christian alone, throughout this long period of human degradation breathed an atmosphere of moral freedom, which raised him above the general level of servile debasement.

During the reign of Constantine, Christianity had made a rapid advance, no doubt in the number How far the of its proselytes, as well as in its external the emptre. position. It was not yet the established religion of the empire. It did not as yet stand forward as the new religion adapted to the new order of things, as a part of the great simultaneous change, which gave to the Roman world a new capital, a new system of government, and, in some important instances, a new jurisprudence. Yet having sprung up at once, under the royal favour, to a perfect equality with the prevailing Heathenism, the mere manifestation of that favour, where the antagonistic religion hung so loose upon the minds of men, gave it much of the power and authority of a dominant faith. The religion of the Emperor would soon become that of the court: and, by somewhat slower degrees, that of the empire. At present, however, as we have seen, little open aggression took place upon Paganism. The few temples which were closed were insulated cases, and condemned as offensive to public morality. In general, the edifices stood in all their former majesty; for as yet the ordinary process of dissolution, from neglect or decay. could have produced little effect. The difference was, that the Christian churches began to assume a more stately and imposing form. In the new capital, they surpassed in grandeur, and probably in decoration, the

Pagan temples which belonged to old Byzantium. The immunities granted to the Christian clergy only placed them on the same level with the Pagan priesthood. The pontifical offices were still held by the distinguished men of the state: the Emperor himself was long the chief pontiff; but the religious office had become a kind of appendage to the temporal dignity. The Christian prelates were constantly admitted, in virtue of their office, to the imperial presence.

On the state of society at large, on its different forms and gradations, little impression had as vet blishment of been made by Christianity. The Christians were still a separate people; Christian literature was exclusively religious, and addressed, excepting in its apologies, or its published exhortations against Paganism, to the initiate alone. Its language would be unintelligible to those uninstructed in Christian theology. Yet the general legislation of Constantine, independent of those edicts which concerned the Christian community, bears some evidence of the silent under-Laws relating working of Christian opinion. The rescript, indeed, for the religious observance of the Sunday, which enjoined the suspension of all public business and private labour, except that of agriculture, was enacted, according to the apparent terms of the decree, for the whole Roman empire. Yet, unless we had direct proof, that the decree set forth the Christian reason for the sanctity of the day, it may be doubted whether the act would not be received by the greater part of the empire, as merely adding one more festival to the Fasti of the empire, as proceeding entirely from the will of the Emperor, or even grounded on his authority as Supreme Pontiff, by which he had the plenary power of appointing holy-days.º In fact, as we have before observed, the day of the Sun would be willingly hallowed by almost all the Pagan world, especially that part which had admitted any tendency towards the Oriental theology.

Where the legislation of Constantine was of a humaner cast, it would be unjust not to admit the influ- Laws tending ence of Christian opinions, spreading even to humanity. beyond the immediate circle of the Christian community, as at least a concurrent cause of the improvement. In one remarkable instance, there is direct authority that a certain measure was adopted by the advice of an influential Christian. During the period of anarchy and confusion which preceded the universal empire of Constantine, the misery had been so great, particularly in Africa and Italy, that the sale of infants for slaves, their exposure, and even infanticide, had become fearfully common. Constantine issued an edict, in which he declared that the Emperor should be considered the father of all such children. It was a cruelty, irreconcileable with the spirit of the times, to permit any subjects of the empire to perish of starvation, or to be reduced to any unworthy action by actual hunger. Funds were assigned for the food and clothing of such children as the parents should declare themselves unable to support, partly on the imperial revenues, partly on the revenues of the neighbouring cities. As this measure did not prevent the sale of children, parents were declared incapable of reclaiming children thus sold, unless they paid a reasonable price for their enfranchisement.^p

tit. 8; 1. 5, tit. 3. Cod. Just. iii. 12; the exposure of children at this time. Euseb. Vit. Const. 18, 19, 20; Sozom. | compare Lactantius. D. I. ii. 20. i. 8.

[•] Cod. Theod. 1. 2, tit. 8; 1. 8, P Codex. Theodos. v. vii. 1. On

Children which had been exposed could not be reclaimed from those who had received them into their families, whether by adoption or as slaves. Whatever may have been the wisdom, the humanity of these ordinances is unquestionable. They are said to have been issued by the advice of Lactantius, to whom had been entrusted the education of Crispus, the son of Constantine.

Child-stealing, for the purpose of selling the children for slaves, was visited with a penalty, which both in its nature and barbarity retained the stamp of the old Roman manners. The criminal was condemned to the amphitheatre, either to be devoured by wild beasts or exhibited as a gladiator. Christianity had not as yet allayed the passion for these savage amusements of the Roman people; vet, in conjunction with the somewhat milder manners of the East, it excluded gladiatorial exhibitions from the new capital. The Grecian amusements of the theatre and of the chariot-race satisfied the populace of Constantinople. Whatever might be the improved condition of the slaves within the Christian community, the tone of legislation preserves the same broad and distinct line of demarcation between the two classes of society. The master. indeed, was deprived of the arbitrary power of life and death. The death of a slave under torture, or any excessive severity of punishment, was punishable as homicide; but if he died under a moderate chastisement. the master was not responsible. In the distribution of the royal domains, care was to be taken not to divide the families of the prædial slaves. It is a cruelty, says the law, to separate parents and children, brothers and sisters, husbands and wives.4 But marriages of free

⁴ Cod. Theod. l. v. t. 25. On the whole question of the effect of Chris-volume of the excellent work of

women with slaves were punishable with death: the children of such unions were indeed free, but could not inherit their mothers' property. The person of dignity and station, who had children by a marriage contracted with a woman of base condition, could not make a testament in their favour; even purchases made in their names or for their benefit, might be claimed by the legitimate heirs. The base condition comprehended not only slaves but freed women, actresses, tavern-keepers, and their daughters, as well as those of courtezans or gladiators. Slaves who were concerned in the seduction of their masters' children were to be burned alive without distinction of sex. The barbarity of this punishment rather proves the savage manners of the time than the inferior condition of the slave; for the receivers of the royal domains who were convicted of depredation or fraud were condemned to the same penalty."

It can scarcely be doubted that the stricter moral tone of Constantine's legislation more or less Law against remotely emanated from Christianity. The abduction. laws against rape and seduction were framed with so much rigour, as probably to make their general execution difficult, if not impracticable. The ravisher had before escaped with impunity: if the injured party did not prosecute him for his crime, she had the right of demanding reparation by marriage. By the law of

Wallon, Sur l'Esclavage dans l'Anti-

This law must have connected Christianity in the general sentiment with the emancipation of slaves. Compare Sozomen, i. 9, who says, that Constantine issued three laws on the subject. The manumission took place publicly at Easter. Greg. Nyss.

* Cod. Theod. 1. iv, t. 24.

^r Manumission, which was performed under the sanction of a religious ceremonial in the Heathen temples, might now be performed in the church: the clergy might manumit their slaves, in the presence of the church, Cod. Theod. iv. 7, 1.

Constantine, the consent of the female made her an accomplice in the crime; she was amenable to the same penalty. What that penalty was is not quite clear, but it seems that the ravisher was exposed to the wild beasts in the amphitheatre. Even where the female had suffered forcible abduction, she had to acquit herself of all suspicion of consent, either from levity of manner, or want of proper vigilance. Those pests of society, the pandars, who abused the confidence of parents, and made a traffic of the virtue of their daughters, were in the same spirit condemned to a punishment so horrible. as, no doubt, more frequently to ensure their impunity: melted lead was to be poured down their throats. Parents who did not prosecute such offences were banished. and their property confiscated. It is not, however, so much the severity of the punishments, indicating a stronger abhorrence of the crime, as the social and moral evils of which it took cognisance, which shows the remoter workings of a sterner moral principle. religion which requires of its followers a strict, as regards the Christianity of this period, it may be said an ascetic rigour, desires to enforce on the mass of mankind by the power of the law that which it cannot effect by the more legitimate and permanent means of moral influence. In a small community where the law is the echo of the public sentiment, or where it rests on an acknowledged divine authority, it may advance further into the province of morality, and extend its provisions Law against into every relation of society. The Mosaic law. which, simultaneously with the Christian spirit, began to enter into the legislation of the Christian emperors, in its fearful penalties imposed upon the illicit commerce of the sexes, concurred with the rigorous jealousy of the Asiatic tribes of that region concerning the honour of their women. But when the laws of Constantine suddenly classed the crime of adultery with those of poison and assassination, and declared it a capital offence, it may be doubted whether any improvement ensued, or was likely to ensue, in the public morals. Unless Christianity had already greatly corrected the general licentiousness of the Roman world, not merely within but without its pale, it may safely be affirmed that the general and impartial execution of such a statute was impossible.t The severity Concerning of the law against the breach of conjugal divorce. fidelity was accompanied with strong restrictions upon the facility of divorce. Three crimes alone, in the husband, justified the wife in demanding a legal separation—homicide, poisoning, or the violation of sepulchres. This latter crime was, apparently, very frequent, and looked upon with great abhorrence." In these cases, the wife recovered her dowry; if she separated for any other cause, she forfeited all to a single needle, and was liable to perpetual banishment.* The husband, in order to obtain a divorce, must convict his wife of poisoning, adultery, or keeping notoriously infamous company. In all other cases, he restored the whole of the dowry. If he married again, the former wife, thus illegally cast off, might claim his whole property, and even the dowry of

evidence of the inefficiency of this law, that in the next reign the penalties were actually aggravated. The criminals were condemned either to be burned alive, or sewed up in a sack and cast into the sea.

[&]quot; Codex. Theodos. iii. 16, 1.

^{*} The law of Constantine and Constans, which made intermarriage with

t It may be admitted, as some | a niece a capital crime, is supposed by Godefroy to have been a local act, directed against the laxity of Syrian morals in this respect. Cod. Theod. iii. 12, 1. The law issued at Rome, prohibiting intermarriage with the sister of a deceased wife, annulled the marriage, and bastardised the children. iii, 12, 2,

the second wife. These impediments to the dissolution of the marriage tie, the facility of which experience and reason concur in denouncing as destructive of social virtue and of domestic happiness, with penalties affecting the property rather than the person, were more likely to have a favourable and extensive operation than the sanguinary proscription of adultery. Marriage being a civil contract in the Roman world, the state had full right to regulate the stability and the terms of the compact. In other respects, in which the jurisprudence assumed a higher tone, Christianity, I should conceive, was far more influential through its religious persuasiveness, than by the rigour which it thus impressed upon the laws of the empire. That nameless crime, pæderasty. the universal disgrace of Greek and Roman society, was far more effectively repressed by the abhorrence infused into the public sentiment by the pure religion of the Gospel, than by the penalty of death, enacted by statute against the offence. Another law of unquestionable humanity, and, probably, of more extensive operation, prohibited the making of eunuchs. The slave who had suffered this mutilation might at once claim his freedom.y

Perhaps the greatest evidence of the secret aggression Laws favour- of Christianity, or rather, in my opinion, of the able to cellbacy. foreign Asiatic principle which was now completely interwoven with Christianity, was the gradual relaxation of the laws unfavourable to celibacy. The Roman jurisprudence had always proceeded on the principle of encouraging the multiplication of citizens, particularly in the higher orders, which, from various

⁷ All these laws will be found in of Constantine, at the commencement the Theodosian Code, under the name of each book.

causes, especially the general licentiousness under the later republic and the early empire, were in danger of becoming extinct. The parent of many children was a public benefactor, the unmarried man a useless burden, if not a traitor, to the well-being of the state. The small establishment of the vestal virgins was evidently the remains of an older religion, inconsistent with the general sentiment and manners of Rome.

On this point the encroachment of Christianity was slow and difficult. The only public indication of its influence was the relaxation of the Papia Poppæan law. This statute enforced certain disabilities on those who were unmarried, or without children by their marriage, at the age of twenty-five. The former could only inherit from their nearest relations; the latter obtained only the tenth of any inheritance which might devolve on their wives, the moiety of property devised to them by will. The forfeiture went to the public treasury, and was a considerable source of profit. Constantine attempted to harmonise the two conflicting principles. He removed the disqualifications on celibacy, but he left the statute in force against married persons who were without children. In more manifest deference to Christianity, he extended the privilege hitherto confined to the vestal virgins of making their will, and that before the usual age appointed by the law, to all who had made a religious vow of celibacy.

Even after his death, both religions vied, as it were, for Constantine. He received with impartial Burlal of favour the honours of both. The first Christian Constantine. emperor was deified by the Pagans, in a later period he was worshipped as a saint by part of the Christian church. On the same medal appears his title of "God," with the monogram, the sacred symbol of Christianity; in an-

other he is seated in the chariot of the Sun, in a car drawn by four horses, with a hand stretched forth from the clouds to raise him to Heaven.² But to show respect at once to the Emperor and to the Christian Apostle, contrary to the rigid usage, which forbade any burial to take place within the city, Constantine was interred in the porch of the church dedicated to the Apostles. Constantius did great honour (in Chrysostom's opinion) to his imperial father, by burying him in the Fisherman's Porch.^a

During the reign of Constantine, Christianity continued to advance beyond the borders of the Roman empire, and, in some degree, to indemnify herself for the losses which she sustained in the kingdom of Persia. The Ethiopians appear to have attained some degree of civilisation; a considerable part of the Arabian commerce was kept up with the other side of the Red Sea, through the port of Adulis; and Greek letters appear, from inscriptions recently discovered, to have made considerable progress among this barbarous people. The Romans called this country, with that of the Homerites on the other side of the Arabian gulph, by the vague name of the nearer India. Travellers were by no means uncommon in these times, whether for purposes of trade, or, following the traditional history of the ancient sages, from the more disin-

^{*} Inter Divos meruit referri; Eutrop. x. 8; Eckhel. doct. numm. viii. 92, 93; Bolland, 21st Maij. Compare Le Beau, Hist. du Bas Empire, i. p. 388. Beugnot, i. 109.

There exists a calendar in which the festivals of the new God are indicated, Acad. des Inscrip. xv. 106.

a Chrysost. Hom. 60, in 2 Cor.

b That published by Mr. Salt, from the ruins of Axum, had already appeared in the work of Cosmas Indicopleustes, edited by Montfaucon; Niebuhr published another, discovered by Gau, in Nubia, relating to Silco, king of that country.

terested desire of knowledge. Metrodorus, a philosopher, had extended his travels throughout this region, and, on his return, the account of his adventures induced another person of the same class, Meropius of Tyre, to visit the same regions. Meropius was accompanied by two youths, Edesius and Frumentius. Meropius, with most of his followers, fell in a massacre, arising out of some sudden interruption of the peace between the Ethiopians and the Romans. Edesius and Frumentius were spared on account of their youth. They were taken into the service of the King, and gradually rose, till one became the royal cup-bearer; the other, the administrator of the royal finances. The King died soon after they had been elevated to these high distinctions, and bequeathed their liberty to the strangers. The queen

The same Metrodorus afterwards made a journey into further India; his object was to visit the Brahmins, to examine their religious tenets and practices. Metrodorus instructed the Indians in the construction of watermills and baths. In their gratitude, they opened to him the inmost sanctuary of their temples. But the virtue of the philosopher Metrodorus, was not proof against the gorgeous treasures which dazzled his eyes; he stole a great quantity of pearls, and other jewels; others, he said that he had received as a present to Constantine from the King of India. He appeared in Constantinople. The Emperor received, with the highest satisfaction, those magnificent gifts which Metrodorus presented in his own name. But Metrodorus complained that his offerings would have been far more sumptuous if he had not been attacked

on his way through Persia, contrary to the spirit of the existing peace between the empires, and plundered of great part of his treasures. Constantine, it is said, wrote an indignant remonstrance to the King of Persia. This story is curious, as it shows the connection kept up by traders and travellers with the further East, which accounts for the allusions to Indian tenets and usages in the Christian, as well as the Pagan, writers of the time. It rests on the late authority of Cedrenus (t. i. p. 295), but is confirmed by a passage of Ammianus Marcellinus, who, however, places it in the reign of Constantius. Sed Constantium ardores Parthicos succendisse, cum Metrodori mendaciis avidius acquiescit, lxxv. c. 4. Compare St. Martin's additions to Le Beau, i, 343.

entreated them to continue their valuable services till her son should attain to full age. The Romans complied with her request, and the supreme government of the kingdom of Ethiopia was administered by these two Romans, but the chief post was occupied by Frumentius. Of the causes which disposed the mind of Frumentius towards Christianity we know nothing; he is represented as seized with an eager desire of becoming acquainted with its tenets, and anxiously inquiring whether any Christians existed in the country, or could be found among the Roman travellers who visited it.d It is more probable, since there were so many Jews, both on the Arabian and the African side of the gulf, that some earlier knowledge of Christianity had spread into these regions. But it was embraced with ardour by Frumentius; he built a church, and converted many of the people. When the young king came of age, notwithstanding the remonstrances of the prince and his mother, Frumentius and his companion returned to their native country. Frumentius passed through Alexandria, and having communicated to Athanasius the happy beginnings of the Gospel in that wild region, the influence of that commanding prelate induced him to accept the mission of the Apostle of India. He was consecrated Bishop of Axum by the Alexandrian prelate, and that see was always considered to owe allegiance to the patriarchate of Alexandria. The preaching of Frumentius was said to have been eminently successful, not merely among the Ethiopians, but also among the neighbouring tribes of Nubians and Blemmyes. His

ecourse to visions, or direct divine Θεού κινούντος. inspiration. Selais Tows mporpanels

d Sozomen, in his ignorance, has | ἐπιφανείαις, ἡ καὶ αὐτομάτως τοῦ

name is still reverenced as the first of the Ethiopian pontiffs. But probably in no country did Christianity so soon degenerate into a mere form of doctrine; the wild inhabitants of these regions sank downward rather than ascended in the scale of civilisation; and the fruits of Christianity, humanity, and knowledge, were stifled amid the conflicts of savage tribes, by ferocious manners, and less frequent intercourse with more cultivated nations.

The conversion of the Iberians was the work of a holy virgin. Nino was among the Armenian of the maidens who fled from the persecutions of the Iberians. Persians, and found refuge among the warlike nation of Iberia, the modern Georgia. Her seclusion, her fasting, and constant prayers, excited the wonder of these fierce warriors. Two cures which she is said to have wrought, one on the wife of the king, still further directed the attention of the people to the marvellous stranger. grateful queen became a convert to Christianity. Mihran, the king, still wavered between the awe of his ancient deities, the fear of his subjects, and his inclination to the new and wonder-working faith. One day when he was hunting in a thick and intricate wood, he was enveloped in a sudden and impenetrable mist. Alone, separated from his companions, his awe-struck mind thought of the Christians' God; he determined to embrace the Christian faith. On a sudden the mist cleared off, the light shone gloriously down, and in this natural image the king beheld the confirmation of the light of truth spread abroad within his soul. After much oppo-

^{*} Compare Stanley, Eastern Church, | Rufin. x. 10; Theodoret, i. 24; Moses 12, 14, and in other passages.

Choren, Lib. ii. c. 83; Klaproth,

Socrates, i. 20 Sozomen, ii. c. 7; Travels in Georgia.

sition, the temple of the great god Aramazd (the Ormuzd of the Persian system) was levelled with the earth. A cross was erected upon its ruins by the triumphant Nino, which was long worshipped as the palladium of the kingdom.g Wonders attended on the construction of the first Christian church. An obstinate pillar refused to rise, and defied the utmost mechanical skill of the people to force it from its oblique and pendant position. The holy virgin passed the night in prayer. On the morning the pillar rose majestically of its own accord, and stood upright upon its pedestal. The wondering people burst into acclamations of praise to the Christians' God, and generally embraced the faith. The king of Iberia entered into an alliance with Constantine, who sent him valuable presents, and a Christian bishop. Eustathius: it is said, the deposed patriarch of Antioch, undertook this mission by the command of the Emperor: and Iberia was thus secured to the Christian faith.

g In 1801 this cross, or that which | burg by Prince Bagration. It was perpetual tradition accounted as the identical cross, was removed to Peters- | by order of the Emperor Alexander.

CHAPTER V.

Christianity under the sons of Constantine.

Ir Christianity was making such rapid progress in the conquest of the world, the world was making Accession of fearful reprisals on Christianity. By enlisting Constantine. new passions and interests in its cause, religion surrendered itself to an inseparable fellowship with those passions and interests. The more it mingles with the tide of human affairs, the more turbid becomes the stream of Christian history. In the intoxication of power, the Christian, like ordinary men, forgot his original character; and the religion of Jesus, instead of diffusing peace and happiness through society, might, to the superficial observer of human affairs, seem introduced only as a new element of discord and misery into the society of man.

The Christian emperor dies; he is succeeded by his sons, educated in the faith of the Gospel. The first act of the new reign is the murder of one of the brothers, and of the nephews of the deceased sovereign, who were guilty of being named in the will of Constantine as joint heirs to the empire. This act, indeed, was that of a ferocious soldiery, though the memory of Constantius is not free from the suspicion, at least of connivance in these bloody deeds. Christianity appears only in a favourable light as interposing between the assassins and their victim. Marcus, Bishop of Arethusa, saved Julian from his enemies: the future apostate was con-

cealed under the altar of the church. Yet, on the accession of the sons of Constantine, to the causes of fraternal animosity usual on the division of a kingdom between several brothers, was added that of Religious religious hostility. The two Emperors (for surviving they were speedily reduced to two) placed themselves at the head of the two contending parties in The weak and voluptuous Constans ad-Christianity. hered with inflexible firmness to the cause of Athanasius; the no less weak and tyrannical Constantius, to that of Arianism. The East was arrayed against the West. At Rome, at Alexandria, at Sardica, and, afterwards, at Arles and Milan, Athanasius was triumphantly acquitted; at Antioch, at Philippopolis, and finally at Rimini, he was condemned with almost equal unanimity. Even within the church itself, the distribution of the superior dignities became an object of fatal ambition and strife. The streets of Alexandria and of Constantinople were deluged with blood by the partisans of rival bishops. In the latter, an officer of high distinction, sent by the Emperor to quell the tumult, was slain, and his body treated with the utmost indignity by the infuriated populace.

To dissemble or to disguise these melancholy facts, is alike inconsistent with Christian truth and wisdom. In some degree they are accounted for by the proverbial reproach against history, that it is the record of human folly and crime; and history, when the world became impregnated with Christianity, did not at once assume a higher office. In fact, it extends its view only over the surface of society, below which, in general, lie human virtue and happiness. This would be especially the case with regard to Christianity, whether it withdrew from the sight of man, according to the monastic interpreta-

tion of its precepts, into solitary communion with the Deity; or, in its more genuine spirit, was content with exercising its humanising influence in the more remote and obscure quarters of the general social system.

Even the annals of the Church take little notice of those cities where the Christian episcopate passed calmly down through a succession of pious and beneficent prelates, who lived and died in the undisturbed attachment and veneration of their Christian disciples, and respected by the hostile Pagans; men whose noiseless course of beneficence was constantly diminishing the mass of human misery, and improving the social, the moral, as well as the religious condition of mankind. But an election contested with violence, or a feud which divided a city into hostile parties, arrested the general attention, and was perpetuated in the records, at first of the Church, afterwards of the Empire.

But, in fact, the theological opinions of Christianity naturally made more rapid progress than its Moral more moral influence. The former had only to over- religious power the resistance of a religion which had revolution. already lost its hold upon the mind, or a philosophy too speculative for ordinary understandings and too unsatisfactory for the more curious and enquiring; they had only to enter, as it were, into a vacant place in the mind of man. But the moral influence had to contest, not only with the natural dispositions of man, but with the barbarism and depraved manners of ages. While, then, the religion of the world underwent a total change; while the Church rose on the ruins of the temple, and the pontifical establishment of Paganism became gradually extinct, or suffered violent suppression; the moral revolution was far more slow and far less complete. With a large portion of mankind, it must be admitted

that the religion itself was Paganism under another form and with different appellations; with another part, it was the religion passively received without any change in the moral sentiments or habits; with a third, and, perhaps, the more considerable part, there was a transfer of the passions and the intellectual activity to a new cause.a They were completely identified with Christianity, and to a certain degree actuated by its principles, but they did not apprehend the beautiful harmony which subsists between its doctrines and its moral perfection. Its dogmatic purity was the sole engrossing subject; the unity of doctrine superseded and obscured all other considerations, even of that sublimer unity of principles and effects, of the loftiest views of the divine nature with the purest conceptions of human virtue. Faith not only overpowered, but discarded from her fellowship, Love and Peace. Everywhere there was exaggeration of one of the constituent elements of Christianity; that exaggeration which is the inevitable consequence of a strong impulse upon the human mind. Wherever men feel strongly, they act violently. The more speculative Christians, therefore, who were more inclined, in the deep and somewhat selfish solicitude for their own salvation, to isolate themselves from the infected mass of mankind, pressed into the extreme of asceticism; the more practical, who were earnest in the desire of disseminating the blessings of religion throughout society, scrupled little to press into their service whatever might advance their cause.

a "If," said the dying Bishop of cepts, choose Paul; if a man versed Constantinople, "you would have for in the affairs of the world, and able

my successor a man who would edify to maintain the interests of the religion, your suffrages must be given to improve you by the purity of his pre- Macedonius." Socr. E. C. ii. 6.

With both extremes, the dogmatical part of the religion predominated. The monkish believer imposed the same severity upon the aberrations of the mind as upon the appetites of the body; and, in general, those who are severe to themselves, are both disposed, and think themselves entitled, to enforce the same severity on others. The other, as his sphere became more extensive, was satisfied with an adhesion to the Christian creed, instead of that total change of life demanded of the early Christian, and watched over with such jealous vigilance by the mutual superintendence of a small society. The creed, thus become the sole test, was enforced with all the passion of intense zeal, and guarded with the most subtle and scrupulous jealousy. In proportion to the admitted importance of the creed, men became more sternly and exclusively wedded to their opinions. Thus an antagonistic principle of exclusiveness co-existed with the most comprehensive ambition. While they swept in converts indiscriminately from the palace and the public street; while the Emperor and the lowest of the populace were alike admitted on little more than the open profession of allegiance, they were satisfied if the allegiance in this respect was blind and complete. Hence a far larger admixture of human passions and of the common vulgar incentives of action was infused into the expanding Christian body. Men became Christians, orthodox Christians, with little sacrifice of that which Christianity aimed chiefly to extirpate. Yet, after all, this imperfect view of Christianity had probably some effect in concentrating the Christian community, and holding it together by a new and more indissoluble bond. The world divided into two parties. Though the shades of Arianism, perhaps, if strictly decomposed, of Trinitarianism, were countless as the varying powers of conprelate, on his return, with courtesy, or rather with favour and distinction. Athanasius now entered Alexandria at the head of a triumphal procession; A.D. 338. Restoration Restoration of Athanasius the bishops of his party resumed their sees; all Egypt returned to its obedience; but the to Alexandria. more inflexible Syria still waged the war with unallayed activity. A council was held at Tyre, in which new charges were framed against the Alexandrian prelate: - the usurpation of his see in defiance of his condemnation by a council (the imperial power seems to have been treated with no great respect,—for a prelate, it was asserted, deposed by a council, could only be restored by the same authority); violence and bloodshed during his re-occupation of the see; and malversation of sums of money intended for the poor, but appropriated to his own use. A rival council at Alexandria at once acquitted Athanasius on all these points; asserted his right to the see; appealed to and avouched the universal rejoicings at his restoration, and his rigid administration of the funds entrusted to his care.d

A more august assembly of Christian prelates met in A.D. 341. the presence of the Emperor at Antioch. Ninety bishops celebrated the consecration of a splendid edifice, called the Church of Gold. The council then entered on the affairs of the church. A creed was framed satisfactory to all, except that it seemed carefully to exclude the term consubstantial or Homoousion. The council ratified the decrees of that of Tyre, with regard to Athanasius. It is asserted on his part that the majority had withdrawn to their dioceses before the introduction of this question, and that a factious

^d Compare throughout the ecclesiastical historians, Theodoret, Socrates, and Sozomen.

minority of forty prelates assumed and abused the authority of the council. They proceeded to nominate a new bishop of Alexandria. Pistus, who had before been appointed to the see, was passed over in silence, probably as too inactive or unambitious for their purpose. Gregory, a native of the wilder region of Cappadocia, but educated under Athanasius himself in the more polished schools of Alexandria, was invested with this important dignity. Alexandria, peacefully reposing, it is said, under the parental episcopate of Athanasius. was suddenly startled by the appearance of an edict, signed by the imperial prefect, announcing the degradation of Athanasius, and the appointment of Gregory. Scenes of savage conflict ensued; the churches were taken as it were by storm; the priests of the Athanasian party were treated with the utmost indignity; virgins scourged; every atrocity perpetrated by unbridled multitudes, embittered by every shade of religious faction. The Alexandrian populace were always ripe for tumult and bloodshed. The Pagans and the Jews mingled in the fray, and seized the opportunity, no doubt, of shewing their impartial animosity to both parties; though the Arians (and, as the original causes of the tumult, not without justice) were loaded with the unpopularity of this odious alliance. They arrayed themselves on the side of the soldiery appointed to execute the decree of the prefect; and the Arian bishop is charged, not with much probability, with abandoning the churches to their pillage.

Athanasius fled; a second time an exile, he took refuge in the West. He appeared again at Rome, in Athanasius the dominions and under the protection of an flee to Rome. Orthodox Emperor; for Constants, who, after the death of Constantine, the first protector of Athanasius, had

obtained the larger part of the empire belonging to his murdered brother, was no less decided in his support of the Nicene opinions. The two great Western prelates, Hosius of Cordova, eminent from his age and character, and Julius, bishop of Rome; from the dignity of his see, openly espoused his cause. Wherever Athanasius resided,—at Alexandria, in Gaul, in Rome,—in general the devoted clergy, and even the people, adhered with unshaken fidelity to his tenets. Such was the commanding dignity of his character, such his power of profoundly stamping his opinions on the public mind.

The Arian party, independent of their speculative opinions, cannot be absolved from the unchristian heresy of cruelty and revenge. However darkly coloured, we cannot reject the general testimony to their acts of violence, wherever they attempted to regain their authority. Gregory is said to have attempted to compel bishops, priests, monks, and holy virgins, to Christian communion with a prelate thus forced upon them, by every kind of insult and outrage; by scourging and beating with clubs: those were fortunate who escaped with exile. But if Alexandria was disturbed by the hostile excesses of the Arians, in Constantinople itself the conflicting religious parties gave rise to the first of those popular tumults which so frequently, in later times, distracted and disgraced the city. Eusebius, formerly Bishop of Nico-

media, the main support of the Arian party, had risen to the episcopacy of the imperial city. His enemies reproached the worldly ambition which deserted an humbler for a more eminent see:

e Athanas. Oper., p. 112, 149, 350, 352, and the ecclesiastical historians in loc.

but they were not less inclined to contest this important post with the utmost activity. At his death the Athanasian party revived the claims of Paul, whom they asserted to have been canonically elected and unjustly deposed from the see; the Arians supported Biography Macedonius. The dispute spread from the Constantinople. Church into the streets, from the clergy to the AD 342. populace; blood was shed; the whole city was in arms on one part or the other.

The Emperor was at Antioch; he commanded Hermogenes, who was appointed to the command of the cavalry in Thrace, to pass through Constantinople, and expel the intruder Paul. Hermogenes, at the head of his soldiery, advanced to force Paul from the church. The populace rose; the soldiers were repelled; the general took refuge in a house, which was instantly set on fire; the mangled body of Hermogenes was dragged through the streets, and at length cast into the sea. Constantius heard this extraordinary intelligence at Antioch. The contempt of the imperial mandate; the murder of an imperial officer in the contested nomination of a bishop, were as yet so new in the annals of the world, as to fill him with equal astonishment and indignation. He mounted his horse, though it was winter and the mountain-passes were dangerous and difficult with snow; he hastened with the utmost speed to Constantinople. But the deep humiliation of the senate and the heads of the people, who prostrated themselves at his feet, averted his resentment: the people were punished by a diminution of the usual largess of corn. Paul was expelled; but, as though some blame adhered to both the conflicting parties, the election of Macedonius was not confirmed, although he was allowed to exercise the episcopal functions. Paul

retired, first to Thessalonica, subsequently to the court of Constans.

The remoter consequences of the Athanasian con-Effects of the troversy began to develope themselves at this early period. The Christianity of the East controversy and the West gradually assumed a divergent and independent character. Though, during a short time, the Arianism of the Ostrogothic conquerors gave a temporary predominance in Italy to that creed, the West in general submitted, in unenquiring acquiescence, to the Trinitarianism of Athanasius. In the East, on the other hand, though the doctrines of Athanasius eventually obtained the superiority, the controversy gave birth to a long and unexhausted line of subordinate disputes. The East retained its mingled character of Oriental speculativeness and Greek subtlety. It could not abstain from investigating and analysing the divine nature, and the relations of Christ and the Holy Ghost to the Supreme Being. Macedonianism, Nestorianism, Eutychianism, with the fatal disputes relating to the procession of the Holy Ghost during almost the last hours of the Byzantine empire, may be considered the lineal descendants of this prolific controversy. The opposition between the East and West of itself tended to increase the authority of that prelate, who assumed his acknowledged station as the head and representative of the Western churches. The commanding and popular part taken by the Bishop of Rome, in favour of Athanasius and his doctrines, enabled him to stand forth in undisputed superiority, as at once the chief of the Western episcopate and the champion of orthodoxy. The age of Hosius, Athanasius and his residence in a remote province, withdrew the only competitor for this superiority. Athanasius took up his residence at Rome, and, under the protection of the Roman prelate, defied his adversaries to a new contest. Julius summoned the accusers Julius, of Athanasius to plead the cause before a Rome. council in Rome. The Eastern prelates altogether disclaimed his jurisdiction, and rejected his pretensions to rejudge the cause of a bishop already condemned by the council of Tyre. The answer of Julius is directed rather to the justification of Athanasius than to the assertion of his own authority. The synod of Rome solemnly acquitted Athanasius, Paul, and all their Synod at adherents. The Western Emperor joined in Rome. the sentiments of his clergy. A second council at Milan, in the presence of Constans, confirmed A.D. 343. the decree of Rome. Constans proposed to At Milan. his brother to convoke a general council of both empires. A neutral or border ground was chosen for this decisive conflict. At Sardica met one hundred Council of prelates from the West, from the East only A.D. 345-6. seventy-five.g Notwithstanding his age and infirmities, Hosius travelled from the extremity of the empire: he at once took the lead in the assembly; and it is remarkable that the Bishop of Rome, so zealous in the cause of Athanasius, alleged an excuse for his absence, which may warrant the suspicion that he was unwilling to be obscured in this important scene by the superior

individual authority, or pontifical supremacy. "Why do you alone write?" "Because I represent the opinions of the bishops of Italy." Epist. Julian. Athanas. Op. 1, 146.

The ecclesiastical historians, however, in the next century, assert that Rome claimed a right of adjudica-

f Julius is far from asserting any tion. Γνωρίζουσιν οδν τῷ ἐπισκόπφ 'Ρώμης 'Ιουλίφ τὰ καθ' ἐαυτούς' δ δὲ ἄτε προνόμεα τῆς ἐν Ῥώμη ἐκκλησίας ἐχούσης. Socr. E. H. ii. 15. Ο α δε των πάντων κηδεμονίας αυτώ προσηκούσης διά την άξίαν τοῦ θρόνου. Soz. E. H. iii. 8.

g By some accounts there were 100 Western bishops: 73 Eastern.

authority of Hosius. Five of the Western prelates, among whom were Ursacius of Singidunum and Valens of Mursa, embraced the Arian cause: the Arians complained of the defection of two bishops from their body, who betrayed their secret counsels to their adversaries.h In all these councils, it appears not to have occurred, that, religion being a matter of faith, the suffrages of the majority could not possibly impose a creed upon a conscientious minority. The question had been too often agitated to expect that it could be placed in a

new light.

On matters of fact, the suffrages of the more numerous party might have weight, in the personal condemnation, for instance, or the acquittal of Athanasius: but as these suffrages could not convince the understanding of those who voted on the other side, the theological decisions must of necessity be rejected, unless the minority would submit likewise to the humiliating confession of insincerity, ignorance, or precipitancy in judgment. The Arian minority did not await this issue; having vainly attempted to impede the progress of the council, by refusing to sanction the presence of persons excommunicated, they seceded to Rival council Philippopolis in Thrace. In these two cities sate the rival councils, each asserting itself the genuine representative of Christendom, issuing decrees, and anathematising their adversaries. The Arians are accused of maintaining their influence, even in the East, by acts of great cruelty. In Adrianople,

contr. Arian. &c.

against the assumption of supremacy | rentur." Apud Hilar. Fragm. iii.

h Concilia Labbe, vol. iii. Athanas. | by the Western. "Novam legem introducere putaverunt, ut Orientales The Oriental bishops protested Episcopi ab Occidentalibus judica-

in Alexandria, they enforced submission to their tenets

by the scourge, and by heavy penalties.k

The Western Council at Milan accepted and ratified the decrees of the council of Sardica, absolving Athanasius of all criminality, and receiving his doctrines as the genuine and exclusive truths of the Gospel. Reconciliation of Con-On a sudden, affairs took a new turn; Con-stantius with stantius threw himself, as it were, at the feet A.D. 349. of Athanasius, and in three successive letters entreated him to resume his episcopal throne. The Emperor and the prelate (who had delayed at first to obey, either from fear or from pride, the flattering invitation), met at Antioch with mutual expressions of respect and cordiality.^m Constantius ordered all the accusations against Athanasius to be erased from the registers of the city. He commended the prelate to the people of Alexandria in terms of courtly flattery, which harshly contrast with his former, as well as with his subsequent, conduct to Athanasius. The Arian bishop, Gregory, was dead, and Athanasius, amid the universal joy, re-entered the city. The bishops crowded from all parts to salute and congratulate the prelate who had thus triumphed over the malice even of imperial enemies. Incense curled up in all the streets; the city was brilliantly illuminated. It was an ovation by the admirers of Athanasius; it is said to have been a Christian ovation; alms were lavished on the poor; every house resounded with prayer and thanksgiving as if it were a church;

whom the Eusebian party accused of Sabellianism, was throughout con- very fairly demanding that one church nected with that of Athanasius.

m The Emperor proposed to Athanasius to leave one church to the of his communion.

k The cause of Marcellus of Ancyra, | Arians at Alexandria; Athanasius dexterously eluded the request, by in Antioch, where the Arians predominated, should be set apart for those

the triumph of Athanasius was completed by the recantation of Ursacius and Valens, two of his most

powerful antagonists."

This sudden change in the policy of Constantius is scarcely explicable upon the alleged motives. It is ascribed to the detection of an infamous conspiracy against one of the Western bishops, deputed on a mission to Constantius. The aged prelate was charged with incontinence, but the accusation recoiled on its inventors. A man of infamous character, Onager the wild ass, the chief conductor of the plot, on being detected, avowed himself the agent of Stephen, the Arian bishop of Antioch. Stephen was ignominiously deposed from his see. Yet this single fact would scarcely have at once estranged the mind of Constantius from the interests of the Arian party; his subsequent conduct when, as Emperor of the whole world, he could again dare to display his deep-rooted hostility to Athanasius, induces the suspicion of political reasons. Constantius was about to be embarrassed with the Persian war; at this dangerous crisis, the admoni-Persian war, tions of his brother, not unmingled with warlike menace, might enforce the expediency at least of a temporary reconciliation with Athanasius. After that reconciliation and the triumph of Athanasius, the political troubles of three years suspended the religious strife. The war of Persia brought some fame to the arms of Constantius; and in the more honourable character, not of the antagonist, but the avenger Death of of his murdered brother, the surviving son of Constantine again united the East and West under his sole dominion. Magnentius, who had usurped the

n Greg. Nazian. Enc. Athanas. Athanas. Hist. Arian.

Western Empire and mounted the throne over the bloody corpse of the murdered Constans, fell before the

avenging arm of Constantius.

The battle of Mursa, if we are to credit a writer somewhat more recent, was no less fatal to the interests of Athanasius than to the arms of Magnen-tius.° Ursacius and Valens, after their re- A.D. 351. cantation, had relapsed to Arianism. Valens was the Bishop of Mursa, and in the immediate neighbourhood of that town was fought the decisive battle. Constantius retired with Valens into the principal church, to assist with his prayers-rather than with his directions or personal prowess, the success of his army. Battle of The agony of his mind may be conceived, Mursa. during the long suspense of a conflict on which the sovereignty of the world depended, and in which the conquerors lost more men than the vanquished.^p Valens stood or knelt by his side; on a sudden, when the Emperor was wrought to the highest state of agitation, Valens proclaimed the tidings of his complete victory; intelligence communicated to the prelate by an angel from heaven. Whether Valens had anticipated the event by a bold fiction, or arranged some plan for obtaining rapid information, he appeared from that time to the Emperor as a man especially favoured by Heaven, a prophet, and one of good omen. With Valens Arianism reassumed its authority over the vacillating mind of Constantius.

But either the fears of the Emperor or the caution of the Arian party, delayed yet for three or four years

the gods on this momentous occasion.
Lib. xiii, t. ii, p. 16, 17.

[•] Sulpicius Severus, ii. c. 54.

P Magnentius is said by Zonoras, Lib. xiii. t. ii. p. 16, 17. to have sacrificed a girl, to propitiate

to execute their revenge on Athanasius. They began with a less illustrious victim. Philip, the prefect of the East, received instructions to expel Paul, and to replace Macedonius on the episcopal throne of Constantinople. Philip remembered the fate of Hermogenes; he secured himself in the thermæ of Zeuxippus, and summoned the prelate to his presence. He then communicated his instructions, and frightened or persuaded the aged Paul to consent to be secretly Paul deposed transported in a boat over the Bosphorus. In the morning, Philip appeared in his car, with ple. Macedonius by his side in the pontifical attire; he drove directly to the church, but the soldiers were obliged to hew their way through the dense and resisting crowd to the altar. Macedonius passed over the murdered bodies (three thousand are said to have fallen) to the throne of the Christian prelate. Paul was carried in chains first to Emesa, afterwards to a wild town in the deserts about Mount Taurus. had disappeared from the sight of his followers, and it is certain that he died in those remote regions. Arians gave out that he died a natural death. It was the general belief of the Athanasians that his death was hastened, and even that he had been strangled by the hands of the prefect Philip.8

But before the decisive blow was struck against Athanasius, Constantius endeavoured to subdue the West to the Arian opinions. The Emperor, released from the dangers of war, occupied his triumphant leisure in Christian controversy. He seemed determined to establish his sole dominion over the religion as well as

^q Athenas. Oper. i. 322, 348. Socrat. E. H. ii. 26.

the civil obedience of his subjects. The Western bishops firmly opposed the conqueror of Mag- Councils of nentius. At the councils, first of Arles and Arles and Milan. afterwards of Milan, they refused to subscribe A.D. 353, 355. the condemnation of Athanasius, or to communicate with the Arians. Liberius, the new Bishop of Rome, Persecution refused the timid and disingenuous compro- of Liberius, Bishop of mise to which his representative at Arles, Vin-Rome. cent, deacon of Rome, had agreed—assent to the condemnation of Athanasius, if, at the same time, a decisive anathema should be issued against the tenets of Arius. At Milan, the bishops boldly asserted the independence of the church upon the empire. The Athanasian party forgot, or chose not to remember, that they had unanimously applauded the interference of Constantine, when, after the Nicene council, he drove the Arian bishops into exile. Thus it has always been: the sect or party which has the civil power in its favour is embarrassed with no doubts as to the legality of its interference; when hostile, it resists as an unwarrantable aggression on its own freedom, that which it has not scrupled to employ against its adversaries.

The new charges against Athanasius were of very different degrees of magnitude and probability. New charges He was accused of exciting the hostility of Athanasius. Constans against his brother. The fact that Constans had threatened to reinstate the exiled prelate by force of arms might give weight to this charge; but the subsequent reconciliation, the gracious reception of Athanasius by the Emperor, the public edicts in his favour, had, in all justice, cancelled the guilt, if there were really guilt, in this undue influence over the mind of Constans. He was accused of treasonable correspondence with the usurper Magnentius. Athanasius repelled this

charge with natural indignation. He must have been a monster of ingratitude, worthy a thousand deaths, if he had leagued with the murderer of his benefactor, Constans. He defied his enemies to the production of any letters; he demanded the severest investigation, the strictest examination, of his own secretaries or those of Magnentius. The descent is rapid from these serious charges to that of having officiated in a new and splendid church, the Cæsarean, without the permission of the Emperor; and the exercising a paramount and almost monarchical authority over the churches along the whole course of the Nile, even beyond his legitimate jurisdiction. The first was strangely construed into an intentional disrespect to the Emperor; the latter might fairly be attributed to the zeal of Athanasius for the extension of Christianity. Some of these points might appear beyond the jurisdiction of an ecclesiastical tribunal; and in the council of Milan there seems to have been an inclination to separate the cause of Athanasius from that of his doctrine. As at Arles, some proposed to abandon the person of Athanasius to the will of the Emperor, if a general condemnation should be passed against the tenets of Arius.

Three hundred ecclesiastics formed the council of Milan. Few of these were from the East. The Bishop of Rome did not appear in person to lead the orthodox party. His chief representative was Lucifer of Cagliari, a man of ability, but of violent temper and unguarded language. The Arian faction was headed by Ursacius and Valens, the old adversaries of Athanasius, and by the Emperor himself. Constantius, that the proceedings might take place more immediately under his own superintendence, adjourned the assembly from the church to the palace. This un-

seemly intrusion of a layman in the deliberations of the clergy, unfortunately, was not without precedent. Those who had proudly hailed the entrance of Constantine into the synod of Nicæa could not, consistently, deprecate the presence of his son at Milan.

The controversy became a personal question between the Emperor and his refractory subject. The Emperor descended into the arena, and mingled in all the fury of the conflict. Constantius was not content with assuming the supreme place as Emperor, or interfering in the especial province of the bishops—the theological question—he laid claim to direct inspiration. He was commissioned by a vision from Heaven to restore peace to the afflicted church. The scheme of doctrine which he proposed was asserted by the Western bishops to be strongly tainted with Arianism. The prudence of the Athanasian party was not equal to their firmness and courage. The obsequious and almost adoring court of the Emperor must have stood aghast at the audacity of the ecclesiastical synod. Their language was that of vehement invective, rather than dignified dissent or calm remonstrance. Constantius, concealed behind a curtain, listened to the debate; he heard his own name coupled with that of heretic, of Antichrist. His indignation now knew no bounds. He proclaimed himself the champion of the Arian doctrines, and the accuser of Athanasius. Yet flatteries, persuasions, bribes, menaces, penalties, exiles, were necessary to extort the assent of the resolute assembly. Then they became conscious of the impropriety of a lay Emperor's intrusion into the debates of an ecclesiastical synod. They demanded a free council, in which the Emperor should neither preside in person nor by his commissary. They lifted up their hands,

and entreated the angry Constantius not to mingle up the affairs of the state and the church. Three prelates, Lucifer of Cagliari, Eusebius of Vercellæ, Dionysius of Milan, were sent into banishment, to places remote from each other, and the most inhospitable regions of the empire. Liberius, the Roman pontiff, rejected with disdain the presents of the Emperor; he resisted with equal firmness his persuasions and his acts of violence.

Though his palace in Rome was carefully closed and garrisoned by some of his faithful flock, Libe-Liberius. berius was seized at length, and carried to Milan. He withstood, somewhat contemptuously, the personal entreaties and arguments of the Emperor. He rejected with disdain the imperial offers of money for his journey, and told the Emperor to keep it to pay his army. The same offer was made by Eusebius the eunuch:—" Does a sacrilegious robber like thee think to give alms to me, as to a mendicant?" The Bishop of Rome was exiled to Berbea, a city of Thrace. An Arian prelate, Felix, was forced upon the unwilling city.

But two years of exile broke the spirit of Liberius. He began to listen to the advice of the Arian bishop of Berbea; the solitude, the cold climate, and the discomforts of this uncongenial region, had more effect than the presents or the menaces of the Emperor. Pope Liberius signed the Arian formulary of Sirmium; he assented to the condemnation of Athanasius. The Fall of fall of the aged Hosius increased the triumph

Hosius. of the Arians. Some of the Catholic writers reproach with undue bitterness the weakness of an old

^{*} Μηδὲ ἀναμίσγειν τὴν 'Ρωμᾶίκην | ad Mon. c. 34, 36. Compare c. 52. τη τὴς ἐκκλησίας διατάγη. Athanas. | * Theodoret, iv. 16.

man, whose nearer approach to the grave, they assert, ought to have confirmed him in his inalienable fidelity to Christ. But even Christianity has no power over that mental imbecility which accompanies the decay of physical strength; and this act of feebleness ought not, for an instant, to be set against the unblemished virtue of a whole life.

Constantius, on his visit to Rome, was astonished by an address, presented by some of the principal Reception of females of the city in their most splendid at Rome. attire, to entreat the restoration of Liberius. The Emperor offered to re-admit Liberius to a co-ordinate authority with the Arian bishop, Felix. The females rejected with indignant disdain this dishonourable compromise; and when Constantius commanded a similar proposition to be publicly read in the circus at the time of games, he was answered by a general shout, "One God, one Christ, one bishop."

Had then the Christians, if this story be true, already overcome their aversion to the public games? or are we to suppose that the whole populace of Rome took an interest in the appointment of the Christian pontiff?

Athanasius awaited in tranquil dignity the bursting storm. He had eluded the imperial summons Orders to remove to appear at Milan, upon the plea that it was Athanasius. ambiguous and obscure. Constantius, either from some lingering remorse, from reluctance to have his new condemnatory ordinances confronted with his favourable, and almost adulatory, testimonies to the innocence of Athanasius, or from fear lest a religious insurrection in Alexandria and Egypt should embarrass the government, and cut off the supplies of corn from the Eastern capital, refused to issue any written order for the deposal and expulsion of Athanasius. He chose,

apparently, to retain the power, if convenient, of disowning his emissaries. Two secretaries were despatched with a verbal message, commanding the prelate's abdication. Athanasius treated the imperial officers with the utmost courtesy; but respectfully demanded their written instructions. A kind of suspension of hostilities seems to have been agreed upon, till further instructions could be obtained from the Emperor. But in the mean time, Syrianus, the duke of the province, was drawing the troops from all parts of Libva and Egypt to invest and occupy the city. A force of 5000 men was thought necessary to depose a peaceable Christian Bishop. The great events in the life of Athanasius, as we have already seen on two occasions, seem, either designedly or of themselves, to take a highly dramatic form. It was midnight, and the archbishop, surrounded by the more devout of his flock, was performing the solemn ceremony, previous to the sacramental service of the next day, in the church of St. Theonas. Suddenly the sound of trumpets, the trampling of steeds, the clash of arms, the bursting the bolts of the doors, interrupted the silent devotions of the assembly. The archbishop on his throne, in the depth of the choir, on which fell the dim light of the lamps, beheld the gleaming arms of the soldiery, as they burst into the nave of the church. The archbishop, as the ominous sounds grew louder, commanded the chanting of the 135th (136th) Psalm. The choristers' voices swelled into the solemn strain:-"Oh, give thanks unto the Lord, for he is gracious;" the people took up the burthen, "For his mercy endureth for ever!" The clear, full voices of the congregation rose over the wild tumult, now without, and now within, the church.

A discharge of arrows commenced the conflict; and Athanasius calmly exhorted his people to continue their only defensive measures, their prayers to their Almighty Syrianus at the same time ordered the soldiers to advance. The cries of the wounded; the groans of those who were trampled down in attempting to force their way out through the soldiery; the shouts of the assailants, mingled in wild and melancholy uproar. But before the soldiers had reached the end of the sanctuary, the pious disobedience of his clergy and of a body of monks, hurried the archbishop by some secret passage out of the tumult. His escape appeared little less than miraculous to his faithful followers. The riches of the altar, the sacred ornaments of the church. and even the consecrated virgins, were abandoned to the licence of an exasperated soldiery. The Catholics in vain drew up an address to the Emperor, appealing to his justice against this sacrilegious outrage; they suspended the arms of the soldiery, which had been left on the floor of the church, as a reproachful memorial of the violence. Constantius confirmed the acts of his officers.^t

The Arians were prepared to replace the deposed prelate; their choice fell on another Cappa-George of docian more savage and unprincipled than the Cappadocia. former one. Constantius commended George of Cappadocia to the people of Alexandria, as a prelate above praise, the wisest of teachers, the fittest guide to the kingdom of heaven. His adversaries paint him in the blackest colours; the son of a fuller, he had been in turns a parasite, a receiver of taxes, a bankrupt. Ignorant of letters, savage in manners, he was taken up,

t Athanas. Apol. de Fugâ, vol. i. 395; ad Const. 307, 310. Tillemont, p. 334; ad Monachos, 373, 378, 393, Vie d'Athanase.

while leading a vagabond life, by the Arian prelate of Antioch, and made a priest before he was a Christian. He employed the collections gathered for the poor in bribing the eunuchs of the palace. But he possessed, no doubt, great worldly ability; he was without fear and without remorse. He entered Alexandria environed by the troops of Syrianus. His presence let loose the rabid violence of party; the Arians exacted ample vengeance for their long period of depression; houses were plundered: monasteries burned: tombs broken open, to search for concealed Athanasians, or for the prelate himself, who still eluded their pursuit; bishops were insulted: virgins scourged: the soldiery encouraged to break up every meeting of the Catholics by violence, and even by inhuman tortures. The Duke Sebastian, at the head of 3000 troops, charged a meeting of the Athanasian Christians. No barbarity was too revolting: they are said to have employed instruments of torture to compel them to Christian unity with the Arians: females were scourged with the prickly branches of the palm-tree. The Pagans readily transferred their allegiance, so far as allegiance was demanded; while the savage and ignorant among them rejoiced in the occasion for plunder and cruelty. Others hailed these feuds, and almost anticipated the triumphant restoration of their own religion. Men, they thought, must grow weary and disgusted with a religion productive of so much crime, bloodshed, and misery. Echoing back the language of the Athanasians, they shouted out-"Long life to the Emperor Constantius, and the Arians who have abjured Christianity." And Christianity they seem to have abjured, though not in the sense intended by their adversaries. They had abjured all Christian humanity, holiness, and peace.

The avarice of George was equal to his cruelty. Exactions were necessary to maintain his interest with the eunuchs, to whom he owed his promotion. The prelate of Alexandria forced himself into the secular affairs of the city. He endeavoured to secure a monopoly of the nitron produced in the lake Mareotis, of the salt-works, and of the papyrus. He became a manufacturer of those painted coffins which were still in use among the Egyptians. Once he was expelled by a sudden insurrection of the people, who surrounded the church, in which he was officiating, and threatened to tear him in pieces. He took refuge in the court, which was then at Sirmium, and a few months beheld him reinstated by the command of his faithful patron the Emperor.^u A reinstated tyrant is, in general, the most cruel oppressor; and, unless party violence has blackened the character of George of Cappadocia beyond even its ordinary injustice, the addition of revenge, and the haughty sense of impunity, derived from the imperial protection, to the evil passions already developed in his soul, rendered him a still more intolerable scourge to the devoted city.

Everywhere the Athanasian bishops were expelled from their sees; they were driven into banishment. The desert was constantly sounding with the hymns of these pious and venerable exiles, as they passed along, loaded with chains, to the remote and savage place of their destination; many of them bearing the scars, and wounds, and mutilations, which had been inflicted upon them by their barbarous persecutors, to enforce their compliance with the Arian doctrines.

Athanasius, after many strange adventures; having

[&]quot; He was at Sirmium, May, 359; restored in October.

been concealed in a dry cistern, and in the chamber escape and of a beautiful woman, who attended him with the most officious devotion (his awful character was not even tinged with the breath of suspicion), found

refuge at length among the monks of the A.D. 356. desert. Egypt is bordered on all sides by wastes of sand, or by barren rocks, broken into caves and intricate passes; and all these solitudes were now peopled by the fanatic followers of the hermit Antony. They were all devoted to the opinions and attached to the person of Athanasius. The austerities of the prelate extorted their admiration: as he had been the great example of a dignified, active, and zealous bishop, so was he now of an ascetic and mortified solitary. The most inured to self-inflicted tortures of mind and body found themselves equalled, if not outdone, in their fasts and austerities by the lofty Patriarch of Alexandria. Among these devoted adherents, his security was complete: their passionate reverence admitted not the fear of treachery. The more active and inquisitive the search of his enemies, he had only to plunge deeper into the inaccessible and inscrutable desert. From this solitude Athanasius himself is supposed sometimes to have issued forth, and, passing the seas, to have traversed even parts of the West, animating his followers. and confirming the faith of his whole widely-disseminated party. His own language implies his personal, though secret presence at the councils of Seleucia and Rimini.x

From the desert, unquestionably, came forth many of those writings which must have astonished the Heathen world by their unprecedented boldness. For

^{*} Athanas. Oper. vol. i. p. 869. Compare Tillemont, Vie d'Athanase.

the first time since the foundation of the empire, the Government was more or less publicly assailed in addresses, which arraigned its measures as unjust and as transgressing its legitimate authority, and which did not spare the person of the reigning Emperor. In the West, as well as in the East, Constantius was assailed with equal freedom of invective. The book of Hillary of Hilary of Poictiers against Constantius is said Poictiers. not to have been made public till after the death of the Emperor; but it was most likely circulated among the Catholics of the West; and the author exposed himself to the activity of hostile informers, and the indiscretion of fanatical friends. The Emperor, in that book, is declared to be Antichrist, a tyrant, not only in secular, but likewise in religious affairs; the sole object of his reign was to make a free gift to the devil of the whole world. for which Christ had suffered. Lucifer of Cagliari,

ut orbem terrarum, pro quo Christus passus est, diabolo condonaret," Adv. Constant. c. 15. Hilary's highest indignation is excited by the gentle and insidious manner with which he confesses that Constantius endeavoured to compass his unholy end. He would not honour them with the dignity of martyrs, but he used the prevailing persuasion of bribes, flatteries, and honours-" Non dorsa cædit, sed ventrem palpat; non trudit carcere ad libertatem, sed intra palatium honorat ad servitutem; non latera vexat, sed cor occupat . . . non contendit ne vincatur, sed adulatur ut dominetur." There are several other remarkable passages in this tract. Constantius wished to confine the creed to the language of Scripture. This was re- | the knowledge of the Father, so much

7 "Nihil prorsus aliud egit, quam | jected, as infringing on the authority of the bishops, and the forms of Apostolic preaching. "Nolo, inquit, verba quæ non scripta sunt dici. Hoc tandem rogo, quis episcopis jubeat et quis apostolicæ prædicationis vetet formam?" c. 16. Among the sentences ascribed to the Arians, which so much shocked the Western bishops, there is one which is evidently the argument of a strong anti-materialist asserting the sole existence of the Father, and that the terms of son and generation, &c., are not to be received in a literal sense. "Erat Deus quod est. Pater non erat, quia neque ei filius; nam si filius, necesse est ut et fœmina sit," &c. One phrase has a singularly Oriental, I would say, Indian cast. "How much soever the Son expands himself towards

whose violent temper afterwards distracted the Western church with a schism, is now therefore repu-Lucifer of diated by the common consent of all parties. Cagliari. But Athanasius speaks in ardent admiration of the intemperate writings of this passionate man, and once describes him as inflamed by the spirit of God. Lucifer, in his banishment, sent five books full of the most virulent invective to the Emperor. Constantius—it was the brighter side of his religious character—received these addresses with almost contemptuous equanimity. He sent a message to Lucifer, to demand if he was the author of these works. Lucifer replied not merely by an intrepid acknowledgment of his former writings, but by a sixth, in still more unrestrained and exaggerated language. Constantius was satisfied with banishing him to the Thebaid. Athanasius himself, who in his public vindication addressed to Constantius, maintained the highest respect for the imperial dignity, in his Epistle to the Solitaries gives free vent and expression to his vehement and contemptuous sentiments. His recluse friends are cautioned, indeed, not to disclose the dangerous document, in which the tyrants of the Old Testament, Pharaoh, Ahab, Belshazzar, are contrasted,

the Father super-expands himself, lest he should be known by the Son." "Quantum enim Filius se extendit cognoscere Patrem, tantum Pater superextendit se, ne cognitus Filio sit." c. 13. The parties, at least in the West, were speaking two totally distinct languages. It would be unjust to Hilary not to acknowledge the beautiful and Christian sentiments scattered through his two former firm, but respectful; and if rigidly, nendus est." Lib. i. c. 6.

yet sincerely, dogmatic. His plea for toleration, if not very consistently maintained, is expressed with great force and simplicity. "Deus cognitionem sui docuit potius quam exegit. . . . Deus universitatis est Dominus; non requirit coactam confessionem. Nostrâ potius non suâ causâ venerandus est . . . simplicitate quærendus est, confessione discendus est, charitate amandus est, timore veneaddresses to Constantius, which are randus est, voluntatis probitate reti-

to his disadvantage, with the base, the cruel, the hypocritical Constantius. It is curious to observe this new element of freedom, however at present working in a concealed, irregular, and, perhaps, still guarded manner, mingling itself with, and partially up-heaving, the general prostration of the human mind. The Christian, or, in some respects, it might be more justly said, the hierarchical principle, was entering into the constitution of human society, as an antagonistic power to that of the civil sovereign. The Christian community was no longer a separate republic, governed within by its own laws, vet submitting, in all but its religious observances, to the general ordinances. By the establishment of Christianity under Constantine, and the gradual reunion of two sections of mankind into one civil society, those two powers, that of the Church and the State, became coordinate authorities, which, if any difference should arise between the heads of the respective supremacies.—if the Emperor and the dominant party in Christendom should take opposite sides, led to inevitable collision. This crisis had already arrived. An Arian emperor was virtually excluded from a community in which the Athanasian doctrines prevailed. The son of Constantine belonged to an excommunicated class, to whom the dominant party refused the name of Christians. Thus these two despotisms, both founded on opinion (for obedience to the imperial authority was rooted in the universal sentiment), instead of gently counteracting and mitigating each other, came at once into direct and angry conflict. The Emperor might with justice begin to suspect that, instead of securing a peaceful and submissive ally, he had raised up a rival or a master; for the son of Constantine was thus in his turn disdainfully ejected from the society which his father had incorporated with the empire. It may be doubted how far the violences and barbarities ascribed by the Catholics to their Arian foes may be attributed to the indignation of the civil power at this new and determined resistance. Though Constantius might himself feel or affect a compassionate disdain at these unusual attacks on his person and dignity, the general feeling of the Heathen population, and of many among the local governors, might resist this contumacious contempt of the supreme authority. It is difficult otherwise to account for the general tumults excited by these disputes in Alexandria, in Constantinople, and in Rome, where at least a very considerable part of the population had no concern in the religious quarrel. The old animosity against Christianity would array itself under the banners of one of the conflicting parties, or take up the cause of the insulted sovereignty of the Emperor. The Athanasians constantly assert that the Arians courted, or at least did not decline, the invidious alliance of the Pagans.

But in truth, in the horrible cruelties perpetrated Mutual accusations of cruelty. during these unhappy divisions, it was the same savage ferocity of manners, which half a century before had raged against the Christian church, which now apparently raged in its cause. The abstruse

suppositiones. . . . Ad hæc virginum nudationes, incendia ecclesiarum, carceres adversos ministros Dei." Hilar. Fragm. Op. Hist. ii. c. 4.

The Arians retort the same accusations of violence, cruelty, and persecution, against Athanasius. They say—"Per vim, per cædem, per bellum, Alexandrinorum ecclesias deprædatus;" and this, "per pugnas et cædes gentilium." Decretum Synodi Orientalium Episcoporum apud Sardicam, apud S. Hilarium.

z See the depositions of the bishops assembled at Sardica, of the violence which they had themselves endured at the hands of the Arians. "Alii autem gladiorum signa, plagas et cicatrices estendebant. Alii se fame ab ipsis excruciatos querebantur. Et hæc non ignobiles testificabantur viri, sed de ecclesiis omnibus electi propter quas huc convenerunt, res gestas edocebant, milites armatos, populos cum fustibus, judicum minas, falsarum literarum

tenets of the Christian theology became the ill-understood, perhaps unintelligible, watchwords of violent and disorderly men. The rabble of Alexandria and other cities availed themselves of the commotion to give loose to their suppressed passion for the excitement of plunder and bloodshed. How far the doctrines of Christianity had worked down into the populace of the great cities cannot be ascertained, or even conjectured; its spirit had not in the least mitigated their ferocity and inhumanity. If Christianity is accused as the immediate exciting cause of these disastrous scenes, the predisposing principle was in that uncivilised nature of man, which not merely was unallayed by the gentle and humanising tenets of the Gospel, but, as it has perpetually done, pressed the Gospel itself, as it were, into its own unhallowed service.

The severe exclusiveness of dogmatic theology attained its height in this controversy. Hitherto, the Catholic and heretical doctrines had receded from each other at the first outset, and drawn off to opposite and irreconcileable extremes. The heretics had wandered away into the boundless regions of speculation; they had differed on some of the most important elementary principles of belief; they had rarely admitted any common basis for argument. Here the contending par-

pilaverunt; profanatores mysteriorum, proditoresque sacramentorum Christi; que impiam sceleratamque hæreticorum doctrinam contra ecclesiæ fidem asserentes, sapientissimos presbyteros Dei, diaconos, sacerdotes, atrociter demactaverunt." Ibid. 19. And this protest, full of these tremendous charges, was signed by the eighty seceding Eastern bishops.

[&]quot;Immensa autem confluxerat ad Sardicam multitudo sceleratorum omnium et perditorum, adventantium de Constantinopoli, de Alexandriâ, qui rei homicidiorum, rei sanguinis, rei cadis, rei latrociniorum, rei prædarum, rei spoliorum, nefandorumque omnium sacrilegiorum et criminum rei; qui altaria confregerunt, ecclesias incenderunt, domosque privatorum com-

ties set out from nearly the same principles, admitted the same authority, and seemed, whatever their secret bias or inclination, to differ only on the import of one Their opinions appeared to be constantly approximating, vet found it impossible to unite. The Athanasians taunted the Arians with the infinite variations in their belief: Athanasius recounts no less than eleven creeds. But the Arians might have pleaded their anxiety to reconcile themselves to the church, their earnest solicitude to make every advance towards a reunion, provided they might be excused the adoption of the one obnoxious word, the Homoousion, or Consubstantialism. But the inflexible orthodoxy of Athanasius will admit no compromise; nothing less than complete unity, not merely of expression, but of mental conception, will satisfy the rigour of the ecclesiastical dictator, who will permit no single letter, and, as far as he can detect it, no shadow of thought, to depart from his peremptory creed. He denounces his adversaries, for the least deviation, as enemies of Christ: he presses them with consequences drawn from their opinions; and, instead of spreading wide the gates of Christianity. he seems to unbar them with jealous reluctance, and to admit no one without the most cool and inquisitorial scrutiny into the most secret arcana of his belief.

In the writings of Athanasius is embodied the perfection of polemic divinity. His style, indeed, has no splendour, no softness, nothing to kindle the imagination, or melt the heart. Acute, even to subtlety, he is too earnest to degenerate into scholastic trifling. It is stern logic, addressed to the reason of those who admitted the authority of Christianity. There is no dispassionate examination, no candid philosophic inquiry, no calm statement of his adversaries' case, no

liberal acknowledgment of the infinite difficulties of the subject, scarcely any consciousness of the total insufficiency of human language to trace the question to its depths; all is peremptory, dictatorial, imperious; the severe conviction of the truth of his own opinions, and the inference that none but culpable motives, either of pride, or strife, or ignorance, can blind his adversaries to their cogent and irrefragable certainty. Athanasius walks on the narrow and perilous edge of orthodoxy with a firmness and confidence which it is impossible not to admire. It cannot be doubted that he was deeply, intimately, persuaded that the vital power and energy, the truth, the consolatory force of Christianity, entirely depended on the unquestionable elevation of the Saviour to the most absolute equality with the Parent Godhead. The ingenuity with which he follows out his own views of the consequences of their errors is wonderfully acute; but the thought constantly occurs, whether a milder and more conciliating tone would not have healed the wounds of afflicted Christianity; whether his lofty spirit is not conscious that his native element is that of strife rather than of peace.*

Though nothing can contrast more strongly with the expansive and liberal spirit of primitive Christianity than the repellent tone of this exclusive theology, yet this remarkable phasis of Christianity seems to have been necessary, and doubtless not without advantage to the permanence of the religion. With the civilisation of mankind, Christianity was about to pass through the ordeal of those dark ages which followed the irruption of the barbarians. During this period, Christianity was

^{*} At a later period, Athanasius sive against the Semi-Arians. Compare seems to have been less rigidly exclu- Möhler, ii, p. 230.

to subsist as the conservative principle of social order and the sacred charities of life, the sole, if not always faithful, guardian of ancient knowledge, of letters, and of arts. But in order to preserve its own existence, it assumed, of necessity, another form. It must have a splendid and imposing ritual to command the barbarous minds of its new proselytes, and one which might be performed by an illiterate priesthood; for the mass of the priesthood could not but be involved in the general darkness of the times. It must likewise have brief and definite formularies of doctrine. As the original languages, and even the Latin, fell into disuse, and before the modern languages of Europe were sufficiently formed to admit of translations, the sacred writings receded from general use; they became the depositaries of Christian doctrine, totally inaccessible to the laity, and almost as much so to the lower clergy. Creeds Necessity of creeds therefore became of essential importance to during the compress the leading points of Christian doctrine into a small compass. And as the barbarous and ignorant mind cannot endure the vague and the indefinite, so it was essential that the main points of doctrine should be fixed and cast into plain and emphatic propositions. The theological language was firmly established before the violent breaking up of society; and no more was required of the barbarian convert than to accept with unenquiring submission the established formulary of the faith, and gaze in awe-struck veneration at the solemn ceremonial.

The Athanasian controversy powerfully contributed Influence of Athanasian controversy to establish the supremacy of the Roman pontiff. It became almost a contest between on the growth of the Papal power. Eastern and Western Christendom; at least the West was neither divided like the East, nor submitted with the same comparatively willing obedience

to the domination of Arianism under the imperial authority. It was necessary that some one great prelate should take the lead in this internecine strife. only Western bishop whom his character would designate as this leader was Hosius, the Bishop of Cordova. But age had now disqualified this good man, whose moderation, abilities, and probably important services to Christianity in the conversion of Constantine, had recommended him to the common acceptance of the Christian world, as president of the council of Nicæa. Where this acknowledged superiority of character and talent was wanting, the dignity of the see would command the general respect; and what see could compete, at least, in the West, with Rome? Antioch, Alexandria, or Constantinople, could alone rival, in pretensions to Christian supremacy, the old metropolis of the empire: and those sees were either fiercely contested, or occupied by Arian prelates. Athanasius himself, by his residence, at two separate periods, at Rome, submitted as it were his cause to the Roman pontiff. Rome became the centre of the ecclesiastical affairs of the West; and, since the Trinitarian opinions eventually triumphed through the whole of Christendom, the firmness and resolution with which the Roman pontiffs, notwithstanding the temporary fall of Liberius, adhered to the orthodox faith; their uncompromising attachment to Athanasius, who, by degrees, was sanctified and canonised in the memory of Christendom, might be one groundwork for that belief in their infallibility, which, however it would have been repudiated by Cyprian, and never completely prevailed in the East, became throughout the West the inalienable spiritual heirloom of the Roman pontiffs. Christian history will hereafter show how powerfully this monarchical principle, if not established, yet greatly strengthened, by these consequences of the Athanasian controversy, tended to consolidate and so to maintain, in still expanding influence, the Chris-

tianity of Europe.b

This conflict continued with unabated vigour till the close of the reign of Constantius. Arianism gradually assumed the ascendant, through the violence and the arts of the Emperor; all the more distinguished of the orthodox bishops were in exile, or, at least, in disgrace. Though the personal influence of Athanasius was still felt throughout Christendom, his obscure place of concealment was probably unknown to the greater part of his own adherents. The aged Hosius had died in his apostasy. Hilary of Poictiers, the Bishop of Milan, and the violent Lucifer of Cagliari, were in exile; and, though Constantius had consented to the return of Liberius to his see, he had returned with the disgrace of having consented to sign the new formulary framed at Sirmium, where the term, Consubstantial, if not rejected, was, at least, suppressed. Yet the popularity of Liberius was undiminished, and the whole city indignantly rejected the insidious proposition of Constantius, that Liberius and his rival Felix should rule the see with conjoint authority. The parties had already

b The orthodox Synod of Sardica judicarentur." Fragm. iii. c. 12. In a subsequent clause, they condemn Julius, Bishop of Rome, by name. It is difficult to calculate the effect which would commonly be produced on men's minds by their involving in one common cause the two tenets, which, in fact, bore no relation to each other,-the orthodox belief in the Trinity, and the supremacy of the Bishop of Rome. Sozomen, iv. 11. 13; Theodoret, ii. 17; Philostorgius,

admits the superior dignity of the successors of St. Peter. "Hoc enim optimum et valde congruentissimum esse videbitur, si ad caput, id est, ad Petri Apostoli sedem, de singulis quibusque provinciis Domini referant sacerdotes." Epist. Syn. Sard. apud Hilarium, Fragm. Oper. Hist. ii. c. 9. It was disclaimed with equal distinctness by the seceding Arians. "Novam legem introducere putaverunt, ut Orientales Episcopi ab Occidentalibus iv. 3.

come to blows, and even to bloodshed, when Felix, who it was admitted, had never swerved from the creed of Nicæa, and whose sole offence was entering into communion with the Arians, either from moderation, or conscious of the inferiority of his party, withdrew to a neighbouring city, where he soon closed his days, and relieved the Christians of Rome from the apprehension of a rival pontiff. The unbending resistance of the Athanasians was no doubt confirmed, not merely by the variations in the Arian creed, but by the new opinions which they considered its legitimate offspring, and which appeared to justify their worst apprehensions of its inevitable consequences.

Actius formed a new sect, which not merely denied the consubstantiality, but the similitude of the Heresy of Son to the Father. He was not only not of Aetius. the same, but of a totally different, nature. Actius, according to the account of his adversaries, was a bold and unprincipled adventurer; c and the career of a person of this class is exemplified in his life. The son of a soldier, at one time condemned to death and to the confiscation of his property, Aetius became a humble artisan, first as a worker in copper, afterwards in gold. His dishonest practices obliged him to give up trade, but not before he had acquired some property. He attached himself to Paulinus, Bishop of Antioch; was expelled from the city by his successor; studied gram-

^{15,} iv. 12. Philostorg. iii. 15, 17. Suidas, voc. Actios. Epiphan. Hæres. 76. Gregor. Nyss. contra Eunom.

The most curious part in the History of Aetius is his attachment to the Aristotelian philosophy. With him appears to have begun the long andria. Socrates, ii. c. 35.

e Socrates, ii. 35. Sozomen, iii. | strife between Aristotelianism and Platonism in the church. Actius, to prove his unimaginative doctrines, employed the severe and prosaic categories of Aristotle, repudiating the prevailing Platonic mode of argument used by Origen and Clement of Alex-

mar at Anazarba; was encouraged by the Arian bishop of that see, named Athanasius; returned to Antioch; was ordained deacon; and again expelled the city. Discomfited in a public disputation with a Gnostic, he retired to Alexandria, where, being exercised in the art of rhetoric, he revenged himself on a Manichean, who died of shame. He then became a public itinerant teacher, practising, at the same time, his lucrative art of a goldsmith. The Arians rejected Aetius with no less earnest indignation than the orthodox, but they could not escape being implicated, as it were, in his unpopularity; and the odious Anomeans, those who denied the similitude of the Son to the Father, brought new discredit even on the more temperate partisans of the Arian creed. Another heresiarch, of a higher rank, still further brought disrepute on the Arian party. Of Macedonius, the Bishop of Constantinople, to the Arian tenet of the inequality of the Son to the Father, added the total denial of the divinity of the Holy Ghost.

Council still followed council. Though we may not concur with the Arian bishops in ascribing to their adversaries the whole blame of this perpetual tumult and confusion in the Christian world, caused by these incessant assemblages of the clergy, there must have been much melancholy truth in their statement. "The East and the West are in a perpetual state of restlessness and disturbance. Deserting our spiritual charges; abandoning the people of God; neglecting the preaching of the Gospel; we are hurried about from place to place, sometimes to great distances, some of us infirm with age, with feeble constitutions or ill health, and are sometimes obliged to leave our sick brethren on the road. The whole administration of the empire, of the

Emperor himself, the tribunes, and the commanders, at this fearful crisis of the state, are solely occupied with the lives and the condition of the bishops. The people are by no means unconcerned. The whole brotherhood watches in anxious suspense the event of these troubles; the establishment of post-horses is worn out by our journeyings; and all on account of a few wretches, who, if they had the least remaining sense of religion, would say with the Prophet Jonah, 'Take us up and cast us into the sea; so shall the sea be calm unto you; for we know that it is on our account that this great tempest is upon you.'" d

The synod at Sirmium had no effect in reconciling the differences, or affirming the superiority of either party. A double council was appointed, of the Eastern prelates at Seleucia, of the Western at Rimini. The Arianism of Constantius himself had by this time degenerated still farther from the creed of Nicæa. Eudoxus, who had espoused the Anomean doctrines of Aetius. ruled his untractable but passive mind. The Council of council of Rimini consisted of at least 400 Rimini. bishops, of whom not above eighty were Arians. Their resolutions were firm and peremptory. They repudiated the Arian doctrines; they expressed their rigid adherence to the formulary of Nicæa. Ten bishops, however, of each party, were deputed to communicate their decrees to Constantius. The ten Arians were received with the utmost respect, their rivals with every kind of slight and neglect. Insensibly-the Athanasians were admitted to more intimate intercourse; the flatteries, perhaps the bribes, of the Emperor prevailed; they returned, having signed a formulary directly opposed to

d Hilar. Oper. Hist. Fragm. xi. c. 25.

their instructions. Their reception at first was unpromising; but by degrees the council, from which its firmest and most resolute members had gradually departed, and in which many poor and aged bishops still retained their seats, wearied, perplexed, worn out by the expense and discomfort of a long residence in a foreign city, consented to sign a creed in which the contested word, the homoousion, was carefully suppressed.e Arianism was thus deliberately adopted by a council, of which the authority was undisputed. The world, says Jerome, groaned to find itself Arian. But, on their return to their dioceses, the indignant prelates everywhere protested against the fraud and violence which had been practised against them. New persecutions followed: Gaudentius, Bishop of Rimini, lost his life.

The triumph of Arianism was far easier among the hundred and sixty bishops assembled at Seleucia. But it was more fatal to their cause: the Arians, and Semi-Arians, and Anomeans, mingled in tumultuous strife, and hurled mutual anathemas against each other.

The new council met at Constantinople. By some strange political or religious vicissitude, the party of the Anomeans triumphed, while Actius, its author, was sent into banishment. Macedonius was deposed; Eudoxus

have been the heroes of the historian Philostorgius, fragments of whose history have been preserved by the pious hostility of Photius. This diminishes our regret for the loss of the original work, which would be less curious than a genuine Arian history. Philostorgius seems to object to the anti-materialist view of the Deity maintained by the Semi-Arian Eusef Aetius and Eunomius seem to bius, and, according to him, by Arius

e It is curious enough, that the Latin language did not furnish terms to express this fine distinction. Some Western prelates, many of whom probably did not understand a word of Greek, proposed, "jam usiæ et homoousii nomina recedant quæ in divinis Scripturis de Deo, et Dei Filio, non inveniuntur scripta." Apud Hilarium, Oper. Hist. Fragm. ix.

of Antioch was translated to the imperial see; and the solemn dedication of the church of St. Sophia was celebrated by a prelate who denied the similitude of nature between the Father and the Son. The whole Christian world was in confusion; these fatal feuds penetrated almost as far as the Gospel itself had reached. The Emperor, whose alternately partial vehemence and subtlety had inflamed rather than allayed the tumult, found his authority set at nought; a deep, stern, and ineradicable resistance opposed the imperial decrees. A large portion of the empire proclaimed aloud that there were limits to the imperial despotism; that there was a higher allegiance, which superseded that due to the civil authority; that in affairs of religion they would not submit to the appointment of superiors who did not profess their views of Christian orthodoxy.8 The Emperor himself, by mingling with almost fanatical passion and zeal in these controversies, at once lowered himself to the level of his subjects, and justified the importance which they attached to these questions. If Constantius had firmly, calmly, and consistently, enforced mutual toleration,—if he had set the example of Christian moderation and temper; if he had set his face solely against the stern refusal of Athanasius and his party to admit the Arians into communion,-he might, perhaps, have retained some influence over the contending parties. But he was not content without enforcing the dominance of the Arian party; he dignified Athanasius

himself. He reproaches Eusebius with asserting the Deity to be incomprehensible and inconceivable: ἄγνωστος καὶ ἀκατὰληπτος. Lib, i. 2, 3.

Paul, "Ubi fides est, ibi et libertas est;" in allusion to the Emperor's assuming the cognisance over religious questions. Oper. Hist. Fragm. i. c. 5.

⁶ Hilary quotes the sentence of St.

with the hatred of a personal enemy, almost of a rival; and his subjects, by his own apparent admission that these were questions of spiritual life and death, were compelled to postpone his decrees to those of God; to obey their bishops, who held the keys of heaven and hell, rather than Cæsar, who could only afflict them with civil disabilities, or penalties in this life.

CHAPTER VI.

Julian.

AMIDST all this intestine strife within the pale of Christianity, and this conflict between the civil and religious authorities concerning their respective limits, Paganism made a desperate effort to regain its lost supremacy. Julian has, perhaps, been somewhat unfairly branded with the ill-sounding name of Apostate. His Christianity was but the compulsory obedience of youth to the distasteful lessons of education, enforced by the hateful authority of a tyrannical relative. As early as the maturity of his reason,—at least as soon as he dared to reveal his secret sentiments,—he avowed his preference for the ancient Paganism.

The most astonishing part of Julian's history is the development and partial fulfilment of all his vast designs during a reign of less than two years. His own age wondered at the rapidity with which the young Emperor accomplished his military, civil, and religious schemes. During his separate and subordinate command as Cæsar, his time was fully occupied with his splendid campaigns upon the Rhine.b Julian was the vindicator of the old majesty of the empire; he threw

multa tam brevi tempore. Et rectè. Sed Imperator noster addit ad tempus | rum modo Juliani tempora metientur." quod otio suo detrahit. Itaque | Mamertini Grat. Actio. c. xiv. grandævum jam imperium videbitur | b Six years, from 355 to 361.

[&]quot;Dicet aliquis: quomodo tam | his, qui non ratione dierum et mensium. sed operum multitudine et effectarum re-

back with a bold and successful effort the inroad of barbarism, which already threatened to overwhelm the Roman civilisation of Gaul. During the two unfinished Short reign years of his sole government, Julian had re-of Julian. A.D. 361-363. united the whole Roman empire under his years of his sole government, Julian had resingle sceptre; he had reformed the army, the court, the tribunals of justice; he had promulgated many useful laws, which maintained their place in the jurisprudence of the empire; he had established peace on all the frontiers; he had organised a large and welldisciplined force to chastise the Persians for their aggressions on the eastern border; and, by a formidable diversion within their own territories, to secure the Euphratic provinces against the most dangerous rival of the Roman power. During all these engrossing cares of empire, he devoted himself with the zeal and activity of a mere philosopher and man of letters to those more tranquil pursuits. The conqueror of the Franks and the antagonist of Sapor delivered lectures in the schools, and published works, which, whatever may be thought of their depth and truth, display no mean powers of composition: as a writer, Julian will compete with most of his age. Besides all this, his vast and restless spirit contemplated, and had already commenced, nothing less than a total change in the religion of the empire; not merely the restoration of Paganism to the legal supremacy which it possessed before the reign of Constantine, and the degradation of Christianity into a private sect; but the actual extirpation of the new religion from the minds of men by the reviving energies of a philosophic, and at the same time profoundly religious. Paganism.

The genius of ancient Rome and of ancient Greece might appear to revive in amicable union in the soul

of Julian. He displayed the unmeasured military ambition, which turned the defensive war into Character of a war of aggression on all the imperilled Julian. frontiers; the broad and vigorous legislation; the unity of administration; the severer tone of manners, which belonged to the better days of Rome; so too the fine cultivation; the perspicuous philosophy; the lofty conceptions of moral greatness and purity, which distinguished the old Athenian. If in the former (the Roman military enterprise), he met eventually with the fate of Crassus or of Varus, rather than the glorious successes of Germanicus or Trajan, the times were more in fault than the general: if in the latter (the Grecian elevation and elegance of mind), Julian more resembled at times the affectation of the Sophist and the coarseness of the Cynic, than the lofty views and exquisite harmony of Plato or the practical wisdom of Socrates, the effete and exhausted state of Grecian letters and philosophy must likewise be taken into the account.

In the uncompleted two years of his sole empire, Julian had advanced so far in the restoration of the internal vigour and unity of administration, that it is doubtful how much further, but for the fatal Persian campaign, he might have fulfilled the visions of his noble ambition. He might have averted, at least for a time, the terrible calamities which burst upon the Roman world during the reign of Valentinian and Valens. But difficult and desperate as the enterprise might appear, the re-organisation of a decaying empire was less impracticable than the restoration of an all but extinguished religion. A religion may awaken from

c One year, eight months, and twenty-three days. La Bleterre, Vie de Julien, p. 494.

indifference, and resume its dominion over the minds of men; but not if supplanted by a new form of faith which has identified itself with the opinions and sentiments of the general mind. It can never dethrone a successful invader, who has been recognised as a lawful sovereign. And Christianity (could the clear and sagacious mind of Julian be blind to this essential difference?) had occupied the whole soul of man with a fulness and confidence which belonged, and could belong, to no former religion. It had intimately blended together the highest truths of philosophy with the purest morality; the loftiest speculation with the most practical spirit. The vague theory of another life, timidly and dimly announced by the later Paganism, could ill compete with the deep and intense conviction, now rooted in the hearts of a large part of mankind by Christianity: the source in some of harrowing fears, in others of the noblest hopes.

Julian united in his own mind, and attempted to work into his new religion, the two incongruous characters of a zealot for the older superstitions and for the more modern philosophy of Greece. He had fused together, in that which appeared to him an harmonious system, Homer and Plato. He thought that the whole ritual of sacrifice would combine with that allegoric interpretation of the ancient mythology, which undeified the greater part of the Heathen Pantheon. All that Paganism had borrowed from Christianity, it had rendered comparatively cold and powerless. The one Supreme Deity was a name and an abstract conception, a metaphysical being. The visible representative of the Deity, the Sun, which was in general an essential part of the new system, was, after all, foreign and Oriental; it belonged to the genuine mythology neither of Greece nor Rome. The Theurgy, or awful and sublime communion of the mind with the spiritual world, was either too fine and fanciful for the vulgar belief, or associated, in the dim confusion of the popular conception, with that magic, against which the laws of Rome had protested with such stern solemnity; and which, therefore, however eagerly pursued and reverenced with involuntary awe, was always associated with impressions of its unlawfulness and guilt. Christianity, on the other hand, had completely incorporated with itself all that it had admitted from Paganism, or which, if we may so speak, constituted the Pagan part of Christianity. The Heathen Theurgy, even in its purest form, its dreamy intercourse with the intermediate race of dæmons, was poor and ineffective, compared with the diabolic and angelic agency, which became more and more mingled up with Christianity. Where these subordinate dæmons were considered by the more philosophic Pagan to have been the older deities of the popular faith, it was rather a degradation of the ancient worship; where this was not the case, this fine perception of the spiritual world was the secret of the initiate few, rather than the all-pervading superstition of the many. The Christian dæmonology, on the other hand, which began to be heightened and multiplied by the fantastic imagination of the monks, brooding in their solitudes, seemed at least to grow naturally out of the religious system. The gradually darkening into superstition was altogether imperceptible and harmonised entirely with the general feelings of the time. Christianity was a living plant, which imparted its vitality to the foreign suckers grafted upon it; the dead and sapless trunk of Paganism withered even the living boughs which were blended with it, by its own inevitable decay.

On the other hand, Christianity at no period could Unfavour-able state of Christianity. appear in a less amiable and attractive light to a mind preindisposed to its reception. It was in a state of universal fierce and implacable discord: the chief cities of the empire had run with blood shed in religious quarrels. The sole object of the conflicting parties seemed to be to confine to themselves the temporal and spiritual blessings of the faith; to exclude as many as they might from that eternal life. and to anothematise to that eternal death, which were revealed by the Gospel, and placed, according to the general belief, under the special authority of the clergy. Society seemed to be split up into irreconcileable parties; to the animosities of Pagan and Christian, were now added those of Christian and Christian. Christianity had passed through its earlier period of noble moral enthusiasm; of the energy with which it addressed its first proclamation of its doctrines to man; of the dignity with which it stood aloof from the intrigues and vices of the world; and of its admirable constancy under persecution. It had not fully attained its second state as a religion generally established in the minds of men, by a dominant hierarchy of unquestioned authority. Its great truths had no longer the striking charm of novelty; nor were they yet universally and profoundly implanted in the general mind by hereditary transmission or early education, and ratified by the unquestioning sanction of ages.

The youthful education of Julian had been, it might almost appear, studiously and skilfully conducted, so as to show the brighter side of Paganism, the darker of Christianity. His infant years had been clouded by the murder of his father. How far his mind might retain any impression of that awful event, or remembrance of

the place of his refuge, the Christian church, or of the saviour of his life, the virtuous Bishop of Arethusa, it is of course impossible to conjecture. But Julian's first instructor was a man who, born a Scythian, and educated in Greece,d united the severe morality of his ruder ancestors with the elegance of Grecian accomplishments. He enforced upon his young pupil the strictest modesty. contempt for the licentious or frivolous pleasures of youth, for the theatre and the bath. At the same time, while he delighted his mind with the poetry of Homer, his graver studies were the Greek and Latin languages, the elements of the philosophy of Greece, and music, that original and attractive element of Grecian education.e At the age of about fourteen or fifteen, Julian was shut up, with his brother Gallus, in Macellæ, a fortress in Asia Minor, and committed in this sort of honourable prison to the rigid superintendence of ecclesiastics. By his Christian instructors, the Education of young and ardent Julian was bound down to a Julian. course of the strictest observances, the midnight vigil, the fast, the long and weary prayer, and visits to the tombs of martyrs, rather than a wise and rational initiation in the genuine principles of the Gospel; or a judicious familiarity with the originality, the beauty, and the depth of the Christian morals and Christian religion. He was taught the virtue of implicit submission to his ecclesiastical superiors; the munificence of conferring gifts upon the churches; with his brother Gallus he was permitted, or rather incited, to build a chapel over the tomb of St. Mammas. For six years, he

His name was Mardonius. Julian. | in the Misopogon, p. 351. ad Athen, et Misopogon. Socrat. E. | f Julian is said even thus early to H. iii. 1. Amm. Marc, xxii. 12.

have betrayed his secret inclinations; · See the high character of this man | in his declamations he took delight in

bitterly asserts, he was deprived of every kind of useful instruction. Julian and his brother, it is even said, were ordained readers, and officiated in public in that character. But the passages of the sacred writings, with which he might thus have become acquainted, were imposed as lessons; and in the mind of Julian, Christianity, thus taught and enforced, was inseparably connected with the irksome and distasteful feelings of confinement and degradation. No youths of his own rank, or of ingenuous birth, were permitted to visit his prison; he was reduced, as he indignantly declares, to the debasing society of slaves.

At the age of twenty, Julian was permitted to reside in Constantinople, afterwards at Nicomedia. jealousy of Constantius in Constantinople was excited by the popular demeanour, sober manners, and the reputation for abilities, which directed all eyes towards his youthful nephew. He dismissed Julian to the more dangerous and fatal residence in Nicomedia, in the neighbourhood of the most celebrated and most attractive of the Pagan party. The most faithful adherents of Paganism were that class with which the tastes and inclinations of Julian brought him into close intimacy, the sophists, the men of letters, the rhetoricians, the poets, the philosophers. He was forbidden, indeed, perhaps by the jealousy of his appointed instructor Ecebolus, who at this time conformed to the religion of the court, to hear the dangerous lectures of Libanius.

Christianity. A prophetic miracle foreboded his future course. While this church rose expeditiously under the labour of Gallus, the obstinate stones would not obey that of Julian; an invisible hand disturbed the founda-

defending the cause of Paganism against | tions, and threw down all his work. Gregory Nazianzen declares that he had heard this from eye-witnesses; Sozomen, from those who had heard it from eye-witnesses. Gregor. Or. iii. p. 59, 61. Sozomen, v. 2.

⁸ Πάντος μαθήματος σπουδαίου.

equally celebrated for his eloquence and his ardent attachment to the old religion. But Julian Intercourse obtained his writings, which he devoured with with the philosophers. all the delight of a stolen enjoyment.h Julian formed an intimate acquaintance with the heads of the philosophic school, with Ædesius, his pupils Eusebius and Chrysanthius, and at last with the famous Maximus. These men are accused of practising the most subtle and insidious arts upon the character of their ardent and youthful votary. His grave and meditative mind imbibed with eager delight the solemn mysticism of their tenets, which were impressed more deeply by significant and awful ceremonies. A magician at Nicomedia first excited his curiosity, and tempted him to enter on these exciting courses. At Pergamus he visited the aged Ædesius; and the manner in which these philosophers passed Julian onward from one to another, as if through successive stages of initiation in their mysterious doctrines, bears the appearance of a deliberate scheme to work him up to their purposes. The aged Ædesius addressed him as the favoured child of wisdom; declined the important charge of his instruction, but commended him to his pupils, Eusebius and Chrysanthius, who could unlock the inexhaustible source of light and wisdom. "If you should attain the supreme felicity of being initiated in their mysteries, you will blush to have been born a man, you will no longer endure the name." The pupils of Ædesius fed the greedy mind of the proselyte with all their stores of wisdom, and then skilfully unfolded the greater fame of Maximus. Eusebius professed to despise the vulgar arts of wonder-working, at least in comparison with the purification of the soul; but

Liban, Orat. Par. t. i. p. 526.

he described the power of Maximus in terms to which Julian could not listen without awe and wonder. Maximus had led them into the temple of Hecate; he had burned a few grains of incense, he had murmured a hymn, and the statue of the goddess was seen to smile. They were awe-struck, but Maximus had declared that this was nothing. The lamps throughout the temple shall immediately burst into light: as he spoke, they had kindled and blazed up. "But of these mystical wonder-workers, we think lightly," proceeded the skilful speaker, "do thou, like us, think only of the internal purification of the reason." "Keep to your book," broke out the impatient youth, "this is the man I seek." Julian hastened to Ephesus. The person and demeanour of Maximus were well suited to keep up the illusion. He was a venerable man, with a long white beard, with keen eyes, great activity, soft and persuasive voice, rapid and fluent eloquence. By Maximus, who summoned Chrysanthius to him, Julian was brought into direct communion with the invisible world. faithful and officious Genii from this time watched over Julian in peace and war; they conversed with him in his slumbers, they warned him of dangers, they conducted his military operations. Thus far we proceed on the authority of Pagan writers; the scene of his solemn initiation rests on the more doubtful testimony of Christian historians, which, as they were little likely to be admitted into the secrets of these dark and hidden rites. is to be received with grave suspicion; more especially as they do not scruple to embellish these rites with Christian miracle. Julian was led first into a temple,

¹ Eunapius, in Vit. Ædesii et Ereg. Naz. Orat. iii. 71. Theo-

then into a subterranean crypt, in almost total darkness. The evocations were made; wild and terrible sounds were heard; spectres of fire jibbered around. Julian, in his sudden terror, made the sign of the cross. All disappeared, all was silent. Twice this took place, and Julian could not but express to Maximus his astonishment at the power of this sign. "The gods," returned the dexterous philosopher, "will have no communion with so profane a worshipper." From this time, it is said, on better authority, "Julian burst, like a lion in his wrath, the slender ties which bound him to Christianity. But he was still constrained to dissemble his secret apostasy. His enemies declared that he redoubled his outward zeal for Christianity, and even shaved his head in conformity with the monastic practice. His brother Gallus had some suspicion of his secret views, and sent the Arian bishop Aetius to confirm him in the faith.

How far Julian, in this time of danger, stooped to disguise his real sentiments, it were rash to Constantius decide. But it would by no means commend to Julian. Christianity to the respect and attachment of Julian, that it was the religion of his imperial relative. Popular rumour did not acquit Constantius of the murder of Julian's father; and Julian himself afterwards publicly avowed his belief in this crime. He had probably owed his own escape to his infant age and to the activity of his friends. Up to this time, his life had been the precarious and permissive boon of a jealous tyrant, who had inflicted on him every kind of degrading restraint. His place of education had been a prison, and his subsequent liberty was watched with suspicious vigilance.

m Libanius.

ⁿ Ad Senatum Populumque Atheniensem. Julian Oper. p. 270.

The personal religion of Constantius; his embarking with alternate violence and subtlety in theological disputations; his vacillation between timid submission to priestly authority and angry persecution, were not likely to make a favourable impression on a wavering mind. The Pagans themselves, if we may take the best historian of the time as the representative of their opinions,° considered that Constantius dishonoured the Christian religion by mingling up its perspicuous simplicity with anile superstition. If there was little genuine Christianity in the theological discussions of Constantius, there had been less of its beautiful practical spirit in his conduct to Julian. It had allayed no jealousy, mitigated no hatred; it had not restrained his temper from overbearing tyranny, nor kept his hands clean from blood. And now, the death of his brother Gallus, to whom he seems to have cherished warm attachment, was a new evidence of the capricious and unhumanised tyranny of Constantius, a fearful omen of the uncertainty of his own life under such a despotism. He had beheld the advancement and the fate of his brother: and his future destiny presented the alternative either of ignominious obscurity or fatal distinction. His life was spared only through the casual interference of the humane and enlightened Empress; and her influence gained but a slow and difficult triumph over the malignant eunuchs, who ruled the mind of Constantius. But he had been exposed to the ignominy of arrest and imprisonment, and a fearful suspense of seven weary months. P His motions, his words, were watched: his very heart scrutinised; he was obliged to suppress the

Ammianus Marcellinus.
 μηνῶν ὅλων ἐλκύσας τῆδε κακεῖσε.
 'Εμὲ δὲ ἀφῆκε μόγις, ἐπτὰ Ad. S. P. Ath, p. 272.

natural emotions of grief for the death of his brother; to impose silence on his fluent eloquence, and act the

hypocrite to nature as well as to religion.

His retreat was Athens, of all cities in the empire that, probably, in which Paganism still main-Julian at tained the highest ascendancy, and appeared Athens. in the most seductive form. The political religion of Rome had its stronghold in the capital; that of Greece, in the centre of intellectual culture and of the fine arts. Athens might still be considered the university of the empire; from all quarters, particularly of the East, young men of talent and promise crowded to complete their studies in those arts of grammar, rhetoric, philosophy; which, however, by no means disdained by the Christians, might still be considered as more strictly attached to the Pagan interest.

Among the Christian students who at this time paid the homage of their residence to this great centre of intellectual culture, were Basil and Gregory of Nazianzum. The latter, in the orations with which in later times he condemned the memory of Julian, has drawn, with a coarse and unfriendly hand, the picture of his person and manners. His manners did injustice to the natural beauties of his person, and betrayed his restless, inquisitive, and somewhat incoherent, character. The Christian (we must remember, indeed, that these predictions were published subsequent to their fulfilment, and that, by their own account, Julian had already betrayed, in Asia Minor, his secret propensities) already discerned in the unquiet and unsubmissive spirit, the future apostate. But the general impression which Julian made was far more favourable. His quickness, his accomplishments, the variety and extent of his information; his gentleness, his eloquence, and even his

modesty, gained universal admiration, and strengthened the interest excited by his forlorn and perilous position.

Of all existing Pagan rites, those which still mainJulian tained the greatest respect, and would impress a mind like Julian's with the profoundest veneration, were the Eleusinian mysteries. They united the sanctity of almost immemorial age with some similitude to the Platonic Paganism of the day, at least sufficient for the ardent votaries of the latter to claim their alliance. The Hierophant of Eleusis was admitted to be the most potent theurgist in the world. Julian honoured him, or was honoured by his intimacy; and the initiation in the Mystery of those, emphatically called the Goddesses, with all its appalling dramatic machinery, and its high speculative and imaginative doctrines, the impenetrable, the ineffable tenets of the sanctuary, consummated the work of Julian's conversion.

The elevation of Julian to the rank of Cæsar was at length extorted from the necessities, rather than freely bestowed by the love, of the Emperor. Nor did the jealous hostility of Constantius cease with this apparent reconciliation. Constantius, with cold suspicion, thwarted all his measures, crippled his resources, and appropriated to himself, with unblushing injustice, the fame of his victories. Julian's assump-

q Compare (in Eunap. Vit. Ædes, p. 52, edit. Boissonade) the prophecy of the dissolution of Paganism ascribed to this pontiff; a prediction which may do credit to the sagacity, or evince the apprehensions of the seer, but will by no means claim the honour of divine foreknowledge.

r Ammianus, l. xv. 8, et seqq. Socrates, iji. 1. Sozomen, v. n. La Bleterie, Vie de Julien, 89 et seqq.

The campaigns of Julian, in La Bleterie, lib. ii. Gibbon, iv. pp. 1, 4.

The well-known passage in Ammianus shows the real sentiments of the court towards Julian. "In odium venit cum victoriis suis capella non homo; ut hirsutum Julianum carpentes appellantesque loquacem talpam, et purpuratam simiam, et litterionem Græcum." Amm. Marc. xvii. 11.

tion of the purple, whether forced upon him by the ungovernable attachment of his soldiery, or prepared by his own subtle ambition, was justified, and perhaps compelled, by the base ingratitude of Constantius; and by his manifest, if not avowed, resolution of preparing the ruin of Julian, by removing his best troops to the East.⁵

The timely death of Constantius alone prevented the deadly warfare in which the last of the race of Death of Constantine were about to contest the empire. Constantius. The dying bequest of that empire to Julian, said to have been made by the penitent Constantius, could not efface the recollection of those long years of degradation, of jealousy, of avowed or secret hostility; still less could it allay the dislike or contempt of Julian for his weak and insolent predecessor, who, governed by eunuchs, wasted the precious time which ought to have been devoted to the cares of the empire, in idle theological discussions, or quarrels with contending ecclesiastics. The part in the character of the deceased Emperor least likely to find favour in the sight of his successor Julian was his religion. The unchristian Christianity of Constantius must bear some part of the guilt of Julian's apostasy.

Up to the time of his revolt against Constantius, Julian had respected the dominant Chris-Conduct of tianity. The religious acts of his early youth, Julian. performed in obedience to, or under the influence of his instructors; or his submissive conformity, when his watchful enemies were eager for his life, ought hardly to convict him of deliberate hypocrisy. In Gaul, still under the strictest suspicion, and engaged in almost incessant warfare, he would have few opportunities to betray his secret sentiments. But Jupiter was con-

^{*} Amm. Marc. xx. &c. Zosimus, iii. Liban. Or. x. Jul. ad S. P. Q. A.

sulted in his private chamber, and sanctioned his assumption of the imperial purple.t And no sooner had he marched into Illyria, an independent Emperor at the head of his own army, than he threw aside all concealment, and proclaimed himself a worshipper of the ancient gods of Paganism. The auspices were taken; and the act of divination was not the less held in honour, because the fortunate soothsayer announced the death of Constantius. The army followed the example of their victorious general. At his command, the neglected temples resumed their ceremonies; he adorned them with offerings; he set the example of costly sacrifices." The Athenians in particular obeyed with alacrity the commands of the new Emperor; the honours of the priesthood became again a worthy object of contest; two distinguished females claimed the honour of representing the genuine Eumolpidæ, and of officiating in the Parthenon. Julian, already anxious to infuse as much of the real Christian spirit, as he could, into reviving Paganism, exhorted the contending parties to peace and unity, as the most acceptable sacrifice to the gods.

The death of Constantius left the whole Roman world open to the civil and religious schemes which lay, floating and unshaped, before the imagination of Julian. The civil reforms were executed with necessary severity: but in some instances, with more than necessary cruelty. The elevation of Paganism into a rational and effective faith, and the depression, and even the eventual extinction of Christianity, were the manifest objects of Julian's religious policy. Julian's religion was the eclectic Pa-

σκεύομεν τούς Θεούς άναφανδόν καλ The Western army was more easily practised upon than the Eastern στρατοπέδου θεοσεβες έστιν. Epist.

t Amm. xxi. 1.

soidiers at a subsequent period. Opn- xxxviii.

ganism of the new Platonic philosophy. The chief speculative tenet was Oriental rather than Greek or Roman. The one immaterial inconceivable Father dwelt alone; though his majesty was held in reverence. the direct and material object of worship was the great Sun, the living and animated, and propitious and beneficent image of the immaterial Father.y Below this primal Deity and his glorious image, there was room for the whole Pantheon of subordinate deities, of whom, in like manner, the stars were the material representatives; but who possessed invisible powers, and manifested themselves in various ways, in dreams and visions, through prodigies and oracles, the flights of birds, and the signs in the sacrificial victims.2 This vague and comprehensive Paganism might include under its dominion all classes and nations which adhered to the Heathen worship; the Oriental, the Greek, the Roman, even, perhaps, the Northern barbarian, would not refuse to admit the simplicity of the primal article of the creed, spreading out as it did below into the boundless latitude of Polytheism. The immortality of the soul appears to follow as an inference from some of Julian's Platonic doctrines; but it is remarkable how rarely it is put forward as an important point of difference in his

^{*} Τὸν μέγαν "Ηλιον, τὸ ζῶν ἄγαλμα καὶ ἔμψυχον, καὶ εὐνοῦν καὶ ἀγαθοεργὸν, τοῦ νοήτου πάτρος.

⁷ Compare Julian, apud Cyril., lib.

² Julian asserts the various offices of the subordinate deities, apud Cyril., lib. vii. p. 235.

One of the most remarkable illustrations of this wide-spread worship of the sun is to be found in the address of Julius Firmicus Maternus to the

Emperors Constantius and Constans. He introduces the sun as remonstrating against the dishonourable honours thus heaped upon him, and protests against being responsible for the acts, or involved in the fate, of Liber, Attys, or Osiris. "Nolo ut errori vestro nomen meum fomenta suppeditet. . . . Quicquid sum simpliciter Deo pareo, nec aliud volo de me intelligatis, nisi quod videtis." c. 8.

a Lib. ii. 58.

religious writings; while, in his private correspondence, he falls back to the dubious and hesitating language of the ancient Heathens: "I am not one of those who disbelieve the immortality of the soul; but the gods alone can know; man can only conjecture that secret:"b but his best consolation on the loss of friends was the saving of the Grecian philosopher to Darius, that if he would find three persons who had not suffered the like calamities, he would restore the king's beautiful wife to life.º Julian's dying language, however, though still vague and allied to the old Pantheistic system, sounds more like serene confidence in some future state of being.

The first care of Julian was to restore the outward form of Paganism to its former splendour, and of Paganism. to infuse the vigour of reviving youth into the antiquated system. The temples were everywhere to resume their ancient magnificence; the municipalities were charged with the expense of these costly renovations. Where they had been destroyed by the zeal of the Christians, large fines were levied on the Churches, and became, as will hereafter appear, a pretext for grinding exaction, and sometimes cruel persecution. It assessed on the whole community the penalty, merited, perhaps, only by the rashness of a few zealots: it revived outrages almost forgotten, and injuries perpetrated, perhaps, with the sanction, unquestionably with the connivance, of the former government. In many instances, it may have revenged, on the innocent and peaceful, the

πεπεισμένων τας ψυχας ήτοι προαπόλλυσθαι τῶν σωμάτων ἡ συναπόλλυσθαι. . . . 'Ως τοῖς μέν αν- his wife. Ep. xxxvii. p. 412. θρώποις άρμόζει περί τοιούτων είκά-

Οὐ γάρ δὴ καὶ ἡμεὶς ἐσμεν τῶν | ζειν, ἐπίστασθαι δὲ αὐτὰ τοὺς θεοὺς ἀνάγκη. Epist. lxiii. p. 452.

F Epistle to Amerius on the loss of

crimes of the avaricious and irreligious; who either plundered under the mask of Christian zeal, or seized the opportunity, when the zeal of others might secure their impunity. That which takes place in all religious revolutions, had occurred to a considerable extent: the powerful had seized the opportunity of plundering the weaker party for their own advantage. The eunuchs and favourites of the court had fattened on the spoil of the temples.^d If these men had been forced to regorge their ill-gotten gains, justice might have approved the measure; but their crimes were unfairly visited on the whole Christian body. The extent to which the ruin and spoliation of the temples had been carried in the East, may be estimated from the tragic lamentations of Libanius. The soul of Julian, according to the orator, burned for empire, in order to restore the ancient order of things.

In some respects, the success of Julian answered the high-wrought expectations of his partisans. His panegyrist indulges in this lofty language. "Thou, then, I say, O mightiest Emperor, hast restored to the republic the expelled and banished virtues; thou hast rekindled the study of letters; thou hast not only delivered from her trial Philosophy, suspected heretofore and deprived of her honours, and even arraigned as a criminal, but hast clothed her in purple, crowned her with jewels, and seated her on the imperial throne. We may now look on the heavens, and contemplate the stars with fearless gaze, who, a short time ago, like the beasts of the field,

strong expression of Ammianus. Libanius says, that some persons had built themselves houses from the materials | 504.

d "Pasti templorum spoliis," is the | of the temples. Χρήματα δὲ ἐτέλουν οί τοις των ίερων λίθοις σφίσιν αὐτοις oinías eyeipovtes. Orat. Parent. p.

fixed our downward and grovelling vision on the earth." "First of all," says Libanius, "he re-established the exiled religion, building, restoring, embellishing the temples. Everywhere were altars and fires, and the blood and fat of sacrifice, and smoke, and sacred rites, and diviners, fearlessly performing their functions. And on the tops of mountains were pipings and processions, and the sacrificial ox, which was at once an offering to the gods and a banquet to men." The private temple in the palace of Julian, in which he worshipped daily, was sacred to the Sun; but he founded altars to all the gods. He looked with especial favour on those cities which had retained their temples; with abhorrence on those which had suffered them to be destroyed, or to fall to ruin."

Julian so entirely misapprehended Christianity, as to attribute its success and influence to its external organisation, rather than to its internal authority over the soul of man. He thought that the religion grew out of the sacerdotal power, not that the sacerdotal power was but the vigorous development of the religion. He fondly supposed that the imperial edict, and the authority of the government, could supply the place of profound religious sentiment; and transform the whole Pagan priesthood, whether attached to the dissolute worship of the East, the elegant ceremonial of Greece, or the graver ritual of Rome, into a serious, highly moral, and blameless hierarchy. The Emperor was to be at once the supreme head, and the model of this new sacerdotal order. The sagacious mind of Julian might have per-

Mam. Grat. Act. c. xxiii. This clause refers, no doubt, to astrology and divination.

f See v. l. p. 529, one among many

passages; likewise, the Oratio pro Templis, and the Monodia.

⁹ Orat. Parent. p. 564.

ceived the dangerous power, growing up in the Christian episcopate, which had already encroached upon the imperial authority, and began to divide the allegiance of the world. His political apprehensions may have concurred with his religious animosities, in not merely endeavouring to check the increase of this power, but in desiring to concentrate again in the imperial person both branches of authority. The supreme pontificate of Paganism had indeed passed quietly down with the rest of the imperial titles and functions. But the interference of the Christian emperors in ecclesiastical affairs had been met with resistance, obeyed only with sullen reluctance, or but in deference to the strong arm of power. The doubtful issue of the conflict between the Emperor and his religious antagonist might awaken reasonable alarm for the majesty of the empire. If, on the other hand, Julian should succeed in reorganising the Pagan priesthood in efficiency, respect, and that moral superiority which now belonged to the Christian ecclesiastical system, the supreme pontificate, instead of being a mere appellation or an appendage to the imperial title, would be an office of unlimited influence and authority. The Emperor would be the undisputed and unrivalled head of the religion of the empire; the whole sacerdotal order would be at his com- Julian's new mand: Paganism, instead of being, as hereto-priesthood. fore, a confederacy of different religions, an aggregate of local systems of worship, each under its own tutelar deity, would become a well-regulated monarchy, with its

sixty-second epistle, p. 450, in which επειδήπερ είμι κατά μεν τά πάτρια Julian asserts his supremacy not μεγάς 'Αρχιερέυς, ξλαχον δε νῦν καλ merely as Pontifex Maximus, but as τοῦ Διδυμαίου προφητεόειν. holding a high rank among the wor-

h See the curious fragment of the | shippers of Cybele. Έγω τοίνον

provincial, civic, and village priesthoods, acknowledging. the supremacy, and obeying the impulse, of the high imperial functionary. Julian admitted the distinction between the priesthood and the laity.i In every province a supreme pontiff was to be appointed, charged with a superintendence over the conduct of the inferior priesthood, and armed with authority to suspend or to depose those who should be guilty of any indecent irregularity. The whole priesthood were to be sober, chaste, temperate in all things. They were to abstain, not merely from loose society; but, in a spirit diametrically opposite to the old religion, were rarely to be seen at public festivals, never where women mingled in them.k private houses, they were only to be present at the moderate banquets of the virtuous; they were never to be seen drinking in taverns, or exercising any base or sordid trade. The priesthood were to stand aloof from society, and only mingle with it to infuse their own grave decency and unimpeachable moral tone. The theatre, that second temple, as it might be called, of the older religion, was sternly proscribed; so entirely was it considered sunk from its high religious character, so incapable of being restored to its old moral influence. They were to avoid all books, poetry, or tales, which might inflame their passions; to abstain altogether from those philosophical writings which subverted the foundations of religious belief, those of the Pyrrhonists and Epicureans, which Julian asserts had happily fallen into complete neglect, and had almost become obsolete. They were to be diligent and liberal in almsgiving, and to exercise hospitality on the most generous scale. The

Jews had no beggars; the Christians maintained, indiscriminately, all applicants to their charity; it was a disgrace to the Pagans to be inattentive to such duties; and the authority of Homer is alleged to show the prodigal hospitality of the older Greeks. They were to establish houses of reception for strangers in this charitable every city, and thus to rival or surpass the institutions generosity of the Christians. Supplies of corn from the public granaries were assigned for these purposes, and placed at the disposal of the priests, partly for the maintenance of their attendants, partly for these pious They were to pay great regard to the burial of the dead, a subject on which Grecian feeling had always been peculiarly sensitive, particularly of strangers. The benevolent institutions of Christianity were to imitated from Chrisbe imitated and associated to Paganism. A from Chantty. tax was to be levied in every province for the maintenance of the poor, and distributed by the priesthood. Hospitals for the sick and for indigent strangers of every creed were to be formed in convenient places. The Christians, not without justice, called the Emperor "the ape of Christianity." Of all homage to the Gospel, this was the most impressive and sincere; and we are astonished at the blindness of Julian in not perceiving that these changes, which thus enforced his admiration, were the genuine and permanent results of the religion; but the disputes, and strifes, and persecutions, the accidental and temporary effects of human passions awakened by this new and violent impulse on the human mind.

Something like an universal ritual formed part of the design of Julian. Three times a day prayer was to be publicly offered in the temples. The powerful aid of music, so essential a part of the older

and better Grecian instruction, and of which the influence is so elevating to the soul, was called in to impress the minds of the worshippers. Each temple was to have its organised band of choristers. A regular system of alternate chanting was introduced. It would be curious, if it were possible, to ascertain whether the Grecian temples received back their own music and their alternately responding chorus from the Christian churches.

Julian would invest the Pagan priesthood in that respect for temples. The profound reverence of the Christian world arrayed their hierarchy. Solemn silence was to reign in the temples. All persons in authority were to leave their guards at the door when they entered the hallowed precincts. The Emperor himself forbade the usual acclamations on his entrance into the presence of the Gods. Directly he touched the sacred threshold, he became a private man.

It is said that he meditated a complete course of reliReligious gious instruction. Schoolmasters, catechists, preachers, were to teach,—are we to suppose the Platonic philosophy?—as part of the religion. A penitential form was to be drawn up for the readmission of transgressors into the fold. Instead of throwing open the temples to the free and promiscuous reception of apostatising Christians, the value of the privilege was to be enhanced by the difficulty of attaining it. They were to be slowly admitted to the distinction of rational believers in the gods. The dii averruncatores (atoning deities) were to be propitiated; the believers were to pass through different degrees of initiation. Prayers, expia-

m On Music. See Epist. lvi.

n See Epist. lii.

tions, lustrations, severe trials, could alone purify their bodies and their minds, and make them worthy partici-

pants in the Pagan mysteries.

But Julian was not content with this moral regeneration of Paganism; he attempted to bring back Animal the public mind to all the sanguinary ritual of sacrifices. sacrifice, to which the general sentiment had been gradually growing unfamiliar and repugnant. The time was passed when men could consider the favour of the gods propitiated according to the number of slaughtered beasts. The philosophers must have smiled in secret at the superstition of the philosophic Emperor. Julian himself washed off his Christian baptism by the new Oriental rite of aspersion by blood, the Taurobolia or Kriobolia of the Mithriac mysteries; he was regenerated anew to Paganism.^p This indeed was a secret ceremony; but Julian was perpetually seen, himself wielding the sacrificial knife, and exploring with his own hands the reeking entrails of the victims, to learn the secrets of futurity. The enormous expenditure lavished on the sacrifices, the hecatombs of cattle, the choice birds from all quarters, drained the revenue.^q The Western soldiers, especially the intemperate Gauls, indulged in the feasts on the victims to such excess, and mingled them with such copious libations of wine, as to be carried to their tents amid the groans and mockeries

o Gregor. Naz. iii. p. 70.

P The person initiated descend into a pit or trench; and through a kind of sieve, or stone pierced with holes, the blood of the bull or the ram was poured over his whole person.

q Julian acknowledges the reluct- δρω. Epist. iv. p. 375.

ance to sacrifice in many parts. "Show me," he says, to the philosopher Aristomenes, "a genuine Greek in Cappadocia." Τέως γάρ τοὺς μὲν ου βουλομένους, όλίγους δέ τινας έθέλοντας μέν, οὐκ εἰδότας δὲ θύειν,

of the more sober." The gifts to diviners, soothsayers, and impostors of all classes, offended equally the more wise and rational. In the public, as well as private, conduct of Julian, there was a Heathen Pharisaism, an attention to minute and trifling observances, which could not but excite contempt even in the more enlightened of his own party. Every morning and evening he offered sacrifice to the sun; he rose at night to offer the same homage to the moon and stars. Every day brought the rite of some other god. Julian was constantly seen prostrate before the image of the deity, busying himself about the ceremony, performing the menial offices of cleansing the wood, and kindling the fire with his own breath, till the victim was ready for the imperial hands. The sacrifices were so frequent that had he returned victorious over the Parthians, it was said, there would have been a dearth of cattle.8

tans; ut crederetur, si revertisset de Parthis," boves jam defecturos. Amm. Marc. xxv. 4.

END OF VOL. II.

^{*} I do not believe the story of human sacrifices in Alexandria and Athens, Sacrat E H iii 13

Socrat. E. H. iii. 13.

44 Innumeros sine parsimonia mac-







